

PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY
Wildfire Mitigation Plans Discovery 2023
Data Response

PG&E Data Request No.:	CalAdvocates_010-Q013		
PG&E File Name:	WMP-Discovery2023_DR_CalAdvocates_010-Q013		
Request Date:	April 4, 2023	Requester DR No.:	CalAdvocates-PGE-2023WMP-10
Date Sent:	April 10, 2023	Requesting Party:	Public Advocates Office
DRU Index #:		Requester:	Holly Wehrman

Asset Inspections and Maintenance

QUESTION 013

Table PG&E-8.1.7-1 on p. 451 of PG&E's WMP states, "Field Safety Reassessment (FSR) performed annually on time dependent tags to confirm Priority E Notification has not escalated to Priority A or B."

- a) Under PG&E's current procedures and policies, can a FSR de-escalate the priority of a notification? Please explain your answer.
- b) Under PG&E's current procedures and policies, can a FSR be used to extend the due date of a notification beyond GO 95 rule 18 timelines? Please explain your answer.

ANSWER 013

- a) The FSR program is focused on identifying conditions that have escalated to Priority A and B. Inspectors can also recommend that a notification be canceled if they believe it was created in error, is no longer required according to PG&E's guidelines, or if they find all work identified on the EC is already completed in the field. In certain instances, the FSR can lead to a downgrade in tag priorities. For example, if the tag gatekeeper disagrees with an inspector-recommended escalations or cancellation, the gatekeeper can downgrade the tag rather than cancel or escalate it. PG&E continues to assess its practices and procedures on FSRs and evaluate what alternatives are provided to inspectors and tag gatekeepers.
- b) FSRs do not extend a notification's required end date beyond GO 95 rule 18 timelines. PG&E's current execution of EC notifications does not meet GO 95 Rule 18 compliance 100% of the time. FSRs are an internal containment activity PG&E performs to mitigate potential safety impacts.