

**PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY
Wildfire Mitigation Plans Discovery 2022
Data Response**

PG&E Data Request No.:	OEIS_003-Q04		
PG&E File Name:	WMP-Discovery2022_DR_OEIS_003-Q04		
Request Date:	March 4, 2022	Requester DR No.:	OEIS-PG&E-22-003
Date Sent:	March 10, 2022	Requesting Party:	Office of Energy Infrastructure Safety
PG&E Witness:		Requester:	Kevin Miller

**SUBJECT: PACIFIC GAS & ELECTRIC SUBMISSION OF 2022 WILDFIRE MITIGATION PLAN
MATURITY MODEL ASSESSMENT SURVEY (DOCKET #2022-WMPs)
Q01-Q04 – VEGETATION MANAGEMENT
Q05 2022 MATURITY QUESTIONS**

QUESTION 04

Concerning Maturity Survey question E.IV.c, why is PG&E not using ignition and propagation risk modeling to guide clearances around lines and equipment?

- a. How does and will PG&E's ignition and propagation risk modeling guide clearances?
- b. When?

ANSWER 04

Maturity Model Survey capability description and question E.IV.c. state:

- Capability Description: The utility's standards and actions for treating vegetation that has grow-in potential around lines and equipment. Higher scores are awarded for utilities that use ignition risk modeling and vegetation growth rates to determine appropriate vegetation clearances and trim cycles.
 - Question: What modeling is used to guide clearances around lines and equipment?
- a. PG&E adheres to CPUC standards when determining clearances around lines and equipment, which has proven to be successful using in-person inspections. Currently, PG&E's ignition and risk modeling do not guide clearances.
 - b. PG&E believes ignition risk modeling is more appropriate for possible fall-in and blow-in scenarios and currently has no plans to utilize ignition risk modeling to guide clearances.