

PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
505 Van Ness Avenue
San Francisco CA 94102-3298



Pacific Gas & Electric Company
ELC (Corp ID 39)
Status of Advice Letter 6602E
As of June 17, 2022

Subject: Submits Notice of Construction, Pursuant to General Order 131-D, for the Construction of Trinity-Cottonwood 115 kV Power Line Reconductoring and Pole Replacement Project in the Cities of Redding and Anderson, County of Shasta

Division Assigned: Energy

Date Filed: 05-19-2022

Date to Calendar: 05-25-2022

Authorizing Documents: None

Disposition:	Accepted
Effective Date:	06-18-2022

Resolution Required: No

Resolution Number: None

Commission Meeting Date: None

CPUC Contact Information:

edtariffunit@cpuc.ca.gov

AL Certificate Contact Information:

Kimberly Loo

(415)973-4587

PGETariffs@pge.com

PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
505 Van Ness Avenue
San Francisco CA 94102-3298



To: Energy Company Filing Advice Letter

From: Energy Division PAL Coordinator

Subject: Your Advice Letter Filing

The Energy Division of the California Public Utilities Commission has processed your recent Advice Letter (AL) filing and is returning an AL status certificate for your records.

The AL status certificate indicates:

- Advice Letter Number
- Name of Filer
- CPUC Corporate ID number of Filer
- Subject of Filing
- Date Filed
- Disposition of Filing (Accepted, Rejected, Withdrawn, etc.)
- Effective Date of Filing
- Other Miscellaneous Information (e.g., Resolution, if applicable, etc.)

The Energy Division has made no changes to your copy of the Advice Letter Filing; please review your Advice Letter Filing with the information contained in the AL status certificate, and update your Advice Letter and tariff records accordingly.

All inquiries to the California Public Utilities Commission on the status of your Advice Letter Filing will be answered by Energy Division staff based on the information contained in the Energy Division's PAL database from which the AL status certificate is generated. If you have any questions on this matter please contact the:

Energy Division's Tariff Unit by e-mail to
edtariffunit@cpuc.ca.gov



Sidney Bob Dietz II
Director
Regulatory Relations

Pacific Gas and Electric Company
77 Beale St., Mail Code B13U
P.O. Box 770000
San Francisco, CA 94177

Fax: 415-973-3582

May 19, 2022

Advice 6602-E
(Pacific Gas and Electric Company ID U39 E)

Public Utilities Commission of the State of California

Subject: Submits Notice of Construction, Pursuant to General Order 131-D, for the Construction of Trinity-Cottonwood 115 kV Power Line Reconductoring and Pole Replacement Project in the Cities of Redding and Anderson, County of Shasta

Pacific Gas and Electric Company ("PG&E" or "the Company") hereby submits notice pursuant to General Order (G.O.) 131-D, Section XI, Subsection B.4, of the construction of facilities that are exempt from a Permit to Construct.

Purpose

This advice letter provides a copy of the Notice of Proposed Construction (Attachment I) and the Notice Distribution List, which comply with the noticing requirements found in G.O. 131-D, Section XI.

Background

To comply with California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) General Order (GO) 95 minimum ground-to-conductor (wire) clearance requirements, eliminate conductor over-tensioning, and replace outdated facilities with newer, more resilient ones (replacement of existing power line facilities), Pacific Gas and Electric Company (PG&E) is proposing to replace conductors over approximately 2.8 miles of the existing Trinity-Cottonwood 115 kilovolt (kV) Power Line within Whiskeytown National Park (WNP) in rural Shasta County. PG&E also proposes to replace conductors over approximately 15.4 miles of the same power line within rural Shasta County and the cities of Redding and Anderson.

The 2.8-mile segment begins at an existing PG&E 2-pole structure in WNP, approximately 750 feet north of the intersection of Whiskey Creek Road and State Route 299 (SR 299), continuing southeast approximately 2.8 miles in WNP, crossing over Whiskeytown Lake and SR 299, and terminating at an existing PG&E 3-pole structure approximately 1,050 feet southeast of the intersection of JF Kennedy Memorial Road and SR 299. Along this segment, PG&E will also replace approximately

6 existing wood and light duty steel pole (LDSP) 2-pole and 3-pole structures with new, approximately 2-10 feet taller 2-pole and 3-pole LDSP structures. Approximately 4.7 miles northwest of the 2.8-mile segment within WNP, PG&E will replace 2 existing wood 2-pole structures with 2 new (approximately 7 feet taller) LDSP 2-pole structures which are located approximately 1,625 feet northwest and 1,425 feet northeast of the intersection of Trinity Mountain Road with SR 299.

The 15.4 mile segment begins at an existing PG&E 2-pole structure located approximately 350 feet north of the intersection of Delano Drive and Tree Stand Trail in rural Shasta County, continuing southeasterly approximately 15.4 miles through unincorporated Shasta County, the cities of Redding and Anderson. The line extends through and adjacent to federal, rural residential and commercial lands, crossing Olney Creek, Tadpole Creek, Clear Creek, Olinda Creek, Anderson Creek, Anderson Cottonwood Canal, 4 unnamed intermittent streams, Interstate Highway 5, and terminates at PG&E's Cottonwood Substation, 20280 Trefoil Lane in the City of Anderson. PG&E will also replace approximately 38 existing wood and LDSP 2-pole and 3-pole structures with 38 new, approximately 2-21 feet taller 2-pole and 3-pole LDSP and tubular steel pole (TSP) structures (replacement of existing power line facilities); remove 2 existing wood 2-pole structures in an active quarry, and within the quarry replace 3 existing wood 2-pole structures with 3 new approximately 67-75 feet taller TSP mono-pole structures; and add 1 new 58-foot tall LDSP 3-pole structure in an open space utility corridor approximately 0.7 miles southwest of the intersection of Simmons Road and Trail Drive, Shasta County (intersetting of additional support structures). Approximately 2.3 miles northwest of the 15.4 mile segment, approximately 450 feet west of the intersection of Brunswick Drive and Winthrop Court in rural Shasta County, PG&E will replace 1 existing wood 2-pole structure with 1 new (approximately 12 feet taller) LDSP 3-pole structure.

Taller structures are needed to comply with CPUC GO 95 minimum ground-to-conductor clearance requirements while accommodating hilly terrain. The 3 new approximately 67-75 feet taller TSP mono-pole structures are needed to comply with safety requirements in an active quarry, support longer span lengths (removal of 2 structures), and mitigate cut and fill grading activities within the quarry beneath the power line.

Helicopters will be used to facilitate construction in rural areas and minimize ground disturbance. The project will span over Whiskeytown Lake, Olney Creek, Tadpole Creek, Clear Creek, Olinda Creek, Anderson Creek, Anderson Cottonwood Canal, and 4 unnamed intermittent streams. However, no work will occur within the lake, bed or bank of the lake or streams, or within wetlands, and no removal of riparian vegetation is required. Construction is tentatively scheduled to begin in July 2022, or as soon as practicable after project approval. Construction will be completed in June 2023, or as soon as possible after construction begins.

Exemption Under GO 131-D

CPUC General Order 131-D, Section III, Subsection B.1 exempts a utility from the CPUC's requirement to file an application requesting authority to construct if a project meets specific conditions. This project qualifies for the following exemption(s):

- b. "the replacement of existing power line facilities or supporting structures with equivalent facilities or structures."
- c. "intersetting of additional support structures between existing support structures."

This project consists of the replacement of outdated 115 kV conductors and supporting structures with new 115 kV conductors and supporting structures that have the same form and function as the existing facilities. Approximately 50 existing wood and light duty steel pole structures will be replaced with approximately 50 new light duty steel poles and tubular steel pole structures, and 2 additional structures will be removed. The line and structure replacement is within the same utility corridor, following the same route as the existing lines.

One new interset structure will be installed along the power line alignment to comply with GO 95 clearance requirements.

None of the exceptions to the exemptions in Section III B.2, as specified in CEQA Guidelines section 15300.2, exist to disqualify this project from the NOC process.

The exceptions, and why they do not apply, include the following:

- a. There is a reasonable possibility that the activity may impact an environmental resource of hazardous or critical concern where designated, precisely mapped and officially adopted pursuant to law by federal, state, or local agencies.

Discussion: There is no federally- or state-designated critical habitat for any special-status species within the project area. Jurisdictional waters and wetlands within the project area will be avoided. No other designated, mapped and officially-adopted resources of hazardous or critical concern are known to occur in the project area.

- b. The cumulative impact of successive projects of the same type in the same place, over time, is significant.

Discussion: PG&E is not aware of any other projects of the same type in the same place, or over time, that would result in significant cumulative impacts. Moreover, the proposed project would minimize the frequency of repairs and reduce the need for similar work within the transmission corridor in the future.

- c. There is a reasonable possibility that the activity will have a significant effect on the environment due to unusual circumstances.

Discussion: There is no reasonable possibility that the project will have a significant effect on the environment due to unusual circumstances. In *Berkeley Hillside Preservation v. City of Berkeley* (2015) 60 Cal.4th 1086 (Berkeley Hillside), the California Supreme Court found that, to apply this exception, the lead agency must find both (a) an unusual circumstance that makes this project different from others in the class, and (b) a reasonable possibility of a significant impact due to that unusual circumstance. (Id. at 1104-1105.) Thus, the first question is whether there is an unusual circumstance that would make this project different from others in the class.

PG&E is continually undertaking reconductoring projects throughout its territory, most of which require replacement, removal and/or addition of structures as well as replacement of the conductors. Numerous reconductoring projects have established laydown yards, used helicopters for construction, required vegetation removal or surface recontouring, used overland access, and adjusted structure locations to avoid resources or other impacts. There is nothing about this project that is different from others in its class. In litigation over the meaning of “unusual circumstances,” most courts have declined to find that circumstances were unusual; examples where unusual circumstances were identified were striking in their difference from the norm, including, e.g., a project in a leaking landfill (*Azusa Land Reclamation Company v. Main San Gabriel Basin Watermaster* (1997) 52 Cal.App. 4th 1665, 1207), involving a particular historic wall (*Committee to Save the Hollywoodland Specific Plan v. City of Los Angeles* (2008) 161 Cal.App 4th 1168, 1187), and stock car racing at a fairgrounds near residences (*Lewis v. Seventeenth Dist. Agricultural Ass’n* (1985) 165 Cal App. 3d 823). Each of these cases presented circumstances that were atypical for the type of project proposed and created potentially significant effects due to the unusual circumstances. There is no similar anomaly present in this project.

Even if unusual circumstances were present, which they are not, there is no reasonable possibility of a significant impact due to an unusual circumstance. As explained above, no significant impacts of any kind have been identified. This project consists of replacing existing power line facilities with similar facilities. It has been designed to avoid impacts to biological resources, and no other potential impacts have been identified.

Protests

Anyone wishing to protest this submittal may do so by letter sent electronically via E-mail with the CPUC and the Company by June 8, 2022, which is 20 days after the date of this submittal. Protests should be emailed to the following address:

CPUC Energy Division
ED Tariff Unit
E-Mail: EDTariffUnit@cpuc.ca.gov

The protest shall also be electronically sent to PG&E via E-mail at the address shown below on the same date it is electronically delivered to the Commission:

Sidney Bob Dietz II
Director, Regulatory Relations
c/o Megan Lawson
E-Mail: PGETariffs@pge.com

Persons or groups may protest the proposed construction if they believe that the Company has incorrectly applied for an exemption or that the conditions set out in Section III.B.2 of G.O. 131-D exist.

Public Comment

Individuals or groups who do not file an official protest may still submit a comment on the request to the Energy Division at the address noted above, referencing Advice Letter 6602-E.

Effective Date

The Company requests that this Tier 2 advice letter become effective on June 18, 2022, which is 30 days after the date of submittal. (In accordance with G.O. 131-D, construction will not begin until 45 days after notice is first published.)

Notice

A copy of this advice letter is being sent electronically to parties shown on the attached list, including the parties listed in G.O. 131-D, Section XI, Paragraphs B.1 and B.2. These parties are identified in the "Notice Distribution List" included in Attachment I. All electronic approvals should be sent to e-mail PGETariffs@pge.com. Advice letter submittals can also be accessed electronically at <http://www.pge.com/tariffs/>.

_____/S/
Sidney Bob Dietz II
Director, Regulatory Relations

cc: Parties Listed in G.O. 131-D, Paragraphs B.1 and B.2

Attachments



ADVICE LETTER SUMMARY

ENERGY UTILITY

MUST BE COMPLETED BY UTILITY (Attach additional pages as needed)

Company name/CPUC Utility No.: Pacific Gas and Electric Company (ID U39 E)

Utility type:

- ELC GAS WATER
 PLC HEAT

Contact Person: Kimberly Loo

Phone #: (415)973-4587

E-mail: PGETariffs@pge.com

E-mail Disposition Notice to: KELM@pge.com

EXPLANATION OF UTILITY TYPE

ELC = Electric GAS = Gas WATER = Water
 PLC = Pipeline HEAT = Heat

(Date Submitted / Received Stamp by CPUC)

Advice Letter (AL) #: 6602-E

Tier Designation: 2

Subject of AL: Submits Notice of Construction, Pursuant to General Order 131-D, for the Construction of Trinity-Cottonwood 115 kV Power Line Reconductoring and Pole Replacement Project in the Cities of Redding and Anderson, County of Shasta

Keywords (choose from CPUC listing): G.O. 131-D

AL Type: Monthly Quarterly Annual One-Time Other:

If AL submitted in compliance with a Commission order, indicate relevant Decision/Resolution #:

Does AL replace a withdrawn or rejected AL? If so, identify the prior AL: No

Summarize differences between the AL and the prior withdrawn or rejected AL:

Confidential treatment requested? Yes No

If yes, specification of confidential information:

Confidential information will be made available to appropriate parties who execute a nondisclosure agreement. Name and contact information to request nondisclosure agreement/ access to confidential information:

Resolution required? Yes No

Requested effective date: 6/18/22

No. of tariff sheets: 0

Estimated system annual revenue effect (%): N/A

Estimated system average rate effect (%): N/A

When rates are affected by AL, include attachment in AL showing average rate effects on customer classes (residential, small commercial, large C/I, agricultural, lighting).

Tariff schedules affected: N/A

Service affected and changes proposed¹: N/A

Pending advice letters that revise the same tariff sheets: N/A

¹Discuss in AL if more space is needed.

Protests and correspondence regarding this AL are to be sent via email and are due no later than 20 days after the date of this submittal, unless otherwise authorized by the Commission, and shall be sent to:

California Public Utilities Commission
Energy Division Tariff Unit Email:
EDTariffUnit@cpuc.ca.gov

Contact Name: Sidnev Bob Dietz II. c/o Megan Lawson
Title: Director, Regulatory Relations
Utility/Entity Name: Pacific Gas and Electric Company

Telephone (xxx) xxx-xxxx:
Facsimile (xxx) xxx-xxxx:
Email: PGETariffs@pge.com

Contact Name:
Title:
Utility/Entity Name:

Telephone (xxx) xxx-xxxx:
Facsimile (xxx) xxx-xxxx:
Email:

CPUC
Energy Division Tariff Unit
505 Van Ness Avenue
San Francisco, CA 94102

Clear Form

NOTICE OF PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION

Para más detalles llame 1-800-660-6789

PROJECT NAME: TRINITY-COTTONWOOD 115kV POWER LINE RECONDUCTORING and POLE REPLACEMENT PROJECT (Cities of Redding and Anderson, and County of Shasta, P. No. 5792158)
ADVICE LETTER NUMBER: 6602-E

Proposed Project: To comply with California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) General Order (GO) 95 minimum ground-to-conductor (wire) clearance requirements, eliminate conductor over-tensioning, and replace outdated facilities with newer, more resilient ones (replacement of existing power line facilities), Pacific Gas and Electric Company (PG&E) is proposing to replace conductors over approximately 2.8 miles of the existing Trinity-Cottonwood 115 kilovolt (kV) Power Line within Whiskeytown National Park (WNP) in rural Shasta County. PG&E also proposes to replace conductors over approximately 15.4 miles of the same power line within rural Shasta County and the cities of Redding and Anderson.

The 2.8-mile segment begins at an existing PG&E 2-pole structure in WNP, approximately 750 feet north of the intersection of Whiskey Creek Road and State Route 299 (SR 299), continuing southeast approximately 2.8 miles in WNP, crossing over Whiskeytown Lake and SR 299, and terminating at an existing PG&E 3-pole structure approximately 1,050 feet southeast of the intersection of JF Kennedy Memorial Road and SR 299. Along this segment, PG&E will also replace approximately 6 existing wood and light duty steel pole (LDSP) 2-pole and 3-pole structures with new, approximately 2-10 feet taller 2-pole and 3-pole LDSP structures. Approximately 4.7 miles northwest of the 2.8-mile segment within WNP, PG&E will replace 2 existing wood 2-pole structures with 2 new (approximately 7 feet taller) LDSP 2-pole structures which are located approximately 1,625 feet northwest and 1,425 feet northeast of the intersection of Trinity Mountain Road with SR 299.

The 15.4 mile segment begins at an existing PG&E 2-pole structure located approximately 350 feet north of the intersection of Delano Drive and Tree Stand Trail in rural Shasta County, continuing southeasterly approximately 15.4 miles through unincorporated Shasta County, the cities of Redding and Anderson. The line extends through and adjacent to federal, rural residential and commercial lands, crossing Olney Creek, Tadpole Creek, Clear Creek, Olinda Creek, Anderson Creek, Anderson Cottonwood Canal, 4 unnamed intermittent streams, Interstate Highway 5, and terminates at PG&E's Cottonwood Substation, 20280 Trefoil Lane in the City of Anderson. PG&E will also replace approximately 38 existing wood and LDSP 2-pole and 3-pole structures with 38 new, approximately 2-21 feet taller 2-pole and 3-pole LDSP and tubular steel pole (TSP) structures (replacement of existing power line facilities); remove 2 existing wood 2-pole structures in an active quarry, and within the quarry replace 3 existing wood 2-pole structures with 3 new approximately 67-75 feet taller TSP mono-pole structures; and add 1 new 58-foot tall LDSP 3-pole structure in an open space utility corridor approximately 0.7 miles southwest of the intersection of Simmons Road and Trail Drive, Shasta County (intersetting of additional support structures). Approximately 2.3 miles northwest of the 15.4 mile segment, approximately 450 feet west of the intersection of Brunswick Drive and Winthrop Court in rural Shasta County, PG&E will replace 1 existing wood 2-pole structure with 1 new (approximately 12 feet taller) LDSP 3-pole structure.

Taller structures are needed to comply with CPUC GO 95 minimum ground-to-conductor clearance requirements while accommodating hilly terrain. The 3 new approximately 67-75 feet taller TSP mono-pole structures are needed to comply with safety requirements in an active quarry, support longer span lengths (removal of 2 structures), and mitigate cut and fill grading activities within the quarry beneath the power line.

Helicopters will be used to facilitate construction in rural areas and minimize ground disturbance. The project will span over Whiskeytown Lake, Olney Creek, Tadpole Creek, Clear Creek, Olinda Creek, Anderson Creek, Anderson Cottonwood Canal, and 4 unnamed intermittent streams. However, no work will occur within the lake, bed or bank of the lake or streams, or within wetlands, and no removal of riparian vegetation is required. Construction is tentatively scheduled to begin in July 2022, or as soon as practicable after project approval. Construction will be completed in June 2023, or as soon as possible after construction begins.

Exemption from CPUC Permit Requirement: CPUC General Order 131-D, Section III, Subsection B.1, exempts a utility from the CPUC's requirement to file an application requesting authority to construct if a project meets specific conditions. This project qualifies for the following exemptions:

- b. "the replacement of existing power line facilities or supporting structures with equivalent facilities or structures."
- c. "intersetting of additional support structures between existing support structures."

Additional Project Information: To obtain further information on the proposed project, please call PG&E's Project Information Line at (415) 973-5530.

Public Review Process: Individuals or groups may protest the proposed construction if they believe that PG&E has incorrectly applied for an exemption or that the conditions set out in Section III.B.2 of General Order 131-D exist;

- a. There is reasonable possibility that the activity may have an impact on an environmental resource of hazardous or critical concern where designated, precisely mapped and officially adopted pursuant to law by federal, state, or local agencies; or
- b. The cumulative impact of successive projects of the same type in the same place, over time, is significant; or
- c. There is a reasonable possibility that the activity will have a significant effect on the environment due to unusual circumstances.

Protests should include the following:

1. Your name, email address and daytime telephone number.
2. Reference to the CPUC Advice Letter Number and Project Name.
3. A clear description of the reason for the protest.
4. Whether you believe that evidentiary hearings are necessary to resolve factual disputes.

Protests for this project must be filed by June 8, 2022 at the following address:

Director, Energy Division
 ED Tariff Unit
 E-mail: EDTariffUnit@cpuc.ca.gov

With a copy emailed to:
 Pacific Gas and Electric Company
 PG&E Tariffs
 E-mail: PGETariffs@pge.com

PG&E must respond within five business days of receipt and serve its response on each protestant and the Energy Division. Within 30 days after PG&E has submitted its response, the CPUC Executive Director will send you a copy of an Executive Resolution granting or denying PG&E's request and stating the reasons for the decision.

Assistance in Filing a Protest: If you need assistance in filing a protest, contact the CPUC Public Advisor:
 email: public.advisor@cpuc.ca.gov or call: 1-866-849-8390 (toll-free), or (415) 703-2074, or TTY (415) 703-5258.

Public Comment: Even if you do not want to file an official protest, you may still comment on the request. To do so, send your comments to the Energy Division at the address noted above. Please reference Advice Letter 6602-E.

Notice Distribution List

Trinity-Cottonwood 115kV Power Line Reconductoring and Pole Replacement Project
(Cities of Redding and Anderson, and County of Shasta)

Advice 6602-E

Energy Commission

Mr. Drew Bohan, Executive Director
California Energy Commission
1516 Ninth Street, Mail Stop 39
Sacramento, California 95814
Drew.Bohan@energy.ca.gov

Ms. Linda Spiegel, Chief Deputy Director
California Energy Commission
1516 Ninth Street, Mail Stop 39
Sacramento, California 95814
Linda.Spiegel@energy.ca.gov

California Department of Fish and Wildlife

Ms. Tina Bartlett
California Department of Fish and Wildlife, Northern Region (1)
601 Locust Street
Redding, CA 96001
Tina.Bartlett@wildlife.ca.gov

County of Shasta

Mr. Paul Hellman, Director
Shasta County Department of Resource Management
1855 Placer Street, Suite 103
Redding, CA 96001
resourcemanagement@co.shasta.ca.us

City of Redding

Ms. Lily Toy, Planning Director
City of Redding Planning Department
777 Cypress Avenue
Redding, CA 96001
planning@cityofredding.org

City of Anderson

Ms. Liz Cottrell, Development Services Director
City of Anderson Development Services Department
2nd Floor
1887 Howard Street
Anderson, CA 96007
lcottrell@ci.anderson.ca.us

Newspaper

Redding Record Searchlight

PG&E Gas and Electric Advice Submittal List

AT&T Albion Power Company	East Bay Community Energy Ellison Schneider & Harris LLP Engineers and Scientists of California	Pioneer Community Energy
Alta Power Group, LLC Anderson & Poole	GenOn Energy, Inc. Goodin, MacBride, Squeri, Schlotz & Ritchie Green Power Institute Hanna & Morton ICF International Power Technology	Public Advocates Office Redwood Coast Energy Authority Regulatory & Cogeneration Service, Inc. SCD Energy Solutions San Diego Gas & Electric Company
Atlas ReFuel BART		SPURR San Francisco Water Power and Sewer Sempra Utilities
Barkovich & Yap, Inc. Braun Blasing Smith Wynne, P.C. California Cotton Ginners & Growers Assn California Energy Commission	Intertie	Sierra Telephone Company, Inc. Southern California Edison Company Southern California Gas Company Spark Energy Sun Light & Power Sunshine Design Stoel Rives LLP
California Hub for Energy Efficiency Financing	Intestate Gas Services, Inc. Kelly Group Ken Bohn Consulting Keyes & Fox LLP Leviton Manufacturing Co., Inc.	Tecogen, Inc. TerraVerde Renewable Partners Tiger Natural Gas, Inc.
California Alternative Energy and Advanced Transportation Financing Authority California Public Utilities Commission Calpine	Los Angeles County Integrated Waste Management Task Force MRW & Associates Manatt Phelps Phillips Marin Energy Authority McClintock IP McKenzie & Associates	TransCanada Utility Cost Management Utility Power Solutions Water and Energy Consulting Wellhead Electric Company Western Manufactured Housing Communities Association (WMA) Yep Energy
Cameron-Daniel, P.C. Casner, Steve Center for Biological Diversity	Modesto Irrigation District NLine Energy, Inc. NRG Solar	
Chevron Pipeline and Power City of Palo Alto	OnGrid Solar Pacific Gas and Electric Company Peninsula Clean Energy	
City of San Jose Clean Power Research Coast Economic Consulting Commercial Energy Crossborder Energy Crown Road Energy, LLC Davis Wright Tremaine LLP Day Carter Murphy		
Dept of General Services Don Pickett & Associates, Inc. Douglass & Liddell		