

PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
505 Van Ness Avenue
San Francisco CA 94102-3298



Pacific Gas & Electric Company
ELC (Corp ID 39)
Status of Advice Letter 6382E
As of November 16, 2021

Subject: Submits Notice of Construction, Pursuant to General Order 131-D, for the Construction of the Stanislaus-Manteca 115 kV Reliability Project in Stanislaus, Calaveras, and Tuolumne counties

Division Assigned: Energy

Date Filed: 10-28-2021

Date to Calendar: 11-01-2021

Authorizing Documents: None

Disposition:	Accepted
Effective Date:	11-21-2021

Resolution Required: No

Resolution Number: None

Commission Meeting Date: None

CPUC Contact Information:

edtariffunit@cpuc.ca.gov

AL Certificate Contact Information:

Kimberly Loo

415-973-4587

PGETariffs@pge.com

PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
505 Van Ness Avenue
San Francisco CA 94102-3298



To: Energy Company Filing Advice Letter

From: Energy Division PAL Coordinator

Subject: Your Advice Letter Filing

The Energy Division of the California Public Utilities Commission has processed your recent Advice Letter (AL) filing and is returning an AL status certificate for your records.

The AL status certificate indicates:

- Advice Letter Number
- Name of Filer
- CPUC Corporate ID number of Filer
- Subject of Filing
- Date Filed
- Disposition of Filing (Accepted, Rejected, Withdrawn, etc.)
- Effective Date of Filing
- Other Miscellaneous Information (e.g., Resolution, if applicable, etc.)

The Energy Division has made no changes to your copy of the Advice Letter Filing; please review your Advice Letter Filing with the information contained in the AL status certificate, and update your Advice Letter and tariff records accordingly.

All inquiries to the California Public Utilities Commission on the status of your Advice Letter Filing will be answered by Energy Division staff based on the information contained in the Energy Division's PAL database from which the AL status certificate is generated. If you have any questions on this matter please contact the:

Energy Division's Tariff Unit by e-mail to
edtariffunit@cpuc.ca.gov



Sidney Bob Dietz II
Director
Regulatory Relations

Pacific Gas and Electric Company
77 Beale St., Mail Code B13U
P.O. Box 770000
San Francisco, CA 94177

Fax: 415-973-3582

October 28, 2021

Advice 6382-E
(Pacific Gas and Electric Company ID U39 E)

Public Utilities Commission of the State of California

Subject: Submits Notice of Construction, Pursuant to General Order 131-D, for the Construction of the Stanislaus-Manteca 115 kV Reliability Project in Stanislaus, Calaveras, and Tuolumne counties.

Pacific Gas and Electric Company (PG&E or the Company) hereby submits notice pursuant to General Order (G.O.) 131-D, Section XI, Subsection B.4, of the construction of facilities that are exempt from a Permit to Construct.

Purpose

This advice letter provides a copy of the Notice of Proposed Construction (Attachment I) and the Notice Distribution List, which comply with the noticing requirements found in G.O. 131-D, Section XI.

Background

Pacific Gas and Electric Company (PG&E) is proposing to replace outdated copper conductors (wires) and their supporting structures within an approximately 35-mile utility corridor between PG&E's Stanislaus Powerhouse in Tuolumne County and Dodds Road in Stanislaus County. PG&E is making a system-wide effort to replace old copper conductor within its transmission system; replacing it with new conductor will improve reliability for almost 75,000 residences and businesses in the region. At the same time, replacing the lines will reduce wildfire risk in this largely tier-two fire hazard area and facilitate delivery of hydroelectric power from the Stanislaus River. Most of this corridor contains two parallel 115 kV power lines, one double-circuit and one single-circuit, which extend from the powerhouse through Calaveras County and eventually split from each other in Stanislaus County north of Oakdale. To minimize customer electric service outages during construction, the double-circuit line will become a single-circuit line and the single-circuit line will become a double-circuit line where the lines run parallel to each other. The project will include the replacement of approximately 393 existing lattice steel towers and 19 steel poles with approximately 391 lattice steel towers and 19 tubular steel poles. Existing structures ranging from 50 to 130 feet tall will

be replaced with new structures approximately between 72 and 145 feet tall. As with the existing lines, marker balls will be installed on some wires to comply with Federal Aviation Administration requirements, and non-intrusive safety lighting may be added to taller structures. The taller structures are needed to comply with CPUC General Order 95 ground-to-conductor clearance requirements while ensuring proper separation of consolidated circuits and accommodating hilly topography.

The project extends almost entirely through rural, mountainous terrain. It begins at PG&E's Stanislaus Powerhouse (Camp 9 Road) in unincorporated Tuolumne County, where the parallel double-circuit and single circuit lines immediately cross westerly over the Stanislaus River into Calaveras County, and then continue west and southwest for approximately 7 miles. The alignment crosses State Route Highway 4 at Angels Road, and continues in a southwesterly direction for approximately 4 miles, crossing State Route Highway 49 and through a rural area within the City of Angels Camp. The parallel lines then continue over and avoid Angel Creek and Thompson Creek, heading southwest for 8 miles, crossing State Route Highway 4 at Rock Creek Road. The lines continue for approximately 10 miles through rural land in Calaveras and Stanislaus Counties, running adjacent to State Route Highway 4. The two lines reach a junction in rural farmland ½-mile south of Dunton Road, where the single-circuit Stanislaus-Melones Sw Sta-Manteca 115 kV Line continues west for approximately 1.5 miles, ending at Milton Road. The Stanislaus-Melones-Manteca No. 1 and Stanislaus-Manteca No. 2 115 kV lines continue southwest from the junction through rural land for approximately 5.5 miles, running adjacent to Woodward Reservoir and crossing 26 Mile Road to Dodds Road, where the replacement project ends. The utility corridor spans the Stanislaus River, New Melones Lake, and several creeks and unnamed ephemeral drainages, but no work is planned in stream channels or riparian habitats. Helicopters will be used to facilitate construction in undeveloped areas. Construction is tentatively scheduled to begin in the third quarter of 2023, or as soon as practicable after project approval, with completion in 2025, or as soon as possible after construction begins.

CPUC General Order 131-D requires utilities to employ "no cost" and specified "low cost" measures to reduce public exposure to electric and magnetic fields (EMFs) in accordance with CPUC Decision 06-01-042 and the "EMF Guidelines for Electrical Facilities" prepared in compliance with that decision. The following measures are proposed for this project:

- Increase the height of overhead lines, more than otherwise required, to reduce EMF strength at ground level;
- Optimize phase configuration by "cross-phasing" individual circuits to cancel magnetic fields.

This submittal will not cause the withdrawal of service, or conflict with any other rate schedule or rule.

Exemption Under GO 131-D

CPUC General Order 131-D, Section III, Subsection B.1 exempts a utility from the CPUC's requirement to file an application requesting authority to construct if a project meets specific conditions. This project qualifies for the following exemption(s):

- b. "the replacement of existing power line facilities or supporting structures with equivalent facilities or structures."

This project consists of the replacement of outdated 115 kV conductors and supporting structures with new 115 kV conductors and supporting structures that have the same form and function as the existing facilities. Approximately 393 existing lattice steel towers are being replaced with approximately 391 similar towers (approximately two fewer towers are being replaced due to better alignment between the parallel lines) and 19 steel poles are being replaced with 19 steel poles. The line replacement is within the same utility corridor, following the same route as the existing lines.

None of the exceptions to the exemptions in Section III B.2, as specified in CEQA Guidelines section 15300.2, exist to disqualify this project from the NOC process.

The exceptions, and why they do not apply, include the following:

- a. There is a reasonable possibility that the activity may impact an environmental resource of hazardous or critical concern where designated, precisely mapped and officially adopted pursuant to law by federal, state, or local agencies.

Discussion: There is no federally- or state-designated critical habitat for any special-status species within the project area. Jurisdictional waters and wetlands within the project area will be avoided. No other designated, mapped and officially-adopted resources of hazardous or critical concern are known to occur in the project area.

- b. The cumulative impact of successive projects of the same type in the same place, over time, is significant.

Discussion: PG&E is not aware of any other projects of the same type in the same place, or over time, that would result in significant cumulative impacts. Moreover, the proposed project would minimize the frequency of repairs and reduce the need for similar work within the transmission corridor in the future.

- c. There is a reasonable possibility that the activity will have a significant effect on the environment due to unusual circumstances.

Discussion: There is no reasonable possibility that the project will have a significant effect on the environment due to unusual circumstances. In *Berkeley Hillside Preservation v. City of Berkeley* (2015) 60 Cal.4th 1086 (Berkeley Hillside), the California Supreme Court found that, to apply this exception, the lead agency must find

both (a) an unusual circumstance that makes this project different from others in the class, and (b) a reasonable possibility of a significant impact due to that unusual circumstance. (Id. at 1104-1105.) Thus, the first question is whether there is an unusual circumstance that would make this project different from others in the class.

PG&E is continually undertaking reconductoring projects throughout its territory, most of which require replacement, removal and/or addition of structures as well as replacement of the conductors. This project is largely in remote mountainous areas, but PG&E's power lines pass through all different land-use types. Numerous reconductoring projects have established laydown yards, used helicopters for construction, required vegetation removal or surface recontouring, used overland access, and adjusted structure locations to avoid resources or other impacts. There is nothing about this project that is different from others in its class. In litigation over the meaning of "unusual circumstances," most courts have declined to find that circumstances were unusual; examples where unusual circumstances were identified were striking in their difference from the norm, including, e.g., a project in a leaking landfill (*Azusa Land Reclamation Company v. Main San Gabriel Basin Watermaster* (1997) 52 Cal.App. 4th 1665, 1207), involving a particular historic wall (*Committee to Save the Hollywoodland Specific Plan v. City of Los Angeles* (2008) 161 Cal.App 4th 1168, 1187), and stock car racing at a fairgrounds near residences (*Lewis v. Seventeenth Dist. Agricultural Ass'n* (1985) 165 Cal App. 3d 823). Each of these cases presented circumstances that were atypical for the type of project proposed and created potentially significant effects due to the unusual circumstances. There is no similar anomaly present in this project.

Even if unusual circumstances were present, which they are not, there is no reasonable possibility of a significant impact. As explained above, no significant impacts of any kind have been identified. This project consists of replacing existing power lines with similar facilities in a largely remote, mountainous area. It has been designed to avoid impacts to biological resources, and no other potential impacts have been identified.

Protests

*****Due to the COVID-19 pandemic, PG&E is currently unable to receive protests or comments to this advice letter via U.S. mail or fax. Please submit protests or comments to this advice letter to EDTariffUnit@cpuc.ca.gov and PGETariffs@pge.com*****

Anyone wishing to protest this submittal may do so by submitting a protest with the CPUC and the Company by November 17, 2021, which is 20 days after the date of this submittal. Protests should be mailed to the following address:

CPUC Energy Division
ED Tariff Unit
505 Van Ness Avenue, 4th Floor
San Francisco, California 94102

Facsimile: (415) 703-2200
E-Mail: EDTariffUnit@cpuc.ca.gov

Copies of protests also should be mailed to the attention of the Director, Energy Division, Room 4004, at the address show above.

The protest also should be sent via U.S. mail (and by facsimile and electronically, if possible) to PG&E at the address shown below on the same date it is mailed or delivered to the Commission:

David T. Kraska
Attorney, Law Department
Pacific Gas and Electric Company
P.O. Box 7442
San Francisco, California 94120

Facsimile: (415) 973-0516

Sidney Bob Dietz II
Director, Regulatory Relations
c/o Megan Lawson
Pacific Gas and Electric Company
P.O. Box 770000, Mail Code B13U
San Francisco, California 94177

Facsimile: (415) 973-3582
E-Mail: PGETariffs@pge.com

Persons or groups may protest the proposed construction if they believe that the Company has incorrectly applied for an exemption or that the conditions set out in Section III.B.2 of G.O. 131-D exist.

Public Comment

Individuals or groups who do not submit an official protest may still submit a comment on the request to the Energy Division at the address noted above, referencing Advice Letter 6382-E.



ADVICE LETTER SUMMARY

ENERGY UTILITY



MUST BE COMPLETED BY UTILITY (Attach additional pages as needed)

Company name/CPUC Utility No.: Pacific Gas and Electric Company (ID U39E)

Utility type:

- ELC GAS WATER
 PLC HEAT

Contact Person: Kimberly Loo

Phone #: (415)973-4587

E-mail: PGETariffs@pge.com

E-mail Disposition Notice to: KELM@pge.com

EXPLANATION OF UTILITY TYPE

ELC = Electric GAS = Gas WATER = Water
 PLC = Pipeline HEAT = Heat

(Date Submitted / Received Stamp by CPUC)

Advice Letter (AL) #: 6382-E

Tier Designation: 2

Subject of AL: Submits Notice of Construction, Pursuant to General Order 131-D, for the Construction of the Stanislaus-Manteca 115 kV Reliability Project in Stanislaus, Calaveras, and Tuolumne counties

Keywords (choose from CPUC listing): Compliance, G.O. 131-D

AL Type: Monthly Quarterly Annual One-Time Other:

If AL submitted in compliance with a Commission order, indicate relevant Decision/Resolution #:

Does AL replace a withdrawn or rejected AL? If so, identify the prior AL: No

Summarize differences between the AL and the prior withdrawn or rejected AL:

Confidential treatment requested? Yes No

If yes, specification of confidential information:

Confidential information will be made available to appropriate parties who execute a nondisclosure agreement. Name and contact information to request nondisclosure agreement/ access to confidential information:

Resolution required? Yes No

Requested effective date: 11/27/21

No. of tariff sheets: 0

Estimated system annual revenue effect (%): N/A

Estimated system average rate effect (%): N/A

When rates are affected by AL, include attachment in AL showing average rate effects on customer classes (residential, small commercial, large C/I, agricultural, lighting).

Tariff schedules affected: N/A

Service affected and changes proposed¹: N/A

Pending advice letters that revise the same tariff sheets: N/A

¹Discuss in AL if more space is needed.

Protests and all other correspondence regarding this AL are due no later than 20 days after the date of this submittal, unless otherwise authorized by the Commission, and shall be sent to:

CPUC, Energy Division
Attention: Tariff Unit
505 Van Ness Avenue
San Francisco, CA 94102
Email: EDTariffUnit@cpuc.ca.gov

Name: Sidney Bob Dietz II, c/o Megan Lawson
Title: Director, Regulatory Relations
Utility Name: Pacific Gas and Electric Company
Address: 77 Beale Street, Mail Code B13U
City: San Francisco, CA 94177
State: California Zip: 94177
Telephone (xxx) xxx-xxxx: (415)973-2093
Facsimile (xxx) xxx-xxxx: (415)973-3582
Email: PGETariffs@pge.com

Name:
Title:
Utility Name:
Address:
City:
State: District of Columbia Zip:
Telephone (xxx) xxx-xxxx:
Facsimile (xxx) xxx-xxxx:
Email:

NOTICE OF PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION

Para más detalles llame 1-800-660-6789

NH

PROJECT NAME: Stanislaus-Manteca 115 kV Reliability Project - (Stanislaus, Calaveras and Tuolumne counties - P. No. 5782307)**ADVICE LETTER NUMBER: 6382-E**

Proposed Project: Pacific Gas and Electric Company (PG&E) is proposing to replace outdated copper conductors (wires) and their supporting structures within an approximately 35-mile utility corridor between PG&E's Stanislaus Powerhouse in Tuolumne County and Dodds Road in Stanislaus County. PG&E is making a system-wide effort to replace old copper conductor within its transmission system; replacing it with new conductor will improve reliability for almost 75,000 residences and businesses in the region. At the same time, replacing the lines will reduce wildfire risk in this largely tier-two fire hazard area and facilitate delivery of hydroelectric power from the Stanislaus River. Most of this corridor contains two parallel 115 kV power lines, one double-circuit and one single-circuit, which extend from the powerhouse through Calaveras County and eventually split from each other in Stanislaus County north of Oakdale. To minimize customer electric service outages during construction, the double-circuit line will become a single-circuit line and the single-circuit line will become a double-circuit line where the lines run parallel to each other. The project will include the replacement of approximately 393 existing lattice steel towers and 19 steel poles with approximately 391 lattice steel towers and 19 tubular steel poles. Existing structures ranging from 50 to 130 feet tall will be replaced with new structures approximately between 72 and 145 feet tall. As with the existing lines, marker balls will be installed on some wires to comply with Federal Aviation Administration requirements, and non-intrusive safety lighting may be added to taller structures. The taller structures are needed to comply with CPUC General Order 95 ground-to-conductor clearance requirements while ensuring proper separation of consolidated circuits and accommodating hilly topography.

The project extends almost entirely through rural, mountainous terrain. It begins at PG&E's Stanislaus Powerhouse (Camp 9 Road) in unincorporated Tuolumne County, where the parallel double-circuit and single circuit lines immediately cross westerly over the Stanislaus River into Calaveras County, and then continue west and southwest for approximately 7 miles. The alignment crosses State Route Highway 4 at Angels Road, and continues in a southwesterly direction for approximately 4 miles, crossing State Route Highway 49 and through a rural area within the City of Angels Camp. The parallel lines then continue over and avoid Angel Creek and Thompson Creek, heading southwest for 8 miles, crossing State Route Highway 4 at Rock Creek Road. The lines continue for approximately 10 miles through rural land in Calaveras and Stanislaus Counties, running adjacent to State Route Highway 4. The two lines reach a junction in rural farmland ½-mile south of Dunton Road, where the single-circuit Stanislaus-Melones Sw Sta-Manteca 115 kV Line continues west for approximately 1.5 miles, ending at Milton Road. The Stanislaus-Melones-Manteca No. 1 and Stanislaus-Manteca No. 2 115 kV lines continue southwest from the junction through rural land for approximately 5.5 miles, running adjacent to Woodward Reservoir and crossing 26 Mile Road to Dodds Road, where the replacement project ends. The utility corridor spans the Stanislaus River, New Melones Lake, and several creeks and unnamed ephemeral drainages, but no work is planned in stream channels or riparian habitats. Helicopters will be used to facilitate construction in undeveloped areas. Construction is tentatively scheduled to begin in the third quarter of 2023, or as soon as practicable after project approval, with completion in 2025, or as soon as possible after construction begins.

Electric and Magnetic Fields (EMF): PG&E will employ "no cost" and specified "low cost" measures to reduce public exposure to EMF in accordance with California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) Decision 06-01-042 and the "EMF Design Guidelines for Electrical Facilities" prepared in compliance with that decision. The following measures are proposed for this project:

- Increase the height of overhead lines in areas with nearby residences more than otherwise required to reduce EMF strength at ground level;
- Optimize phase configuration by "cross-phasing" individual circuits to cancel magnetic fields.

Exemption from CPUC Permit Requirement: CPUC General Order 131-D, Section III.B.1, exempts a utility from the CPUC's requirement to file an application requesting authority to construct if a project meets specific conditions. This project qualifies for the following exemption(s):

- b. "the replacement of existing power line facilities or supporting structures with equivalent facilities or structures."

Additional Project Information: To obtain further information on the proposed project, please call PG&E's Project Information Line at (415) 973-5530.

Public Review Process: Individuals or groups may protest the proposed construction if they believe that PG&E has incorrectly applied for an exemption or that the conditions set out in Section III.B.2 of General Order 131-D exist;

- a. There is reasonable possibility that the activity may have an impact on an environmental resource of hazardous or critical concern where designated, precisely mapped and officially adopted pursuant to law by federal, state, or local agencies; or
- b. The cumulative impact of successive projects of the same type in the same place, over time, is significant; or
- c. There is a reasonable possibility that the activity will have a significant effect on the environment due to unusual circumstances.

Protests should include the following:

1. Your name, mailing address and daytime telephone number.
2. Reference to the CPUC Advice Letter Number and Project Name.
3. A clear description of the reason for the protest.
4. Whether you believe that evidentiary hearings are necessary to resolve factual disputes.

*****Due to the COVID-19 pandemic, PG&E is currently unable to receive protests or comments to this advice letter via U.S. mail or fax. Please submit protests or comments to this advice letter to EDTariffUnit@cpuc.ca.gov and PGETariffs@pge.com*****

Protests for this project must be filed by November 17, 2021 at the following address:

Director, Energy Division
ED Tariff Unit
California Public Utilities Commission
505 Van Ness Avenue, Fourth Floor
San Francisco, California 94102

With a copy mailed to:
David Kraska, Law Department
Pacific Gas and Electric Company
P.O. Box 7442
San Francisco, California 94120

PG&E must respond within five business days of receipt and serve its response on each protestant and the Energy Division. Within 30 days after PG&E has submitted its response, the CPUC Executive Director will send you a copy of an Executive Resolution granting or denying PG&E's request and stating the reasons for the decision.

Assistance in Filing a Protest: If you need assistance in filing a protest, contact the CPUC Public Advisor:
email: public.advisor@cpuc.ca.gov or call: 1-866-849-8390 (toll-free), or (415) 703-2074, or TTY (415) 703-5258.

Public Comment: Even if you do not want to file an official protest, you may still comment on the request. To do so, send your comments to the Energy Division at the address noted above. Please reference Advice Letter 6382-E.

Notice Distribution List

Stanislaus-Manteca 115 kV Reliability Project - (Stanislaus, Calaveras, and Tuolumne County)

Advice 6382-E

Energy Commission

Drew Bohan, Executive Director
California Energy Commission
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, California 95814
Drew.Bohan@energy.ca.gov

Linda Spiegel, Chief Deputy Director
California Energy Commission
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, California 95814
Linda.Spiegel@energy.ca.gov

California Department of Fish and Wildlife

Mr. Kevin Thomas
California Department of Fish and Wildlife, Regional Manager - North Central Region
1701 Nimbus Road
Rancho Cordova, CA 95670
Kevin.Thomas@wildlife.ca.gov

California Regional Water Quality Control Board, Central Valley Region

Ms. Elizabeth M. Lee, P.E.
Municipal Storm Water Permitting Unit Chief
Central Valley Regional Water Quality Control Board
11020 Sun Center Drive, Suite 200
Rancho Cordova, CA 95670
Elizabeth.Lee@waterboards.ca.gov

Stanislaus County

Angela Freitas, Planning Director
Stanislaus County Planning Department
1010 10th Street, Suite 3400
Modesto, CA 95354
angela@stancounty.com

Calaveras County

Amber Collings, Interim Planning Director
Calaveras County Planning Department
891 Mountain Ranch Road
San Andreas, CA 95249
planningweb@co.calaveras.ca.us

Tuolumne County

Quincy Yaley, Community Development Department Director
Tuolumne County Community Development Department
2 South Green Street
Sonora, CA 95370
qyaley@co.tuolumne.ca.us

City of Angels Camp

David Hanham, Director of Planning and Building
City of Angels Camp Planning and Development Department
200 Monte Verda St. #B
Angels Camp, CA 95222
coa@angelscamp.gov

Newspaper

The Modesto Bee
The Mountain Chronicle
Calaveras Enterprise
The Union Democrat

PG&E Gas and Electric Advice Submittal List

AT&T Albion Power Company	East Bay Community Energy Ellison Schneider & Harris LLP Energy Management Service	Pioneer Community Energy
Alta Power Group, LLC Anderson & Poole	Engineers and Scientists of California	Public Advocates Office
Atlas ReFuel BART	GenOn Energy, Inc. Goodin, MacBride, Squeri, Schlotz & Ritchie	Redwood Coast Energy Authority Regulatory & Cogeneration Service, Inc. SCD Energy Solutions San Diego Gas & Electric Company
Barkovich & Yap, Inc. California Cotton Ginners & Growers Assn California Energy Commission	Green Power Institute Hanna & Morton ICF IGS Energy	SPURR San Francisco Water Power and Sewer Semptra Utilities
California Hub for Energy Efficiency Financing	International Power Technology	Sierra Telephone Company, Inc. Southern California Edison Company Southern California Gas Company Spark Energy Sun Light & Power Sunshine Design Sunshine Design Tecogen, Inc. TerraVerde Renewable Partners Tiger Natural Gas, Inc.
California Alternative Energy and Advanced Transportation Financing Authority California Public Utilities Commission Calpine	Intertie Intestate Gas Services, Inc. Kelly Group Ken Bohn Consulting Keyes & Fox LLP Leviton Manufacturing Co., Inc.	
Cameron-Daniel, P.C. Casner, Steve Cenergy Power Center for Biological Diversity	Los Angeles County Integrated Waste Management Task Force MRW & Associates Manatt Phelps Phillips Marin Energy Authority McKenzie & Associates	TransCanada Utility Cost Management Utility Power Solutions Water and Energy Consulting Wellhead Electric Company Western Manufactured Housing Communities Association (WMA) Yep Energy
Chevron Pipeline and Power City of Palo Alto	Modesto Irrigation District NLine Energy, Inc. NRG Solar	
City of San Jose Clean Power Research Coast Economic Consulting Commercial Energy Crossborder Energy Crown Road Energy, LLC Davis Wright Tremaine LLP Day Carter Murphy	OnGrid Solar Pacific Gas and Electric Company Peninsula Clean Energy	
Dept of General Services Don Pickett & Associates, Inc. Douglass & Liddell		