

PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

505 VAN NESS AVENUE



June 4, 2021

Advice Letter 6098-E-A

Erik Jacobson
Director, Regulatory Relations
Pacific Gas and Electric Company
77 Beale Street, Mail Code B10C
P.O. Box 770000
San Francisco, CA 94177

**SUBJECT: Joint Utility Workshop Plan to Solicit Input on Program Elements for the
Microgrid Incentive Program.**

Dear Mr. Jacobson:

Advice Letter 6098-E-A is effective as of May 12, 2021.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Edward Randolph".

Edward Randolph
Deputy Executive Director for Energy and Climate Policy/
Director, Energy Division

May 12, 2021

Advice 6098-E-A

(Pacific Gas and Electric Company ID U 39 E)

Advice 3700-E-A

(San Diego Gas & Electric Company U 902 E)

Advice 4424-E-A

(Southern California Edison Company U 338 E)

Public Utilities Commission of the State of California

Subject: Supplemental: Joint Utility Workshop Plan to Solicit Input on Program Elements for the Microgrid Incentive Program

I. Purpose

Pacific Gas and Electric Company (“PG&E”), Southern California Edison Company (“SCE”), and San Diego Gas & Electric Company (“SDG&E”) (collectively, the “Joint IOUs”) hereby submit this supplemental Tier 1 Advice Letter (“AL”) in compliance with the California Public Utilities Commission (“CPUC” or “Commission”) Decision (“D.”) 21-01-018 (“Decision”) Ordering Paragraph (“OP”) 5.

This supplemental advice letter replaces the original advice letter (PG&E Advice 6098-E, SDG&E Advice 3700-E, and SCE Advice 4424-E) in its entirety.

II. Background

The Commission initiated Rulemaking (“R.”) 19-09-009 to develop a policy framework to facilitate the commercialization of microgrids and related resiliency strategies, and to implement Senate Bill (“SB”) 1339 (Stern, 2018).

In Track 1 of the proceeding, the Commission adopted D.20-06-017, ordering short-term actions to accelerate microgrid deployment and related resiliency solutions, including solutions to accelerate interconnection of resiliency projects in advance of the 2020 wildfire season; modernizing existing tariffs to maximize resiliency benefits; solutions to promote collaborative engagement between the utilities and local and tribal governments; and approving an array of resiliency proposals set forth by PG&E and SDG&E.

On January 21, 2021, the Commission adopted the Decision in Track 2 of this proceeding, which approves, among other proposals, the development and implementation of a new microgrid incentive program to fund clean energy microgrids to support the critical needs of vulnerable populations impacted by grid outages. The Decision requires the Joint IOUs to collaborate with interested stakeholders via workshops and meetings to refine program design and implementation details. Additionally, the Decision requires the Joint IOUs to coordinate with Energy Division when establishing the workshops.

On February 22, 2021, the Joint IOUs submitted an advice letter¹ detailing the Joint IOUs' proposed workshop plan to solicit input on program elements for the Microgrid Incentive Program ("MIP"). Following discussions with Energy Division, the Joint IOUs submit this Supplemental Advice Letter with an updated workshop plan.

This supplemental AL details the Joint IOUs' updated workshop plan to solicit input on program elements for the Microgrid Incentive Program ("MIP") adopted in the Decision, including the number of meetings to be held and topics to be covered at each meeting. In addition, the advice letter describes the Joint IOUs' proposed stakeholder outreach plan for participation at the workshops. The plan is designed to encourage broad participation in the workshops in order to obtain a diverse range of views on various program elements to form a full program implementation plan.²

The key changes in the updated Plan from the original submittal are:

- Joint IOU plan to host six (6) workshops instead of four (4) workshops. This will allow stakeholders to have more comprehensive discussions on each topic to help inform the implementation plan;
- Additional description of how the Joint IOUs will reach out to and engage stakeholders with special interests in the MIP; and
- A list of Community Based Organizations (CBOs), which is not exhaustive, that the Joint IOUs will be contacting for participating in the MIP workshops and in development of the implementation plan.

III. Workshop Objectives & Key Features

The Joint IOUs have developed the Workshop Plan ("Plan") to solicit input on the program elements necessary for developing a full program implementation plan. Through a series of focused workshops, the Plan seeks to gather information that the Joint IOUs will need to design a program that meets the Commission's intent, while supporting the deployment of multi-property and/or multi-customer microgrids to meet critical needs of vulnerable populations most likely to be impacted by grid outages.

¹ PG&E Advice 6098-E, SDG&E Advice 3700-E, and SCE Advice 4424-E.

² The Decision's OP 6, corrected in D.21-02-002, requires the Joint IOUs to file an implementation plan within 120 days upon approval of this advice letter.

The updated Plan features six workshops, each of which will range from 2.5 hours to 4 hours. The Joint IOUs recognize that the current plan involves a significant time commitment from stakeholders. Designing a novel microgrid incentive program involves numerous impactful decisions, and the Joint IOUs aim to provide sufficient space for the level of stakeholder input that such decisions demand. The Joint IOUs recognize that some stakeholders will not be able to attend all six sessions or may not be interested in all topics. Thus, the Plan has sought to group topics such that they lead to cohesive discussions and also provide some opportunity for stakeholders to prioritize attendance. The Joint IOUs propose a format that focuses on stakeholder engagement and participation, seeking stakeholder input in the form of robust dialogue, written comments, or stakeholder presentations. Prior to each workshop, stakeholders are encouraged to collaborate and provide proposals for discussion during the workshop on relevant topics that may help identify program elements and support program implementation. The Joint IOUs will consider proposed agenda items and will provide a final agenda prior to each workshop.

The workshop schedule and topics identified in Section IV below will be provided to identified stakeholders upon approval of this advice letter. The information will include an introductory overview of the MIP process and schedule along with a list of topic-specific guiding questions for stakeholders to utilize to develop presentation proposals. After receiving the information, stakeholders will be directed to notify the Joint IOUs of the topics for which they would like to develop a presentation. As discussed above, depending on the number of proposals received for each workshop topic the Joint IOUs will encourage stakeholders to collaborate among themselves to offer joint proposals where possible and appropriate.

IV. Workshop Schedule and Topics

Session Name	Agenda Items & Description
Meeting 1: Laying the Foundation <i>What should guide the development of the MIP? How do we most effectively engage communities and target the MIP?</i>	Objectives and Goals <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Identify the overarching objectives. • These are established in the Staff Proposal and Track 2 Decision.
	Guiding Principles <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Review Guiding Principles as set forth in the Staff Proposal. • These principles should inform and motivate subsequent discussions.
	Community Benefit <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • What public good requirements should be placed upon projects? • What data regarding project costs, performance, etc. should be required to be made available to the CPUC, the Joint IOUs or the general public?
Meeting 2: Program Design <i>How can the program be</i>	Program Structure <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • What DER ownership/contracting models are appropriate for the MIP? • How do the Joint IOUs best cost-effectively incentivize microgrids that are aligned with the program objectives?

Session Name	Agenda Items & Description
<p><i>structured to optimally meet goals and objectives?</i></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • What should the incentive dollars pay for? Should a project have to be cost-effective to be funded? • How are incentives to be calculated? • What form should the incentive take (e.g. upfront grant or loan, ongoing PPA, etc.)?
	<p>Program Timing</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Should there be an opportunity window like other grant programs, where applications must be transmitted by a deadline, or should it be a rolling application period until the funding is exhausted? • When and how should program funding be dispersed?
<p>Meeting 3: Eligibility Criteria <i>How is eligibility for the program determined?</i></p>	<p>Eligibility Criteria</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • What types of projects and communities should be eligible to participate? • What are the requirements to become a “sponsor” of a project, and how will community support/buy-in be demonstrated? • What technical criteria are appropriate? • How should viable projects be identified? • How do we strike a balance between leveraging communities with the resources and funding to build microgrids while ensuring that disadvantaged communities have a fair opportunity?
	<p>Community Engagement</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • How should the utility share information about where the resiliency needs presently exist, which areas in California are most prone to outages, what grid projects are already planned in those areas (if any), and where investments in microgrids could be advantageous? • What role does the utility play?
<p>Meeting 4: Project Evaluation and Selection <i>How can project selection maximize program benefits? How should the program and individual projects be evaluated?</i></p>	<p>Project Cost-Effectiveness</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • How do we determine cost-effectiveness? • How should cost-effectiveness be scored? • How should match funding factor into cost effectiveness calculations?
	<p>Project Prioritization Criteria and Scoring Methodology</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Which of the eligibility criteria should also serve as prioritization criteria? Are there additional attributes that should be considered for prioritization? • How should projects be scored? Who should perform the scoring? How should results be validated?
<p>Meeting 5: Application and Review Process</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • How to balance ensuring sufficient information through the application process while not placing undue burden on applicants? • What steps can be taken to ensure the application process and incentive reservation triggers are equitable? • How do we ensure that resources are directed towards projects with a realistic probability of completion? • What are some of the standard milestones and timelines in the application process? Initial assessment and verification of eligibility

Session Name	Agenda Items & Description
	<p>requirements, scoring and prioritization, cost-effectiveness calculation, additional technical studies (interconnection and/or microgrid).</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • What is the appropriate process for MIP to incorporate the above steps, and where in the process does the formal application submission occur? • What contracts may be necessary?
Meeting 6: Program Evaluation	<p>Project Performance and Evaluation Requirements</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • What performance requirements should be placed on projects? • What are the metrics for determining project success? Who should be responsible for evaluating the performance? • What reporting requirements should there be for overall program performance?

V. Scheduling Process

The workshops will commence upon approval of this advice letter. Given that the workshops are essential input for determining the program implementation details, the Joint IOUs propose that the workshops be held as soon as practical following approval of the Workshop Plan Advice Letter.³ Stakeholder feedback during the workshops will inform the Joint IOUs' implementation plan.

Given the compressed timeframe for completing program design, it is likely that the workshops will not address or fully answer all of the many outstanding questions regarding design of the MIP. Having the workshops set early in the 120-day period will provide the Joint IOUs and parties time to continue to discuss key topics beyond the conclusion of the workshops, where necessary.

Thus, the Joint IOUs recommend the workshops begin roughly four weeks following the approval of this advice letter and be held weekly until completion. The Joint IOUs will select specific workshop dates and communicate those dates through the channels described in Section VI within five (5) business days of approval of this advice letter.

VI. Planned Stakeholder Outreach

Ensuring participation from a broad cross-section of stakeholders is valuable for constructive dialogue within the workshops and to inform the MIP design and implementation plan. Of particular importance is ensuring that the communities targeted by the MIP are invited and given opportunity to participate in the stakeholder workshops. This participation will guide the identification of specific resiliency needs and thereby facilitate effective program design to meet the identified needs.

³ Once advice letter approval is obtained, the Joint IOUs have 120 days to complete and file a joint implementation plan with full program implementation details per D.21-01-018, as revised by D.21-02-002.

Within five business days of the approval of the this advice letter, the Joint IOUs will notice the service lists for R.19-09-009 (Microgrids and Resiliency Strategies Rulemaking) and R.18-12-005 (De-Energization Rulemaking) with the confirmed date, time, and other necessary information for the series of stakeholder meetings.

The Joint IOUs realize that many key stakeholders in targeted communities may not be aware of the MIP or be parties to the Microgrids and Resiliency Strategies Rulemaking or the De-Energization Rulemaking. Therefore, prior to and following approval of this advice letter, the Joint IOUs will reach out to key stakeholders to promote participation, including:

- The Commission's Disadvantaged Communities Advisory Group;⁴
- Local government organizations, including the Local Government Sustainable Energy Coalition and the Rural County Representatives of California;
- Community Based Organizations ("CBOs"), using the lists each utility has developed for Public Safety Power Shutoffs ("PSPS") outreach;⁵⁶
- Local and tribal governments through each of the Joint IOUs' dedicated staffing;⁷ and
- Sending workshop notifications through appropriate existing PSPS communication and outreach channels.

To ensure participation from a broad range of stakeholders, IOU outreach will include but is not limited to the following methods:

- Direct emails to stakeholders on appropriate existing distribution lists;
- Direct emails to identified CBO and other contacts; and
- Follow up emails and/or phone calls to stakeholder contacts in cases where responses are not received.

The Joint IOUs recognize the importance that CBOs hold in reaching historically under-represented communities and will invite a wide selection of CBOs to these workshops, including those that are representative of populations within the Access and Functional Needs community and environmental and social justice groups that focus on directly providing assistance to disadvantaged communities.

In addition to outreach with CBOs and stakeholder advocacy groups, the Joint IOUs intend to utilize their existing relationships with community members as part of their

⁴ <https://www.energy.ca.gov/about/campaigns/equity-and-diversity/disadvantaged-communities-advisory-group>.

⁵ While these lists are not inclusive of all the CBOs that the Joint IOUs regularly coordinate with, it is a group that represents a cross-section of the stakeholders the Joint IOUs are trying to reach. The Joint IOUs would also consider input on other CBOs that stakeholders believe should be invited to participate.

⁶ The full CBO outreach list is provided as Attachment A of this advice letter.

⁷ See SDG&E AL 3571-E, SCE AL 4260-E, and PG&E AL 5918-E.

outreach efforts. Joint IOUs' dedicated staffing have established direct relationships with community members to support collaborative partnerships that will assist in meeting communities' resiliency needs through the microgrid incentive program.

The Joint IOUs will also seek engagement with key stakeholders by convening meetings with individual stakeholders or small groups of stakeholders, as directed by OP 5 of the Decision. The Joint IOUs will leverage these meetings to:

- Gather detailed input and feedback from stakeholders that may have a particular interest or expertise on a particular topic;
- Reach critical stakeholders that may be unable to participate in workshops;
- Continue to discuss and refine proposals put forth in the workshops; and
- Address additional key topic areas identified during workshops but not included in the agendas.

To the extent feasible and as scheduling allows, the Joint IOUs intend to utilize established workshops, meetings, or other means of pre-arranged collaboration efforts to minimize time constraints on interested stakeholders. For example, the Joint IOUs have recurring meetings with various local and tribal governments, Office of Emergency Services, and public safety partners as part of PSPS preparation that could serve as a vehicle for outreach during the development of the MIP.

VII. Workshop Reporting

The Joint IOUs note that the Decision requires the Joint IOUs to include in the Implementation Plan a report describing "the public workshops that were convened, including but not limited to the number and type of participants, and their inputs in the discussions."⁸ Thus, the Joint IOUs will document stakeholder names and organizational affiliation to the extent possible. The Joint IOUs will summarize stakeholder input received during the workshops and include meeting summaries in the report. Further, the Joint IOUs may make changes to workshop organizational structure and/or content based on direction from Commission staff.

VIII. Protests

Pursuant to CPUC General Order 96-B, Section 7.5.1, the Joint IOUs hereby request that the protest period be waived.

IX. Effective Date

Pursuant to General Order (GO) 96-B, Rule 5.1, and OP 5 of D. 21-01-018, this advice letter is submitted with a Tier 1 designation. PG&E requests that this Tier 1 advice submittal become effective upon date of submittal, which is May 12, 2021.

⁸ D.21-01-018, p. 70.

X. Notice

In accordance with General Order 96-B, Section IV, a copy of this Advice Letter is being sent electronically and via U.S. mail to parties shown on the attached list and the parties on the service lists R.19-09-009. Address changes to the General Order 96-B service list should be directed to PG&E at email address PGETariffs@pge.com. For changes to any other service list, please contact the Commission's Process Office at (415) 703-2021 or at Process_Office@cpuc.ca.gov. Send all electronic approvals to PGETariffs@pge.com. Advice letter submittals can also be accessed electronically at: <http://www.pge.com/tariffs/>.

_____/S/

Sidney Dietz
Director, Regulatory Relations

cc: Service Lists R.19-09-009

Attachments:

Attachment A: Community-Based Organizations Outreach List



ADVICE LETTER SUMMARY

ENERGY UTILITY



MUST BE COMPLETED BY UTILITY (Attach additional pages as needed)

Company name/CPUC Utility No.: Pacific Gas and Electric Company (ID U39E)

Utility type:

- ELC GAS WATER
 PLC HEAT

Contact Person: Kimberly Loo

Phone #: (415)973-4587

E-mail: PGETariffs@pge.com

E-mail Disposition Notice to: KELM@pge.com

EXPLANATION OF UTILITY TYPE

ELC = Electric GAS = Gas WATER = Water
 PLC = Pipeline HEAT = Heat

(Date Submitted / Received Stamp by CPUC)

Advice Letter (AL) #: 6098-E-A, et al.

Tier Designation: 1

Subject of AL: Supplemental: Joint Utility Workshop Plan to Solicit Input on Program Elements for the Microgrid Incentive Program

Keywords (choose from CPUC listing): Compliance

AL Type: Monthly Quarterly Annual One-Time Other:

If AL submitted in compliance with a Commission order, indicate relevant Decision/Resolution #: D.21-01-018

Does AL replace a withdrawn or rejected AL? If so, identify the prior AL: No

Summarize differences between the AL and the prior withdrawn or rejected AL:

Confidential treatment requested? Yes No

If yes, specification of confidential information:

Confidential information will be made available to appropriate parties who execute a nondisclosure agreement. Name and contact information to request nondisclosure agreement/ access to confidential information:

Resolution required? Yes No

Requested effective date: 5/12/21

No. of tariff sheets: 0

Estimated system annual revenue effect (%): N/A

Estimated system average rate effect (%): N/A

When rates are affected by AL, include attachment in AL showing average rate effects on customer classes (residential, small commercial, large C/I, agricultural, lighting).

Tariff schedules affected: N/A

Service affected and changes proposed¹: N/A

Pending advice letters that revise the same tariff sheets: N/A

¹Discuss in AL if more space is needed.

Protests and all other correspondence regarding this AL are due no later than 20 days after the date of this submittal, unless otherwise authorized by the Commission, and shall be sent to:

CPUC, Energy Division
Attention: Tariff Unit
505 Van Ness Avenue
San Francisco, CA 94102
Email: EDTariffUnit@cpuc.ca.gov

Name: Sidney Dietz, c/o Megan Lawson
Title: Director, Regulatory Relations
Utility Name: Pacific Gas and Electric Company
Address: 77 Beale Street, Mail Code B13U
City: San Francisco, CA 94177
State: California Zip: 94177
Telephone (xxx) xxx-xxxx: (415)973-2093
Facsimile (xxx) xxx-xxxx: (415)973-3582
Email: PGETariffs@pge.com

Name:
Title:
Utility Name:
Address:
City:
State: District of Columbia Zip:
Telephone (xxx) xxx-xxxx:
Facsimile (xxx) xxx-xxxx:
Email:

Advice 6098-E-A, et al.
May 12, 2021

Attachment A

Community-Based Organizations Outreach List

Community Based Organizations Outreach List

California Environmental Justice Alliance
The Association of Women in Water, Energy, and Environment
Center for Community Action and Environmental Justice
The Greenlining Institute
Breathe California
American Lung Association
Hispanas Organized for Political Equality (HOPE)
Center for Sustainable Energy
Climate Resolve
Communities for a Better Environment
Environmental Defense Fund (EDF)
GRID Alternatives
Liberty Hill Foundation
SCOPE LA
EarthJustice
Active SGV
Dolores Huerta Foundation
Village Solutions Foundation
Southeast Community Development Corporation
East Yard Communities for Environmental Justice
California Environmental Justice Alliance
Social Justice Learning Institute
San Joaquin Valley Clean Energy Organization
Community Environmental Council
State Council on Developmental Disabilities
California Foundation for Independent Living Center
Disability Rights Education and Defense Fund
Disability Rights California
211
211 Butte & Glenn
211 Yolo
40 Prado Road (San Luis Obispo)
A Senior Connection
Agency of Aging Area 4
Aging California
Alameda County Community Food Bank
Aldea Children & Family Services
Alta California Regional Center
Alzheimer's Association-Northern California
Amador Tuolumne Community Action Agency
Anderson Valley Village
Arcata House (Arcata)
Area 10 Sourcewise
Area 12 Agency on Aging
Area 14 Fresno Madera Agency on Aging
Area 26 Lake-Mendocino Area Agency on Aging
Area 3 Area Agency on Aging
Area 30 Stanislaus County Department of Aging & Veterans Services
Area 31 Merced County Area Agency on Aging
Area 33 Kern County Aging and Adult Services
Auburn Interfaith Food Closet
Auburn Senior Center
Benicia Community Action Agency
Butte County Public Health- CA Children's Services
CA Council of the Blind
CAC Santa Barbara County
California Association of Area Agencies on Aging
California Association of the Deaf
California Department of Development Services
California Environmental Justice Alliance
California Foundation for Independent Living Centers (CFILC)
California Office of Emergency Services (CalOES)
Carquinez Village
Catholic Charities Diocese of Fresno
Center for Accessible Technology (CforAT)
Center for Farmworker Families
Center for Independence of the Disabled (CID)
Center for Independent Living, Berkeley
Center for Volunteer & Nonprofit Leadership
Central California Food Bank
Central Coast Center for Independent Living (CCCIL)
Central Coast Energy Services Inc
Centro Binacional Para el Desarrollo Indigena Oaxaqueno
Ceres Community Project
Cesar A Moncada DBA Moncada Outreach
Chico Meals on Wheels
Child Abuse Prevention Council of San Joaquin County
Child Abuse Prevention Council of San Joaquin County
City of Dublin

Cloverdale Senior Center
Coastal Seniors
Coastside Hope
Community Action Agency of Butte County, Inc
Community Action Agency of Napa Valley
Community Action of Napa Valley
Community Action Partnership of Kern
Community Action Partnership of Madera County
Community Action Partnership of San Luis Obispo
Community Bridges
Community Connection (Santa Cruz)
Community Food Bank of San Benito County
Community Health for Asian Americans
Community Homeless Solution
Community Resource Project, Inc.
Community Resources for Independent Living (CRIL)
Connectiosn4Life
Contra Costa VOAD
Cope Family Resource Center
Corazon
Council on Aging
County of Santa Cruz Human Services Dept, In Home Support Services
County Welfare Directors Association (CWDA)
CPUC
Deaf and Hard of Hearing Service Center
Department of Rehabilitation (DOR)
Department of Social Services
Designing Accessible Communities
Diablo Valley Foundation for the Aging
Dignity Health Connected Living
Disability Action Center
Disability Policy Consultant
Disability Resources Agency for Independent Living (DRAIL)
Disability Rights California (DRC)
Disability Services & Legal Center (DSLCL)
Eastern Madera - Mariposa VOAD
El Dorado County Health & Human Services
Emergency Food Bank
Eureka Mission Men's Emergency Overnight Shelter
Far Northern Regional Center
Filipino American Development Foundation
Food Bank of Contra Costa & Solano

Food Bank of El Dorado County
Food Bank of Monterey County
Food Bank of Nevada County
Food for People
Food For Thought
FREED
Fresno Center for New Americans
Glenn County Health & Human Services Agency
Gold Country Community Service
Golden Gate Regional Center
Good Hope Resource Center
Healy Senior Center
Heritage Institute for Family Advocacy
Homeless Service Center (Santa Cruz)
Hope Center Food Bank
Hospitality House (Grass Valley)
HIP Housing (Human Investment Project)
Independent Living Center of Kern County (ILCKC)
Independent Living Resource Center (ILRC)
Independent Living Resource Center San Francisco (ILRCSF)
Independent Living Resources of Solano & Contra Costa Counties (ILRSCC)
Interfaith Council of Amador
Kern Regional Center
Kings Community Action Organization Inc
La Luz Cruz
Lamorinda Village Inc
LIFE ElderCare
Lighthouse for the Blind and Visually Impaired
Listo California
Loaves and Fishes of Contra Costa
Lynn and Darla Supported Living
Marin Center for Independent Living (MCIL)
Marin County Aging & Adult Services
Marin Institute of Aging
Meals on Wheels by ACC
Meals on Wheels Common Ground Services
Meals on Wheels of Contra Costa
Meals on Wheels of Diablo Region
Meals on Wheels of Monterey Peninsula
Meals on Wheels of Salinas Valley
Meals on Wheels of San Francisco
Meals on Wheels of West Contra Costa

Mentis
Merced County Community Action Agency
Merced County Food Bank
Mexican Consulate of Fresno
Mexican Consulate of Sacramento
Mission Solano Rescue Mission, Inc
Mixteco/Indigena Community Organizing Project
Molly's Angels
Monterey County Area of Aging
Movimiento Cultural de la Union Indigena
Napa Area Agency on Aging
Napa COAD
Natividad Emergency Shelter (Salinas)
National Asian American Coalition
NorCal Services for Deaf and Hard of Hearing
North Bay Organizing Project (NBOP)
North Bay Regional Center
North Coast Energy Services, Inc.
OCCUR
On The Move
Oroville Rescue Mission Men's Shelter
Pacifica Resource Center
Pajaro Valley Shelter Service (Watsonville)
Paratransit Inc
Peninsula Volunteers, Inc
Petaluma People
Philanthropy California
Placer County Meals on Wheels
Placer Food Bank
Placer Independent Resource Center
Pomeroy Recreation & Rehabilitation Center
Project Go, Inc
PSA 2 Area Agency on Aging
Puente
Raizes Collective
Redirect Nuevo Camino and Ocelotecame
Redwood Coast Regional Center
Redwood Community Action Agency
Redwood Empire Food Bank
Redwood Gospel Mission Rescue Mission (Santa Rosa)
Regional Center of East Bay
Resource for Independent Living (RIL)
Resources for Independent Living Central Valley (RICV)

RHA
Rianda House
Rio Vista Food Pantry
Roderick Senior Citizens Center
Sacramento Food Bank
Sacramento Region VOAD
Sacred Heart Community Service
Samaritan House
San Andreas Regional Center
San Joaquin County Department of Aging & Community
SCDD North Coast
Second Harvest Food Bank of San Joaquin & Stanislaus
Second harvest Food Bank of Santa Cruz
Second Harvest of Silicon Valley
Self-Help for the Elderly
Senior Coastsiders
Senior Resource Center
Service Opportunity for Seniors-Meals on Wheels
SF-Marin Food Bank
Shasta/Tehama VOAD
Shelter Inc. Of Contra Costa (Martinez)
Shepherd's Gate
Shining Care
Sierra Foothills Village
Silicon Valley Independent Living Center (SVILC)
SLO Food Bank
SLO VOAD
Solano & Marin County COAD
Solano County Meals on Wheels
Sonoma County Area Agency on Aging
Sonoma County COAD
South Napa Shelter (Napa)
Southeast Asian Community Center
Southern California & Kern County VOAD
Spectrum Community Services
State Council on Developmental Disabilities (SCDD)
Strategic Partnership Consumer Action
Tehama County Community Action Agency
The Arc of Amador & Calaveras County
The Arc of California
The Arc of San Joaquin
The Arc of Solano

The Arc of the East Bay
 The Chinese Institute for Empowerment
 The Fort Bragg Food Bank
 The Gathering Inn & Mid Placer Emergency Housing (Auburn)
 The Mission at Kern County
 The Resource Connection Food Bank
 The Rose- Woman with Children Emergency Shelter
 Torres Community Shelter (Chico)
 Tri-County Independent Living Center (TCIL)
 Tri-County Regional Center
 United Domestic Workers
 Up Valley Family Centers
 Valley Clean Air Now
 Valley Mountain Regional Center
 Victory Mission (Salinas)
 Village of Santa Cruz
 Village of the Coastside
 Vintage House
 Vision y Compromiso
 Volunteer Center of Sonoma County
 Wallace House (Cloverdale)
 West Valley Community Services
 World Institute on Disability
 Yolo County Housing Authority
 Yolo County In Home Supportive Services
 Yolo Family Resource Center (Empower Yolo)
 Yolo Food Bank
 Yolo Meals on Wheels
 YSB Our House Shelter (Eureka)
 Yuba Sutter Food Bank
 California Environmental Justice Alliance (CEJA)
 Leadership Council for Justice and Accountability
 Greenlining
 GRID Alternatives
 Asian Pacific Environmental Network (APEN)
 Climate Resolve
 Communities for a Better Environment (CBE)
 People Organizing to Demand Environmental and Economic Justice (PODER)
 Richmond Our Power Coalition
 Sustainable Solano
 Silicon Valley Toxics Coalition
 Nuestra Casa
 Oakland Equitable Climate Action Plan (ECAP) Ad Hoc Community Advisory Committee
 National Resources Defense Council (NRDC)
 Center on Race, Policy and the Environment (CRPE)
 California Environmental Justice Coalition
 Idle No More
 East Oakland Building Healthy Communities Initiative
 HOPE Collaborative (Health for Oakland's People and Environment)
 Ecology Center
 Citizens Climate Lobby
 Sustainable San Mateo County
 Menlo Spark
 Sustainable Silicon Valley
 The Utility Reform Network (TURN)
 The Green Power Institute/the Pacific Institute
 Sierra Club
 Boys and Girls Clubs of San Juan Capistrano
 CREER - San Juan Capistrano
 Family Assistance Ministries of San Clemente
 Friends of the Fallbrook Community Center
 Foundation for Senior Care
 Heartland Coalition
 Julian Cuyamaca Resource Center
 Las Valientes - Vista
 North County CERT
 Ramona Senior Center
 Southern California American Indian Resource center (SCAIR)
 Southern California Tribal Chairman's Association (SCTCA)
 The Broadway Heights Community Council
 The Groundwork - Chollas Lake
 Tierra Caliente - Vista
 Valley Center CERT
 Warner Springs Community Resource Center
 Romana Band of Luiseno Indians
 Campo Indian Tribe
 Iipay Nation of Santa Ysabel
 Tuolumne Band of Me-Wuk Indians
 Native American Heritage Commission

**PG&E Gas and Electric
Advice Submittal List
General Order 96-B, Section IV**

AT&T
Albion Power Company

Alta Power Group, LLC
Anderson & Poole

Atlas ReFuel
BART

Barkovich & Yap, Inc.
California Cotton Ginners & Growers Assn
California Energy Commission

California Hub for Energy Efficiency
Financing

California Alternative Energy and
Advanced Transportation Financing
Authority
California Public Utilities Commission
Calpine

Cameron-Daniel, P.C.
Casner, Steve
Cenergy Power
Center for Biological Diversity

Chevron Pipeline and Power
City of Palo Alto

City of San Jose
Clean Power Research
Coast Economic Consulting
Commercial Energy
Crossborder Energy
Crown Road Energy, LLC
Davis Wright Tremaine LLP
Day Carter Murphy

Dept of General Services
Don Pickett & Associates, Inc.
Douglass & Liddell

East Bay Community Energy Ellison
Schneider & Harris LLP Energy
Management Service
Engineers and Scientists of California

GenOn Energy, Inc.
Goodin, MacBride, Squeri, Schlotz &
Ritchie

Green Power Institute
Hanna & Morton
ICF

IGS Energy
International Power Technology
Intestate Gas Services, Inc.
Kelly Group
Ken Bohn Consulting
Keyes & Fox LLP
Leviton Manufacturing Co., Inc.

Los Angeles County Integrated
Waste Management Task Force
MRW & Associates
Manatt Phelps Phillips
Marin Energy Authority
McKenzie & Associates

Modesto Irrigation District
NLine Energy, Inc.
NRG Solar

Office of Ratepayer Advocates
OnGrid Solar
Pacific Gas and Electric Company
Peninsula Clean Energy

Pioneer Community Energy

Redwood Coast Energy Authority
Regulatory & Cogeneration Service, Inc.
SCD Energy Solutions
San Diego Gas & Electric Company

SPURR
San Francisco Water Power and Sewer
Sempra Utilities

Sierra Telephone Company, Inc.
Southern California Edison Company
Southern California Gas Company
Spark Energy
Sun Light & Power
Sunshine Design
Tecogen, Inc.
TerraVerde Renewable Partners
Tiger Natural Gas, Inc.

TransCanada
Utility Cost Management
Utility Power Solutions
Water and Energy Consulting Wellhead
Electric Company
Western Manufactured Housing
Communities Association (WMA)
Yep Energy