PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION 505 Van Ness Avenue San Francisco CA 94102-3298



Pacific Gas & Electric Company ELC (Corp ID 39) Status of Advice Letter 5902E As of March 22, 2021

Subject: Update to Community Choice Aggregate and Energy Service Provider Load Data and

Utility Investment and Procurement Information

Division Assigned: Energy

Date Filed: 08-03-2020

Date to Calendar: 08-07-2020

Authorizing Documents: D1704039

Disposition: Accepted

Effective Date: 08-03-2020

Resolution Required: No

Resolution Number: None

Commission Meeting Date: None

CPUC Contact Information:

edtariffunit@cpuc.ca.gov

AL Certificate Contact Information:

Annie Ho

415-973-8794

PGETariffs@pge.com

PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION 505 Van Ness Avenue San Francisco CA 94102-3298



To: Energy Company Filing Advice Letter

From: Energy Division PAL Coordinator

Subject: Your Advice Letter Filing

The Energy Division of the California Public Utilities Commission has processed your recent Advice Letter (AL) filing and is returning an AL status certificate for your records.

The AL status certificate indicates:

Advice Letter Number
Name of Filer
CPUC Corporate ID number of Filer
Subject of Filing
Date Filed
Disposition of Filing (Accepted, Rejected, Withdrawn, etc.)
Effective Date of Filing
Other Miscellaneous Information (e.g., Resolution, if applicable, etc.)

The Energy Division has made no changes to your copy of the Advice Letter Filing; please review your Advice Letter Filing with the information contained in the AL status certificate, and update your Advice Letter and tariff records accordingly.

All inquiries to the California Public Utilities Commission on the status of your Advice Letter Filing will be answered by Energy Division staff based on the information contained in the Energy Division's PAL database from which the AL status certificate is generated. If you have any questions on this matter please contact the:

Energy Division's Tariff Unit by e-mail to edtariffunit@cpuc.ca.gov



Erik JacobsonDirector
Regulatory Relations

Pacific Gas and Electric Company 77 Beale St., Mail Code B13U P.O. Box 770000 San Francisco, CA 94177

Fax: 415-973-3582

August 3, 2020

Advice 5902--E

(Pacific Gas and Electric Company ID U 39 E)

Advice 3580-E

(San Diego Gas & Electric Company U 902-E)

Advice 4267-E

(Southern California Edison Company U 338-E)

Public Utilities Commission of the State of California

Subject: Update to Community Choice Aggregate and Energy Service

Provider Load Data and Utility Investment and Procurement

Information

<u>Purpose</u>

Pursuant to Ordering Paragraph (OP) 5 of California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC or Commission) Decision (D.)17-04-039, San Diego Gas & Electric Company (SDG&E), Southern California Edison Company (SCE), and Pacific Gas and Electric Company (PG&E), (collectively, the Joint Utilities) respectfully submit this advice letter to update Tables 3 through 6 based on the most current Community Choice Aggregator (CCA) and Energy Service Provider (ESP) load data and utility investment and procurement information.

Background

On October 21, 2013, the Commission issued D.13-10-040 to establish the Energy Storage Procurement Framework and Design Program (Energy Storage Program). The Energy Storage Program set a procurement target of 1,325 megawatts (MW) of energy storage projects for the investor-owned utilities (IOUs) to procure through a series of solicitations from 2014 to 2020. All storage projects must be online no later than 2024.

_

¹ D.13-10-040, Appendix A, p.1.

² *Id*.

Additionally, the Energy Storage Program set a procurement target for all CCAs and ESPs to procure energy storage resources equivalent to one percent of their 2020 load, with all storage projects being online no later than 2024.³

On January 5, 2016, the CPUC issued a Scoping Memo and Ruling in Track 2 of the Energy Storage Order Instituting Rulemaking (OIR) seeking parties' comments on the revision of energy storage procurements targets. The Alliance for Retail Energy Markets and Direct Access Customer Coalition (AReM/DACC) argued that some CCA/ESP procurement obligations can exceed a utility's, and proposed to prohibit future cost recovery through non-bypassable charges and credit ESPs with any excess storage for procurement that is above what the IOUs are obligated to procure.

In D.17-04-039, the Commission ruled that revisions to cost recovery of storage procurement were beyond the scope of the proceeding, but that it was in scope to consider revisions to the CCA/ESP storage procurement targets. The Commission reviewed the extent to which the combined one percent procurement obligation and non-bypassable charges assigned to CCAs/ESPs compares with the utility procurement obligations, as a percentage of utility load.

In the instance that a CCA/ESP procurement obligation exceeds that of the utility, the Commission adopted an automatic limiter that proportionately reduces each CCAs/ESPs one percent procurement obligation by the amount that the load serving entity's (LSE) own procurement plus its customers' share of non-bypassable charges exceeds the utility obligation by a percentage of load. Comparing IOU and CCA/ESP storage procurement obligations as a percentage of load is done using Tables 3 through 6 of D.17-04-039.

Ordering Paragraph 5 of D.17-04-039 requires the IOUs to update Tables 3 through 6 on an annual basis beginning August 1, 2017 through 2020.

On August 1, 2017, the Joint Utilities submitted Advice 5119-E et al. to update Tables 3 through 6, originally included in D.17-04-039.

Commission Resolution E-4892 addressing Advice 5119-E et al. directed the IOUs to submit a supplemental advice letter to make corrections to Tables 4 through 6.

On January 16, 2018, the Joint Utilities submitted Advice 3640-E-A et. al to make these corrections. On February 5, 2018, AReM/DACC submitted a timely protest on the Joint

_

³ *Id.* at 46.

⁴ R.15-03-011 – "Assigned Commissioner and Assigned Administrative Law Judge's Scoping Memo and Ruling Seeking Party Comments." p.5-6.

⁵ D.17-04-039, p. 22-23.

⁶ *Id.* at 27.

Utilities' Advice 3640-E-A et. al. AReM/DACC contended that the Joint Utilities did not calculate the automatic limiter and adjusted energy storage procurement obligations of the ESPs correctly and argued that the Joint Utilities should have combined the ESP obligation across IOUs. 8

On February 12, 2018, the Joint Utilities submitted a timely reply. The Joint Utilities responded that D.17-04-039 did not adopt a new statewide target and it did not allow ESPs and CCAs in one IOU's service territory to benefit from the allocation of procurement in another IOU's service territory. Each ESP and CCA is bound by the one percent procurement target, and the procurement target is only reduced if that LSE's own procurement plus its customers' share of non-bypassable charges exceeds the host utility's obligation as a percentage of load. 10

The CPUC issued a disposition letter on February 21, 2018 approving AL 3640-E-A et al. in its entirety, effective January 16, 2018. The CPUC's disposition letter determined that the IOUs correctly applied the automatic limiter and clarified that each individual ESP and CCA must calculate its procurement obligation based on its own procurement and its customers' share of nonbypassable charges. 11

On August 1, 2018, the Joint Utilities submitted Advice 3833-E et. al., to update Tables 3 throught 6.

On August 21, 2018, AReM/DACC and Marin Clean Energy (MCE) submitted comments to the joint advice letter. AReM/DACC's comments agreed with the IOU's calculations. MCE's comments stated that there was a slight miscalculation of CCA load data in PG&E's service territory and recommended that PG&E be required to adjust its calculation of CCA load share from 209 MW to 207 MW. MCE also stated in its comments that SDG&E's calculation of CCA share should be adjusted to take into account the storage costs recovered through non-bypassable charges for SDG&E and reflect that the automatic limiter had been triggered.¹²

On August 28, PG&E timely submitted a reply to the MCE comments. In its comments, PG&E maintained that the 209 MW of CCA load share in its territory is accurate.

On December 5, 2018, the CPUC issued a disposition letter approving the Joint IOUs' advice letters in their entirety. The Energy Division found the IOUs' calculations to be

Comments of AReM/DACC on SCE's Advice Letter 3640-EA, PG&E's Advice Letter 5119-E-A, and SDG&E's Advice Letter 3103-E-A Updating Energy Storage Obligations, February 12, 2018.

⁸ *Id.* at 2-3.

Joint Reply to Comments on Joint Supplement SDG&E Letter 3103-E-A, PG&E Advice Letter 5119-E-A, and SCE Advice Letter 3640-E-A, February 12, 2018.

¹⁰ Id at 2

¹¹ CPUC Staff Disposition Letter, February 21, 2018, p. 3.

¹² CPUC Staff Disposition Letter, December 5, 2018, p. 2.

reasonable and did not require any further action from the IOUs. The Energy Division noted that the automatic limiter had been triggered for ESPs and CCAs in the service territories of all three IOUs and the 1% storage procurement obligation was eliminated.

On August 1, 2019, the IOUs submitted their Advice Letter 4048-E (SCE)/5605-E (PG&E)/3408-E (SDG&E) with the updates.

Updated Tables

Table 3: ENERGY STORAGE COST RECOVERY (Data as of July 2020)

SERVICE TERRITORY	PG&E	SCE	SDG&E
Storage MW recovered or approved for recovery via non-bypassable charges (to date) ¹³	58.26 14	608.65 <u>15</u>	166.85 ¹⁶
Future (known additional) MW expected to be recovered via non-bypassable charges 17	587.5 18	34.65 ¹⁹	0
Total MW expected to be recovered via non-bypassable charges	645.76	643.30	166.85

Table 4: DIRECT ACCESS STORAGE PROCUREMENT COST

This information reflects Cost Allocation Mechanism (CAM) and distribution charge recovery, and only those contracts that have been approved for recovery to date.

⁶ MW via distribution charge + 51.76 MW of customer-sited SGIP/PLS projects (Distribution Charge. D.13-10-040 (CPUC June 2019 Update)) + 0.5 MW for Browns Valley (A.16-03-001; D.16-09-007).

^{13.68} MW via distribution charge + 138.96 MW of SGIP/PLS + 22 MW of Aliso Canyon Energy Storage (ACES) projects (Resolution E-4804) + 188.52 MW in West LA Basin via SCE 2013 LCR RFO to replace SONGS capacity (D.15-11-041) + 20 MW ACES Design Build Transfer (Resolution E-4791) + 20 MW ACES Enhanced Gas Turbines (A.17-03-020) + 5 MW from SCE's PRP RFO 2 (A.16-11-002) + 100 MW via 2018 LCR RFP (A.19-04-016) + 95 MW via ACES 2 RFO (SCE AL 4002-E).

^{6.15} MW via distribution rates + 6.7 MW of SGIP/PLS + 37.5 MW of ACES storage projects (Resolution E-4798) + 6.5 MW Track IV LCR (D.18-05-24).

D.17-04-039 does not include a requirement of a forecast of non-bypassable charges, as Decision notes that doing so would be too speculative or of limited value; however, the IOUs include both storage expected to be recovered through CAM and distribution charges, to include forecasted MWs that are consistent with the IOU applications filed in the 2020 Energy Storage Procurement and Investment Plans.

¹⁸ 20 MW for Llagas (A.17-12-003) + 567.5 MW for four projects pursuant to Resolution E-4909 (AL 5322-E).

Pursuant to D.19-11-016, SCE procured 34.65 MW of energy storage on behalf of opt out Load Serving Entities in its System Reliability RFO for the 2021 need. SCE will procure the remaining half for opt out LSEs in its Standard Track procurement.

OBLIGATIONS (Data as of July 2019)

SERVICE TERRITORY	PG&E	SCE	SDG&E
Applicable ESP load (GWh)20	11,400	13,052	3,827
DA MW share of non-bypassable	98	97	23
charges ²¹			
1% ESP procurement obligation (GWh)	114	131	38
1% ESP procurement obligation (MW) ²²	20	23	7

Table 5: COMMUNITY CHOICE AGGREGATORS STORAGE PROCUREMENT COST OBLIGATIONS (Data as of July 2019)

SERVICE TERRITORY	PG&E	SCE	SDG&E
Applicable CCA load (GWh)23	38,64124	17,251 ²⁵	58 <u>26</u>
CCA MW share of non-bypassable charges ²⁷	326	132	0.5
1% CCA procurement obligation (GWh)	386	173	0.6
1% CCA procurement obligation (MW)	69	31	0.1

- 2021 Direct Access load forecast data available at: 2019 IEPR Load Forecast https://efiling.energy.ca.gov/GetDocument.aspx?tn=232306&DocumentContentId=64306. 2019 IEPR Corrected LSE and BA Tables Mid Baseline Mid AAEE Form 1.1c.
- Direct Access (DA) ESPs are responsible for non-bypassable charges based on load share for CAM and distribution rates. This does not include PCIA for storage. ESPs comprise 15.1 percent of load share, based on the latest Direct Access Implementation Activities Report, published April 15, 2019, accessible at: http://www.cpuc.ca.gov/General.aspx?id=6598.
- Assumes 64 percent capacity factor (CF) for ESPs and CCAs as referenced in D.17-04-039, FN 35. MW = 1000*GWh/(CF/8760).
- 2021 CCA load forecast data available at: 2019 IEPR Load Forecast.
 https://efiling.energy.ca.gov/GetDocument.aspx?tn=232306&DocumentContentId=64306
 Revised LSE and BA Tables Mid Baseline Mid AAEE. Form 1.1(c).
- 24 2021 CCA load forecast data available at: https://efiling.energy.ca.gov/GetDocument.aspx?tn=232306&DocumentContentId=64306. 2019 IEPR Corrected LSE and BA Tables Mid Baseline – Mid AAEE Form 1.1c.
- 25 2021 CCA load forecast available at: https://efiling.energy.ca.gov/GetDocument.aspx?tn=232306&DocumentContentId=64306 Corrected LSE and BA Tables Mid Baseline Mid AAEE AAPV Form 1.1c.
- 2021 CCA load forecast data available at: https://efiling.energy.ca.gov/GetDocument.aspx?tn=232306&DocumentContentId=64306 Corrected LSE and BA Tables Mid Baseline Low AAEE AAPV Form 1.1c.
- Currently active CCAs comprise 50.4 percent of forecasted 2021 load in PG&E territory; 23.2% of forecasted 2021 load in SCE territory; 0.35% of forecasted 2021 load in SDG&E territory. Percentages are derived from 2019 IEPR Load Forecast. Available at https://efiling.energy.ca.gov/GetDocument.aspx?tn=232306&DocumentContentId=64306. 2019 IEPR Corrected LSE and BA Tables Mid Baseline Mid AAEE Form 1.1c.

Table 6: COMPARISON OF UTILITY, DIRECT ACCESS, AND COMMUNITY CHOICE AGGREGATORS STORAGE PROCUREMENT COST OBLIGATIONS (Data as of July 2019)

SERVICE TERRITORY	PG8	Ε	SCI	E	SDG	&E
Storage obligation	580 N	ΛVV	580 N	/W	165 N	/IVV
as % of total 2020	~ 3.19	<u> 28</u>	~2.6%	<u>∕₀²⁹</u>	~4.49	<u>∕₃₀</u>
load forecast-						
Storage Target of 1325 MW						
Storage obligations	746 N	ЛW	746 N	/IVV	331 N	1W
as % total of 2020	~ 4.0)%	~3.3	%	~8.8	%
load forecast -						
Storage Target of						
1825 MW <u>31</u>						
Automatic Limiter	Unadjusted	Adjusted	Unadjusted32	Adjusted33	Unadjusted34	Adjusted35
Adjustment						
ESP Current	118 MW	62 MW	120 MW	60MW	32 MW	30 MW
Share: 1%	~ 5.8 %	~3.1%	~5.2%	~2.6%	~4.6%	~4.1%
procurement						
obligation + non-						
bypassable charge						
(MW / % of load)	005 1414/	047 1414	474 1414	04 1414	0.04.000	0.04.04
CCA Current	395 MW	217 MW	171 MW	81 MW	0.64 MW	0.61 MW
Share: 1%	~ 5.7 %	~3.1%	~5.3%	~2.6 %	~6.2%	~5.9%
procurement						
obligation + non-						
bypassable charge						
(MW / % of load)						

PG&E Load derived from 2019 IEPR Load Forecast, Revised LSE and BA Tables Mid Baseline – Mid AAEE. Form 1.5(b). PG&E Service Area Greater Bay Area + PG&E Service Area Non Bay Area + PG&E Service Area ZP26.

²⁹ SCE Load Updated – 22,590 MW. Source: IEPR LSE and BA Tables Mid Baseline Mid AAEE Form 1.5b SCE TAC less CDWR and Pasadena.

SDG&E Load Updated – 4,010 MW. Source: 2019 IEPR LSE and BA Tables Mid Baseline Mid AAEE Form 1.5b = SDG&E Service Area.

³¹ Includes procurement under AB 2868.

³² ESP / CCA Current Share, without application of Automatic Limiter.

³³ ESP / CCA Current Share, with application of Automatic Limiter.

ESP / CCA Current Share, without application of Automatic Limiter.

³⁵ ESP / CCA Current Share, with application of Automatic Limiter.

EXPLANATION OF UPDATES

Table 3 has been updated to reflect the most current, publicly available Energy Storage Cost Recovery Data.

Table 4 has been updated to reflect the most current, publicly available Direct Access Storage Procurement Cost Obligations.

Table 5 has been updated to reflect the most recent, publicly available CCA and ESP load data, as of the date of this advice letter.

Table 6 has been updated with the latest ESP and CCA information available to the Joint Utilities.

For PG&E, because the ESP and CCA procurement obligations exceed PG&E's storage obligation as a percentage of load of PG&E (*i.e.*, greater than 3.1% - relative to Storage Target of 580 MW), the automatic limiter has been triggered for PG&E for the ESP and CCA current shares. As such, PG&E has applied the automatic limiter to the ESP and CCA shares in Table 6. PG&E shows both the unadjusted MW / % (i.e., before application of limiter), and adjusted (i.e., after application of limiter) values for comparison purposes.

For SCE, because the ESP and CCA procurement obligations exceed SCE's storage obligation as a percentage of load of SCE (*i.e.*, greater than 2.6% - relative to Storage Target of 580 MW), the automatic limiter has been triggered for SCE for the ESP and CCA current shares. As such, SCE has applied the automatic limiter to the ESP and CCA shares in Table 6. SCE shows both the unadjusted MW / % (i.e., before application of limiter), and adjusted (i.e., after application of limiter) values for comparison purposes.

For SDG&E, because the ESP and CCA procurement obligations for SDG&E exceed SDG&E's storage obligation (*i.e.*, ESP procurement obligation is greater than 4.1% - relative to Storage Target of 165 MW), the automatic limiter has been triggered for SDG&E for the ESP and CCA current share. As such, SDG&E has applied the automatic limiter to the ESP and CCA share in Table 6. SDG&E shows both the unadjusted MW / % (i.e., before application of limiter), and adjusted (i.e., after application of limiter) values for comparison purposes.

No cost information is required for this advice letter.

This advice letter will not increase any rate or charge, cause the withdrawal of service, or conflict with any other schedule or rule.

Protests

***Due to the COVID-19 pandemic and the shelter at home orders, PG&E is currently unable to receive protests or comments to this advice letter via U.S. mail or fax.

Please submit protests or comments to this advice letter to EDTariffUnit@cpuc.ca.gov and PGETariffs@pge.com***

Anyone wishing to protest this submittal may do so by letter sent via U.S. mail, facsimile or E-mail, no later than August 24, 2020, which is 21 days³⁶ after the date of this submittal. Protests must be submitted to:

CPUC Energy Division ED Tariff Unit 505 Van Ness Avenue, 4th Floor San Francisco, California 94102

Facsimile: (415) 703-2200

E-mail: EDTariffUnit@cpuc.ca.gov

Copies of protests also should be mailed to the attention of the Director, Energy Division, Room 4004, at the address shown above.

The protest shall also be sent to PG&E either via E-mail or U.S. mail (and by facsimile, if possible) at the address shown below on the same date it is mailed or delivered to the Commission:

Erik Jacobson
Director, Regulatory Relations
c/o Megan Lawson
Pacific Gas and Electric Company
77 Beale Street, Mail Code B13U
P.O. Box 770000
San Francisco, California 94177

Facsimile: (415) 973-3582 E-mail: PGETariffs@pge.com

For SCE:
Gary A. Stern, Ph.D.
Managing Director, State Regulatory Operations
Southern California Edison Company
8631 Rush Street
Rosemead, CA 91770
Telephone (626) 302-9645
Facsimile: (626) 302-6396

³⁶ The 20-day protest period concludes on a weekend, therefore, PG&E is moving this date to the following business day.

E-mail: AdviceTariffManager@sce.com

Laura Genao Managing Director, State Regulatory Affairs c/o Karyn Gansecki Southern California Edison Company 601 Van Ness Avenue, Suite 2030 San Francisco, CA 94102 Facsimile: (415) 929-5544

E-mail: Karyn.Gansecki@sce.com

For SDG&E: Greg Anderson Regulatory Tariff Manager 8330 Century Park Ct., CP31F San Diego, CA 92123-1548 E-mail: GAnderson@sdge.com

Any person (including individuals, groups, or organizations) may protest or respond to an advice letter (General Order 96-B, Section 7.4). The protest shall contain the following information: specification of the advice letter protested; grounds for the protest; supporting factual information or legal argument; name, telephone number, postal address, and (where appropriate) e-mail address of the protestant; and statement that the protest was sent to the utility no later than the day on which the protest was submitted to the reviewing Industry Division (General Order 96-B, Section 3.11).

Effective Date

Pursuant to General Order (GO) 96-B, Rule 5.1, this advice letter is submitted with a Tier 1 designation. PG&E requests that this Tier 1 advice submittal become effective upon date of submittal, which is August 3, 2020.

Notice

In accordance with General Order 96-B, Section IV, a copy of this advice letter is being sent electronically and via U.S. mail to parties shown on the attached list and the parties on the service list for GO 96-B and R.15-03-011. Address changes to the General Order 96-B service list should be directed to PG&E at email address PGETariffs@pge.com. For changes to any other service list, please contact the Commission's Process Office at (415) 703-2021 or at Process_Office@cpuc.ca.gov. Send all electronic approvals to PGETariffs@pge.com. Advice letter submittals can also be accessed electronically at: http://www.pge.com/tariffs/.

/S/ Erik Jacobson Director, Regulatory Relations





California Public Utilities Commission

ADVICE LETTER



ENERGIUILIII	OF CALL			
MUST BE COMPLETED BY UTILITY (Attach additional pages as needed)				
Company name/CPUC Utility No.: Pacific Gas and Electric Company (ID U39 E)				
Utility type: LEC GAS WATER PLC HEAT	Contact Person: Annie Ho Phone #: (415) 973-8794 E-mail: PGETariffs@pge.com E-mail Disposition Notice to: AMHP@pge.com			
EXPLANATION OF UTILITY TYPE ELC = Electric GAS = Gas WATER = Water PLC = Pipeline HEAT = Heat WATER = Water	(Date Submitted / Received Stamp by CPUC)			
Advice Letter (AL) #: 5902-E et al.	Tier Designation: 1			
Subject of AL: Update to Community Choice Aggregate and Energy Service Provider Load Data and Utility Investment and Procurement Information				
Keywords (choose from CPUC listing): Complian AL Type: Monthly Quarterly Annual	·			
If AL submitted in compliance with a Commission order, indicate relevant Decision/Resolution #: D.17-04-039				
Does AL replace a withdrawn or rejected AL? I	f so, identify the prior AL: $_{ m No}$			
Summarize differences between the AL and th	e prior withdrawn or rejected AL:			
Confidential treatment requested? Yes	☑ No			
If yes, specification of confidential information: Confidential information will be made available to appropriate parties who execute a nondisclosure agreement. Name and contact information to request nondisclosure agreement/ access to confidential information:				
Resolution required? Yes No				
Requested effective date: 8/3/20	No. of tariff sheets: $ m N/A$			
Estimated system annual revenue effect (%): $_{ m N/A}$				
Estimated system average rate effect (%): $\mathrm{N/A}$				
When rates are affected by AL, include attachment in AL showing average rate effects on customer classes (residential, small commercial, large C/I, agricultural, lighting).				
Tariff schedules affected:				
Service affected and changes proposed $^{ ext{l:}}$ $_{ ext{N/A}}$				
Pending advice letters that revise the same tariff sheets: $ m _{N/A}$				

Protests and all other correspondence regarding this AL are due no later than 20 days after the date of this submittal, unless otherwise authorized by the Commission, and shall be sent to:

CPUC, Energy Division Attention: Tariff Unit 505 Van Ness Avenue San Francisco, CA 94102

Email: EDTariffUnit@cpuc.ca.gov

Name: Erik Jacobson, c/o Megan Lawson

Title: Director, Regulatory Relations

Utility Name: Pacific Gas and Electric Company Address: 77 Beale Street, Mail Code B13U

City: San Francisco, CA 94177

State: California Zip: 94177

Telephone (xxx) xxx-xxxx: (415)973-2093 Facsimile (xxx) xxx-xxxx: (415)973-3582

Email: PGETariffs@pge.com

Name:

Title:

Utility Name:

Address:

City:

State: District of Columbia

Zip:

Telephone (xxx) xxx-xxxx: Facsimile (xxx) xxx-xxxx:

Email:

PG&E Gas and Electric Advice Submittal List General Order 96-B, Section IV

AT&T

Albion Power Company Alcantar & Kahl LLP

Alta Power Group, LLC Anderson & Poole

Atlas ReFuel BART

Barkovich & Yap, Inc.
California Cotton Ginners & Growers Assn
California Energy Commission
California Public Utilities Commission
California State Association of Counties
Calpine

Cameron-Daniel, P.C.
Casner, Steve
Cenergy Power
Center for Biological Diversity

Chevron Pipeline and Power City of Palo Alto

City of San Jose
Clean Power Research
Coast Economic Consulting
Commercial Energy
Crossborder Energy
Crown Road Energy, LLC
Davis Wright Tremaine LLP
Day Carter Murphy

Dept of General Services Don Pickett & Associates, Inc. Douglass & Liddell Downey & Brand
East Bay Community Energy
Ellison Schneider & Harris LLP
Energy Management Service

GenOn Energy, Inc. Goodin, MacBride, Squeri, Schlotz & Ritchie Green Power Institute

Engineers and Scientists of California

Hanna & Morton ICF

IGS Energy

International Power Technology Intestate Gas Services, Inc.

Kelly Group Ken Bohn Consulting Keyes & Fox LLP Leviton Manufacturing Co., Inc.

Los Angeles County Integrated Waste Management Task Force MRW & Associates Manatt Phelps Phillips Marin Energy Authority McKenzie & Associates

Modesto Irrigation District NLine Energy, Inc. NRG Solar

Office of Ratepayer Advocates OnGrid Solar Pacific Gas and Electric Company Peninsula Clean Energy Pioneer Community Energy

Redwood Coast Energy Authority Regulatory & Cogeneration Service, Inc. SCD Energy Solutions

SCE SDG&E and SoCalGas

SPURR
San Francisco Water Power and Sewer
Seattle City Light
Sempra Utilities
Southern California Edison Company
Southern California Gas Company
Spark Energy
Sun Light & Power
Sunshine Design
Tecogen, Inc.
TerraVerde Renewable Partners
Tiger Natural Gas, Inc.

TransCanada
Troutman Sanders LLP
Utility Cost Management
Utility Power Solutions
Water and Energy Consulting Wellhead
Electric Company
Western Manufactured Housing
Communities Association (WMA)
Yep Energy