#### PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION 505 Van Ness Avenue San Francisco CA 94102-3298



#### Pacific Gas & Electric Company ELC (Corp ID 39) Status of Advice Letter 5834E As of July 3, 2020

Subject: Modification of Pacific Gas and Electric Company's Multifamily Affordable Housing (MASH)

**Budget** 

Division Assigned: Energy

Date Filed: 05-27-2020

Date to Calendar: 05-29-2020

Authorizing Documents: None

Disposition: Accepted

Effective Date: 06-26-2020

Resolution Required: No Resolution Number: None

Commission Meeting Date: None

**CPUC Contact Information:** 

edtariffunit@cpuc.ca.gov

AL Certificate Contact Information:

Kimberly Loo 415-973-4587

PGETariffs@pge.com

#### PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION 505 Van Ness Avenue San Francisco CA 94102-3298



To: Energy Company Filing Advice Letter

From: Energy Division PAL Coordinator

Subject: Your Advice Letter Filing

The Energy Division of the California Public Utilities Commission has processed your recent Advice Letter (AL) filing and is returning an AL status certificate for your records.

The AL status certificate indicates:

Advice Letter Number
Name of Filer
CPUC Corporate ID number of Filer
Subject of Filing
Date Filed
Disposition of Filing (Accepted, Rejected, Withdrawn, etc.)
Effective Date of Filing
Other Miscellaneous Information (e.g., Resolution, if applicable, etc.)

The Energy Division has made no changes to your copy of the Advice Letter Filing; please review your Advice Letter Filing with the information contained in the AL status certificate, and update your Advice Letter and tariff records accordingly.

All inquiries to the California Public Utilities Commission on the status of your Advice Letter Filing will be answered by Energy Division staff based on the information contained in the Energy Division's PAL database from which the AL status certificate is generated. If you have any questions on this matter please contact the:

Energy Division's Tariff Unit by e-mail to edtariffunit@cpuc.ca.gov



**Erik Jacobson**Director
Regulatory Relations

Pacific Gas and Electric Company 77 Beale St., Mail Code B13U P.O. Box 770000 San Francisco, CA 94177

Fax: 415-973-3582

May 27, 2020

#### Advice 5834-E

(Pacific Gas and Electric Company ID U 39 E)

Public Utilities Commission of the State of California

**Subject:** Modification of Pacific Gas and Electric Company's Multifamily

Affordable Housing (MASH) Budget

#### <u>Purpose</u>

Pacific Gas and Electric Company (PG&E), as Program Administrator (PA) for the Multifamily Affordable Solar Housing (MASH) Program, respectfully submits this Tier 2 Advice Letter (AL) to request to transfer \$4,889,293 from the MASH 1.0 incentive budget to the MASH 2.0 incentive budget and \$1,293,000 from the MASH 2.0 incentive budget to the MASH 2.0 administrative budget in PG&E's territory, enabling PG&E to reopen the MASH program.

#### **Background**

In October 2008, the California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC or Commission) issued Decision (D.) 08-10-036, which established the \$108 million MASH incentive program in the service territories for PG&E, Southern California Edison Company (SCE), and San Diego Gas and Electric Company (SDG&E) for qualifying affordable housing developments (MASH 1.0), as defined in state law. Subsequently, in 2013, the Governor Edmund Jerry Brown signed into law Assembly Bill (AB) 217 (Stats. 2013, Ch. 609), authorizing \$108 million in new funding for the MASH and Single Family Affordable Solar Homes (SASH) Programs. AB 217 additionally set a goal of 50 megawatts (MW) of installed capacity across both programs and extended the timeline for both programs until 2021, or the exhaustion of the new funding, whichever occurs first.

On January 30, 2015, the Commission issued D.15-01-027 to begin implementation of AB 217 and authorized an additional \$54 million for the MASH program. D.15-01-027 established budgets, incentives, and evaluation requirements for the re-vamped MASH Program (MASH 2.0). It also required the Program Administrators (PAs) to roll over their administrative budget surpluses from MASH 1.0 to the MASH 2.0 incentive budgets via a

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> D.08-10-036, p. 2.

Tier 2 AL.<sup>2</sup> On March 30, 2015, the Center for Sustainable Energy (CSE), on behalf of the MASH PAs, submitted AL 59 incorporating the changes made by the Decision, followed by two supplemental ALs.<sup>3</sup> The AL and the supplemental ALs were approved and effective July 31, 2015.

#### **Proposed Modifications to PG&E's MASH Budget**

The MASH budget includes allocations for incentives and administration.<sup>4</sup> The table below shows the original MASH 1.0 budget for PG&E's portion (43.7%) per D.08-10-036.<sup>5</sup>

PG&E MASH 1.0	Allocation	PG&E MASH 1.0 Budget
Incentives	88%	\$41,663,230
Administrative	12%	\$5,681,350°
Total Budget	100%	\$47,344,580

(a) MASH 1.0 administrative budget includes \$4,734,458 (10%) for administration, marketing and outreach, and \$946,892 (2%) for evaluation.

The table below shows the original MASH 2.0 budget for PG&E's portion (43.7%) per Table 2 in D.15-01-027 prior to any rollovers.<sup>6</sup>

PG&E MASH 2.0	Allocation	PG&E MASH 2.0 Budget
Incentives	93%	\$21,946,140
Administrative	7%	\$1,651,860°
Total Budget	100%	\$23,598,000

(a) MASH 2.0 administrative budget includes \$1,415,880 (6%) for administration, marketing and outreach, and \$235,980 (1%) for evaluation.

In accordance with OP 13 in D.15-01-027,<sup>7</sup> PG&E rolled over \$2,982,034 in unspent MASH 1.0 administrative funds and \$2,946,755 in unspent and unreserved MASH 1.0 incentive funds to its MASH 2.0 incentive budget in August 2015. Therefore, the MASH 2.0 incentive budget increased by \$5,928,789.

The table below shows the revised MASH budgets after the required rollovers per Decision D.15-01-027.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> D.15-01-027, p. 78, Ordering Paragraph (OP) 13.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> CSE Advice Letters 59, 59-A, and 59-B, PG&E Advice Letters 4609-E, 4609E-A, and 4609E-B, and SCE Advice Letters 3199-E, 3199-E-A, and 3199-E-B referencing the Proposed Standalone Multifamily Affordable Solar Housing (MASH) Program Handbook to Incorporate Changes to the MASH Program Necessary to Align with Decision 15-01-027.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Administrative includes program administration, marketing and outreach, and evaluation.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> D.08-10-036, p. 23, Table 3. Total MASH 1.0 budget for all three utilities is \$108,340,000.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> D.15-01-027, p. 30-31, Table 2. Total MASH 2.0 budget for all three utilities is \$54,000.000.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> D.15-01-027, p. 78, OP 13.

	Adjusted PG&E MASH 1.0 Budget per D.15- 01-027 OP 13	Adjusted PG&E MASH 2.0 Budget per D.15- 01-027 OP 13	Combined PG&E MASH 1.0 & 2.0 Budget
Incentives	\$38,716,475ª	\$27,874,929 <sup>c</sup>	\$66,591,404
Administrative	\$2,699,316 <sup>b</sup>	\$1,651,860	\$4,351,175
Total Budget	\$41,415,791	\$29,526,789	\$70,942,580

- (a) Includes the deduction of \$2,946,755 in unreserved incentives from the MASH 1.0 incentive budget.
- (b) Includes the deduction of \$2,982,034 from the MASH 1.0 administrative budget.
- (c) Includes the rollover of \$2,982,034 from the MASH 1.0 administrative budget and \$2,946,755 in unreserved MASH 1.0 incentives to the MASH 2.0 incentive budget.

The administrative rollover amount of \$2,982,034 was PG&E's unspent administrative budget for MASH 1.0 at the time of the rollover in August 2015. PG&E did not reserve a sufficient amount of administrative funds to process the remaining MASH 1.0 projects, the last of which was completed and paid in April 2018 – thirty-three months later.

The tables below show the current MASH budgets after rollovers and PG&E's expenditures for each program as of March 31, 2020.

PG&E MASH 1.0	Adjusted Budget	Expenditures	Remaining Budget
Incentives	\$38,716,475	\$33,827,182 <sup>b</sup>	\$4,889,293
Administrative	\$2,699,316ª	\$2,699,316 <sup>c</sup>	\$0
Total	\$41,415,791	\$36,526,498	\$4,889,293

- (a) MASH 1.0 budget includes \$2,039,118 for administration, marketing and outreach, and \$660,198 for evaluation.
- (b) Incentive expenditures include MASH 1.0 projects that are fully complete and paid.
- (c) MASH 1.0 expenditures include \$2,039,118 for administration, marketing and outreach, and \$660,198 for evaluation.

PG&E MASH 2.0	Adjusted Budget	Expenditures	Remaining Budget
Incentives	\$27,874,929	\$11,433,798 <sup>b</sup>	\$16,441,131
Administrative	\$1,651,860°	\$1,633,259 <sup>c</sup>	\$18,601
Total	\$29,526,789	\$13,067,057	\$16,459,732

- (a) MASH 2.0 budget includes \$1,415,880 for administration, marketing and outreach, and \$235,980 for evaluation.
- (b) Incentive expenditures include MASH 2.0 projects that are fully complete and paid.
- (c) MASH 2.0 expenditures include \$1,398,524 for administration, marketing and outreach, and \$234,735 for evaluation. Includes administrative expenses for some MASH 1.0 projects and implementing the additional requirements for MASH 2.0.

Between August 2015 and August 2018, ten MASH 1.0 projects in PG&E territory dropped out, totaling \$4,889,293 in incentives becoming unreserved. These unreserved

incentives are not currently accounted for in the MASH 2.0 budget. PG&E requests to transfer this \$4.89M amount to the MASH 2.0 incentive budget.

The current MASH 2.0 administrative budget for PG&E is nearly exhausted due to the following reasons:

- The MASH 2.0 administrative budget in D.15-01-027 was reduced by over seventy percent compared to the MASH 1.0 administrative budget.
- PG&E has had to process more MASH 2.0 projects than MASH 1.0.8
- MASH 2.0 administration is more complex than MASH 1.0, including:
  - Implementing the job training requirement;
  - Establishing the new NEMV2MSH<sup>9</sup> tariff;
- There were administrative expenses recorded in MASH 2.0 that were associated with the review and processing of MASH 1.0 projects.<sup>10</sup>

The current administrative budget will be exhausted soon. PG&E requests to transfer \$1,293,000<sup>11</sup> from MASH 2.0 incentives to the administrative budget to continue administration through the program's end date of December 31, 2021. This will also allow PG&E to re-open the MASH program and process new applications for the remaining incentive budget.

The table below shows the updated budget and PG&E's current expenditures (as of March 31, 2020) after the transfers of \$4,889,293 to MASH 2.0 incentives and \$1,293,000 to MASH 2.0 administration requested by PG&E.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> To date, there are 406 total MASH 2.0 applications submitted compared to a total of 346 MASH 1.0 applications submitted.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> Virtual Net Energy Metering for Multifamily Affordable Housing (MASH/NSHP) with Solar Generator(s).

As noted previously, PG&E continued to administer MASH 1.0 applications for approximately 33 months after the MASH 1.0 administrative budget was fully rolled over into the MASH 2.0 incentive budget.

<sup>\$1,293,000</sup> is calculated based on a future estimated average administrative spend of approximately \$58,773 per month for 22 months, which includes program administration, data management, inspections, and final program evaluation and closeout. This estimate includes \$1,103,000 for administration, marketing and outreach, and \$190,000 for evaluation. The updated combined MASH 1.0 and MASH 2.0 administrative budget after the requested \$1,293,000 transfer is \$5,644,176. \$5,644,176 is less than the combined administrative budget of \$7,333,210 in the original decisions, and less than \$7,036,770, which is the combination of 12% of the adjusted MASH 1.0 budget (\$4,969,895) and 7% of the adjusted MASH 2.0 budget (\$2,066,875).

MASH 2.0 After Requested Transfers	Budget	Expenditures	Remaining Budget
Incentives	\$31,471,222ª	\$11,433,798	\$20,037,424 <sup>c</sup>
Administrative	\$2,944,860 <sup>b</sup>	\$1,633,259	\$1,311,601
Total	\$34,416,082	\$13,067,057	\$21,349,025

- (a) Includes the requested transfer of \$4,889,293 from canceled MASH 1.0 incentives to MASH 2.0 incentives, and the requested transfer of \$1,293,00 from the MASH 2.0 incentive budget to the MASH 2.0 administrative budget.
- (b) Includes the requested transfer of \$1,293,000 from the MASH 2.0 incentive budget to the MASH 2.0 administrative budget.
- (c) Includes \$4,055,605 in reserved incentives for MASH 2.0 projects in progress according to the public MASH Budget Report for PG&E as of April 30, 2020: <a href="https://www.californiadgstats.ca.gov/programs/mash\_budget/">https://www.californiadgstats.ca.gov/programs/mash\_budget/</a>.

If the requested transfers are adopted, PG&E's remaining incentive budget will increase from \$16,441,131 to \$20,037,424.

The Solar on Multifamily Affordable Housing (SOMAH) program launched in 2019 with high interest and participation, but the SOMAH program does not allow master-meter buildings. The main intent of re-opening the MASH program is to provide incentives to master-meter buildings that do not meet the eligibility requirements to participate in the SOMAH program.

To ensure the MASH program end date of December 31, 2021, all projects must be installed with the signed Incentive Claim Form (ICF) submitted no later than October 31, 2021. No extensions will be granted beyond the date of October 31, 2021, consistent with Southern California Edison's approach to re-opening of their MASH program in March 2020. This two-month period is to provide enough time for inspections and incentive payments to be completed prior to the required transfer of unspent or unencumbered funds described below.

The MASH program has been successful, exceeding its capacity goals. D.15-01-027 adopted a state-wide capacity target for MASH of 35 MW. To date, 49.8 MW of capacity for MASH projects have been installed. PG&E's portion of this target capacity is 15.3 MW, and the completed capacity in PG&E territory to date is 20.65 MW.

The requested changes will enable PG&E to re-open the MASH program, deliver remaining incentive funds, and further increase MW capacity while managing administrative costs through the program's end date of December 31, 2021. Any unspent or unencumbered funds after the program end date shall be used for "cost-effective energy efficiency measures in low-income residential housing that benefit ratepayers" as set forth in Section 2852(c)(3).<sup>12</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> D.15-01-027, p.78, OP 12.

To ensure the success of the re-opening and sufficient program support through the final project completion, PG&E requests to transfer \$4,889,293 from previously canceled projects to the incentive budget, and requests to transfer \$1,293,000 from the incentive budget to the administrative budget.

#### **Rates**

The approval of this submittal would not increase any current rate or charge, cause the withdrawal of service, or conflict with any rate schedule or rule.

#### **Protests**

\*\*\*Due to the COVID-19 pandemic and the shelter at home orders, PG&E is currently unable to receive protests or comments to this advice letter via U.S. mail or fax. Please submit protests or comments to this advice letter to EDTariffUnit@cpuc.ca.gov and PGETariffs@pge.com\*\*\*

Anyone wishing to protest this submittal may do so by letter sent via U.S. mail, facsimile or E-mail, no later than June 16, 2020, which is 20 days after the date of this submittal. Protests must be submitted to:

CPUC Energy Division ED Tariff Unit 505 Van Ness Avenue, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor San Francisco, California 94102

Facsimile: (415) 703-2200

E-mail: EDTariffUnit@cpuc.ca.gov

Copies of protests also should be mailed to the attention of the Director, Energy Division, Room 4004, at the address shown above.

The protest shall also be sent to PG&E either via E-mail or U.S. mail (and by facsimile, if possible) at the address shown below on the same date it is mailed or delivered to the Commission:

Erik Jacobson
Director, Regulatory Relations
c/o Megan Lawson
Pacific Gas and Electric Company
77 Beale Street, Mail Code B13U
P.O. Box 770000
San Francisco, California 94177

Facsimile: (415) 973-3582 E-mail: PGETariffs@pge.com Any person (including individuals, groups, or organizations) may protest or respond to an advice letter (General Order 96-B, Section 7.4). The protest shall contain the following information: specification of the advice letter protested; grounds for the protest; supporting factual information or legal argument; name, telephone number, postal address, and (where appropriate) e-mail address of the protestant; and statement that the protest was sent to the utility no later than the day on which the protest was submitted to the reviewing Industry Division (General Order 96-B, Section 3.11).

#### **Effective Date**

PG&E requests that this Tier 2 advice submittal become effective on regular notice, June 26, 2020, which is 30 calendar days after the date of submittal.

#### **Notice**

In accordance with General Order 96-B, Section IV, a copy of this advice letter is being sent electronically and via U.S. mail to parties shown on the attached list and the parties on the service list for Service R.12-11-005. Address changes to the General Order 96-B service list should be directed to PG&E at email address PGETariffs@pge.com. For changes to any other service list, please contact the Commission's Process Office at (415) 703-2021 or at Process\_Office@cpuc.ca.gov. Send all electronic approvals to PGETariffs@pge.com. Advice letter submittals can also be accessed electronically at: http://www.pge.com/tariffs/.

/S/
Erik Jacobson
Director, Regulatory Relations

cc: Service List R.12-11-005





## California Public Utilities Commission

# ADVICE LETTER



ENERGI UIILIII	OF CALL		
MUST BE COMPLETED BY UTI	LITY (Attach additional pages as needed)		
Company name/CPUC Utility No.: Pacific Gas and Electric Company (ID U39E)			
Utility type:  LC GAS WATER PLC HEAT	Contact Person: Kimberly Loo Phone #: (415)973-4587 E-mail: PGETariffs@pge.com E-mail Disposition Notice to: KELM@pge.com		
EXPLANATION OF UTILITY TYPE  ELC = Electric GAS = Gas WATER = Water  PLC = Pipeline HEAT = Heat WATER = Water	(Date Submitted / Received Stamp by CPUC)		
Advice Letter (AL) #: 5834-E	Tier Designation: 2		
Subject of AL: Modification of Pacific Gas and Electric Company's Multifamily Affordable Housing (MASH) Budget  Keywords (choose from CPUC listing): Solar  AL Type: Monthly Quarterly Annual One-Time Other:  If AL submitted in compliance with a Commission order, indicate relevant Decision/Resolution #:			
Does AL replace a withdrawn or rejected AL? I	f so identify the prior Al: No		
Summarize differences between the AL and the			
Confidential treatment requested? Yes No  If yes, specification of confidential information: Confidential information will be made available to appropriate parties who execute a nondisclosure agreement. Name and contact information to request nondisclosure agreement/access to confidential information:  Resolution required? Yes No			
Requested effective date: $6/26/20$	No. of tariff sheets: $_{ m 0}$		
Estimated system annual revenue effect (%): $_{ m N/A}$			
Estimated system average rate effect (%): $\mathrm{N/A}$			
When rates are affected by AL, include attachment in AL showing average rate effects on customer classes (residential, small commercial, large C/I, agricultural, lighting).			
Service affected and changes proposed $^{1:}$ N/A Pending advice letters that revise the same tar			

### Protests and all other correspondence regarding this AL are due no later than 20 days after the date of this submittal, unless otherwise authorized by the Commission, and shall be sent to:

CPUC, Energy Division Attention: Tariff Unit 505 Van Ness Avenue San Francisco, CA 94102

Email: <a href="mailto:EDTariffUnit@cpuc.ca.gov">EDTariffUnit@cpuc.ca.gov</a>

Name: Erik Jacobson, c/o Megan Lawson

Title: Director, Regulatory Relations

Utility Name: Pacific Gas and Electric Company Address: 77 Beale Street, Mail Code B13U

City: San Francisco, CA 94177

State: California Zip: 94177

Telephone (xxx) xxx-xxxx: (415)973-2093 Facsimile (xxx) xxx-xxxx: (415)973-3582

Email: PGETariffs@pge.com

Name:

Title:

Utility Name:

Address:

City:

State: District of Columbia

Zip:

Telephone (xxx) xxx-xxxx: Facsimile (xxx) xxx-xxxx:

Email:

#### PG&E Gas and Electric Advice Submittal List General Order 96-B, Section IV

AT&T

Albion Power Company Alcantar & Kahl LLP

Alta Power Group, LLC Anderson & Poole

Atlas ReFuel BART

Barkovich & Yap, Inc.
California Cotton Ginners & Growers Assn
California Energy Commission
California Public Utilities Commission
California State Association of Counties
Calpine

Cameron-Daniel, P.C.
Casner, Steve
Cenergy Power
Center for Biological Diversity

Chevron Pipeline and Power City of Palo Alto

City of San Jose
Clean Power Research
Coast Economic Consulting
Commercial Energy
Crossborder Energy
Crown Road Energy, LLC
Davis Wright Tremaine LLP
Day Carter Murphy

Dept of General Services Don Pickett & Associates, Inc. Douglass & Liddell Downey & Brand
East Bay Community Energy
Ellison Schneider & Harris LLP
Energy Management Service

GenOn Energy, Inc. Goodin, MacBride, Squeri, Schlotz & Ritchie Green Power Institute

Engineers and Scientists of California

Hanna & Morton ICF

**IGS Energy** 

International Power Technology Intestate Gas Services, Inc.

Kelly Group Ken Bohn Consulting Keyes & Fox LLP Leviton Manufacturing Co., Inc.

Los Angeles County Integrated Waste Management Task Force MRW & Associates Manatt Phelps Phillips Marin Energy Authority McKenzie & Associates

Modesto Irrigation District NLine Energy, Inc. NRG Solar

Office of Ratepayer Advocates OnGrid Solar Pacific Gas and Electric Company Peninsula Clean Energy Pioneer Community Energy

Redwood Coast Energy Authority Regulatory & Cogeneration Service, Inc. SCD Energy Solutions

SCE SDG&E and SoCalGas

SPURR
San Francisco Water Power and Sewer
Seattle City Light
Sempra Utilities
Southern California Edison Company
Southern California Gas Company
Spark Energy
Sun Light & Power
Sunshine Design
Tecogen, Inc.
TerraVerde Renewable Partners
Tiger Natural Gas, Inc.

TransCanada
Troutman Sanders LLP
Utility Cost Management
Utility Power Solutions
Water and Energy Consulting Wellhead
Electric Company
Western Manufactured Housing
Communities Association (WMA)
Yep Energy