

PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

505 VAN NESS AVENUE



April 11, 2019

Advice Letter 4075-G/5494-E

Erik Jacobson
Director, Regulatory Relations
Pacific Gas and Electric Company
77 Beale Street, Mail Code B10C
P.O. Box 770000
San Francisco, CA 94177

**SUBJECT: Pilot Process Evaluation, Community Energy Navigator (CEN), and
Economic Feasibility Framework Cost Sharing Agreement Pursuant to
Decision (D.) 18-12-015**

Dear Mr. Jacobson:

Advice Letter 4075-G/5494-E is effective as of March 12, 2019.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Edward Randolph".

Edward Randolph
Deputy Executive Director for Energy and Climate Policy/
Director, Energy Division



Ronald van der Leeden
Director
Regulatory Affairs

555 W. Fifth Street, GT14D6
Los Angeles, CA 90013-1011
Tel: 213.244.2009
Fax: 213.244.4957

RvanderLeeden@semprautilities.com

March 12, 2019

Advice No. 5436
(Southern California Gas Company - U 904G)

Advice No. 4075-G/5494-E
(Pacific Gas and Electric Company - U 39-M)

Advice No. 3967-E
(Southern California Edison Company - U 338-E)

Public Utilities Commission of the State of California

Subject: Pilot Process Evaluation, Community Energy Navigator (CEN), and Economic Feasibility Framework Cost Sharing Agreement Pursuant to Decision (D.) 18-12-015

Southern California Gas Company (SoCalGas) on behalf of itself, Pacific Gas and Electric Company (PG&E), and Southern California Edison Company (SCE) (collectively “utilities”), hereby submits for approval by the California Public Utilities Commission (Commission) a Joint Tier 1 Pilot Project Process Evaluation, CEN, and Economic Feasibility Framework Cost Sharing Advice Letter establishing a co-funding agreement that specifies the cost-sharing schema.

Purpose

Pursuant to D.18-12-015, Ordering Paragraph (OP) 29, this submittal establishes a cost-sharing schema for pilot project process evaluation, contracting to support development of an economic feasibility framework and CEN co-funding agreements.

Background

On December 19, 2018, the Commission issued D.18-12-015 approving the San Joaquin Valley (SJV) Disadvantaged Communities (DACs) pilot projects. OP 29 of D.18-12-015 directed the utilities to submit a joint Tier 1 Pilot Project Process

Evaluation, CEN, and Economic Feasibility Framework Cost Sharing Advice Letter within 90 days of issuance of D.18-12-015 containing a co-funding agreement that specifies the cost-sharing schema for three activities;

1. A pilot project process evaluation contract with SoCalGas, with costs not to exceed \$250,000;
2. A contract to support development of an economic feasibility framework with SCE, with costs not to exceed \$500,000; and
3. CEN Program Management Contract with SCE with costs not to exceed \$1,500,000.

Additionally, OP 29 authorizes the utilities to recover their portion of these costs as detailed below in the one-way balancing accounts authorized in OPs 23 and 24.

Establishment of the Pilot Project Process Evaluation, Economic Feasibility Framework and Community Energy Navigator Cost Sharing Co-Funding Schema

The utilities propose the following cost-sharing schema for pilot project process evaluation activities, the development of an economic feasibility framework and for CEN activities as follows:

Pilot Project Process Evaluation

Based on the overall number of SJV DAC Pilot households and the proportion of these households in each utility's service area, the costs for a pilot project process evaluation shall be shared between the utilities as shown in Table 1 below:

Table 1: Pilot Process Evaluation Budget Allocation

Utility	Budget Allocation
PG&E	45%
SCE	30%
SoCalGas	25%

Economic Feasibility Framework

Costs for developing an economic feasibility framework shall be budgeted and shared between the utilities equally. Table 2 below shows the economic feasibility framework budget allocation as per D.18-12-015:¹

Table 2: Economic Feasibility Budget Allocation

Utility	Budget Allocation
PG&E	33%
SCE	33%
SoCalGas	33%

¹ D.18-12-015, at 141.

Community Energy Navigator

In order to prevent cross-subsidization, funding from one utility will not be used to pay for CEN Program Manager (CPM) or CEN activities for a different utility's pilot and the following mechanisms will be put in place:²

Funding allocated for a utility will only be used by pilot Program Administrators to pay for pilot activities by that utility. The Statement of Work (SOW) for the CPM and CEN vendors will be divided by utility into three IOU-specific sections and a fixed cost section. Vendors' fixed costs will be defined and allocated per the percentages identified below, and the overall cost of each utility's portion of the contract - fixed costs plus the utility-specific cost - shall not exceed the utility-specific budgets authorized in D.18-12-015 and detailed below. Invoices submitted by contractors for all work performed in the pilot communities will be provided to all utilities and will be itemized by utility. Each utility will review and approve prior to payment. Copies of detailed invoices and payment records will be provided in the post-pilot report summarizing utilities engagement efforts and pilot implementation progress, including use of leveraged programs.

Costs for CEN shall be budgeted and shared between the utilities as per the percentage of the overall CEN budget allocated to each utility in D.18-12-015.³ Of the overall budget of \$1.5M, PG&E was allocated \$505,600,⁴ or 34%; SCE was allocated \$532,100, or 35%; and SoCalGas was allocated \$462,300, or 31%. Table 3 below shows the CEN budget allocation:

Table 3: CEN Budget Allocation

Utility	CEN Budget Allocation
PG&E	34%
SCE	35%
SoCalGas	31%

Protests

Anyone may protest this advice letter to the Commission. The protest must state the grounds upon which it is based, including such items as financial and service impact, and should be submitted expeditiously. The protest must be made in writing and received within 20 days of the date of this advice letter, which is April 1, 2019. The address for mailing or delivering a protest to the Commission is:

² D.18-12-015, at 84.

³ Ibid, at 66.

⁴ The CEN budget for PG&E was \$142,000. The CEN budget for Third Party PA/PI in PG&E service territory was \$363,600 for a total of \$505,600.

CPUC Energy Division
Attention: Tariff Unit
505 Van Ness Avenue
San Francisco, CA 94102

Copies of the protest should also be sent via e-mail to the attention of the Energy Division Tariff Unit (EDTariffUnit@cpuc.ca.gov). A copy of the protest shall also be sent via both e-mail and facsimile to the addresses shown below on the same date it is mailed or delivered to the Commission:

For SoCalGas:
Attn: Ray B. Ortiz
Tariff Manager - GT14D6
555 West Fifth Street
Los Angeles, CA 90013-1011
Facsimile No.: (213) 244-4957
E-mail: ROrtiz@semprautilities.com

For PG&E:
Erik Jacobson
Director, Regulatory Relations
c/o Megan Lawson
Pacific Gas and Electric Company
77 Beale Street, Mail Code B13U
P.O. Box 770000
San Francisco, CA 94177
Facsimile: (415) 973-3582
E-mail: PGETariffs@pge.com

For SCE:
Gary A. Stern, PhD.
Managing Director, State Regulatory Operations
Southern California Edison Company
8631 Rush Street
Rosemead, CA 91770
Telephone: (626) 302-9645
Facsimile: (626) 302-6396
E-mail: AdviceTariffManager@sce.com

Laura Genao
Managing Director, State Regulatory Affairs
c/o Karyn Gansecki
Southern California Edison Company
601 Van Ness Avenue, Suite 2030
San Francisco, CA 94102
Facsimile: (415) 929-5544
E-mail: Karyn.Gansecki@sce.com

Effective Date

SoCalGas asserts this advice letter is subject to Energy Division disposition and should be classified as Tier 1 (effective pending disposition) pursuant to General Order (GO) 96-B. This submittal is consistent with OP 29 of D.18-12-015. Accordingly, SoCalGas respectfully requests that this advice letter become effective for service on March 12, 2019, the date of submittal.

Notice

A copy of this advice letter is being sent to SoCalGas' GO 96-B service list and the Commission's service list in R.15-03-010. Address change requests to the GO 96-B service list should be directed via e-mail to tariffs@socalgas.com or call 213-244-2837. For changes to all other service lists, please contact the Commission's Process Office at 415-703-2021 or via e-mail at Process_Office@cpuc.ca.gov.

Ronald van der Leeden
Director - Regulatory Affairs

Attachments



ADVICE LETTER SUMMARY

ENERGY UTILITY



MUST BE COMPLETED BY UTILITY (Attach additional pages as needed)

Company name/CPUC Utility No.: Southern California Gas Company (U 904G)

Utility type:

ELC GAS WATER
 PLC HEAT

Contact Person: Ray B. Ortiz

Phone #: (213) 244-3837

E-mail: ROrtiz@semprautilities.com

E-mail Disposition Notice to: Tariffs@socalgas.com

EXPLANATION OF UTILITY TYPE

ELC = Electric GAS = Gas WATER = Water
PLC = Pipeline HEAT = Heat

(Date Submitted / Received Stamp by CPUC)

Advice Letter (AL) #: 5436, et al.

Tier Designation: 1

Subject of AL: Pilot Process Evaluation, Community Energy Navigator (CEN), and Economic Feasibility Framework Cost Sharing Agreement Pursuant to Decision (D.) 18-12-015

Keywords (choose from CPUC listing): Compliance

AL Type: Monthly Quarterly Annual One-Time Other:

If AL submitted in compliance with a Commission order, indicate relevant Decision/Resolution #: D.18-12-015

Does AL replace a withdrawn or rejected AL? If so, identify the prior AL: No

Summarize differences between the AL and the prior withdrawn or rejected AL: N/A

Confidential treatment requested? Yes No

If yes, specification of confidential information:

Confidential information will be made available to appropriate parties who execute a nondisclosure agreement. Name and contact information to request nondisclosure agreement/ access to confidential information:

Resolution required? Yes No

Requested effective date: 3/12/19

No. of tariff sheets: 0

Estimated system annual revenue effect (%): N/A

Estimated system average rate effect (%): N/A

When rates are affected by AL, include attachment in AL showing average rate effects on customer classes (residential, small commercial, large C/I, agricultural, lighting).

Tariff schedules affected: N/A

Service affected and changes proposed¹: N/A

Pending advice letters that revise the same tariff sheets: N/A

¹Discuss in AL if more space is needed.

Protests and all other correspondence regarding this AL are due no later than 20 days after the date of this submittal, unless otherwise authorized by the Commission, and shall be sent to:

CPUC, Energy Division
Attention: Tariff Unit
505 Van Ness Avenue
San Francisco, CA 94102
Email: EDTariffUnit@cpuc.ca.gov

Name: Rav B. Ortiz
Title: Regulatory Tariff Manager
Utility Name: Southern California Gas Company
Address: 555 West Fifth Street, GT14D6
City: Los Angeles
State: California Zip: 90013-1011
Telephone (xxx) xxx-xxxx: (213) 244-3837
Facsimile (xxx) xxx-xxxx: (213)244-4957
Email: ROrtiz@semprautilities.com

Name: SoCalGas Tariffs
Title:
Utility Name: Southern California Gas Company
Address: 555 West Fifth Street, GT14D6
City: Los Angeles
State: California Zip: 90013-1011
Telephone (xxx) xxx-xxxx: (213) 244-2837
Facsimile (xxx) xxx-xxxx: (213)244-4957
Email: Tariffs@socalgas.com

**PG&E Gas and Electric
Advice Filing List
General Order 96-B, Section IV**

AT&T	Downey & Brand	Pioneer Community Energy
Albion Power Company	East Bay Community Energy	Praxair
Alcantar & Kahl LLP	Ellison Schneider & Harris LLP	Regulatory & Cogeneration Service, Inc.
	Energy Management Service	SCD Energy Solutions
Alta Power Group, LLC	Evaluation + Strategy for Social	
Anderson & Poole	Innovation	SCE
	GenOn Energy, Inc.	SDG&E and SoCalGas
Atlas ReFuel	Goodin, MacBride, Squeri, Schlotz &	
BART	Ritchie	SPURR
	Green Charge Networks	San Francisco Water Power and Sewer
Barkovich & Yap, Inc.	Green Power Institute	Seattle City Light
P.C. CalCom Solar	Hanna & Morton	Sempra Utilities
California Cotton Ginners & Growers Assn	ICF	Southern California Edison Company
California Energy Commission	International Power Technology	Southern California Gas Company
California Public Utilities Commission	Intestate Gas Services, Inc.	Spark Energy
California State Association of Counties	Kelly Group	Sun Light & Power
Calpine	Ken Bohn Consulting	Sunshine Design
	Keyes & Fox LLP	Tecogen, Inc.
Cameron-Daniel, P.C.	Leviton Manufacturing Co., Inc.	TerraVerde Renewable Partners
Casner, Steve	Linde	Tiger Natural Gas, Inc.
Cenergy Power	Los Angeles County Integrated Waste	
Center for Biological Diversity	Management Task Force	TransCanada
City of Palo Alto	Los Angeles Dept of Water & Power	Troutman Sanders LLP
	MRW & Associates	Utility Cost Management
City of San Jose	Manatt Phelps Phillips	Utility Power Solutions
Clean Power Research	Marin Energy Authority	Utility Specialists
Coast Economic Consulting	McKenzie & Associates	
Commercial Energy	Modesto Irrigation District	Verizon
County of Tehama - Department of Public	Morgan Stanley	Water and Energy Consulting
Works	NLine Energy, Inc.	Wellhead Electric Company
Crossborder Energy	NRG Solar	Western Manufactured Housing
Crown Road Energy, LLC		Communities Association (WMA)
Davis Wright Tremaine LLP		Yep Energy
Day Carter Murphy	Office of Ratepayer Advocates	
	OnGrid Solar	
Dept of General Services	Pacific Gas and Electric Company	
Don Pickett & Associates, Inc.		
Douglass & Liddell		