September 1, 2015

Advice Letter 3617-G/4685-E

Erik Jacobson  
Director, Regulatory Relations  
Pacific Gas and Electric Company  
77 Beale Street, Mail Code B10C  
P.O. Box 770000  
San Francisco, California 94177

SUBJECT: Add Six New Sites to Hazardous Substance Mechanism

Dear Mr. Jacobson:

Advice Letter 3617-G/4685-E is effective as of September 6, 2015.

Sincerely,

Edward Randolph  
Director, Energy Division
August 7, 2015

Advice 3617-G/4685-E
(Pacific Gas and Electric Company ID U 39 M)

Public Utilities Commission of the State of California

Subject: Add Six New Sites to Hazardous Substance Mechanism

Purpose


PG&E has identified six facilities where historical operations included the use, storage, and/or repair of utility equipment that contained mercury and may have contained other hazardous substances. PG&E plans to conduct environmental assessments at these sites to identify and remediate hazardous substance releases which may have occurred in order to protect worker safety, public health, and the environment.

A description of all six additional sites is set forth in Attachment 1 to this filing. The sites are as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site Name</th>
<th>Location</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tionesta Compressor Station</td>
<td>Tionesta Road, Tionesta, Modoc County</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rock Creek Yard</td>
<td>1649 Canal Street, Auburn, Placer County</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Watsonville Service Center</td>
<td>11 Walker Street, Watsonville, Santa Cruz County</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clearlake Highlands Service Center</td>
<td>14730 Olympic Dr., Clearlake, Lake County</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Background

D.94-05-020 requires California utilities to file an advice letter in order to include additional sites as part of the Hazardous Substance Mechanism. For each site the advice letter shall list: 1) the name of the site(s); 2) the location of the site(s); 3) the source, nature and approximate date of the contamination; 4) utility operations (historical and current) at the site(s), if any; and 5) environmental agency actions and oversight regarding the site(s), if any. In addition, D.96-07-016 requires utilities to demonstrate that: 1) clean-up costs for which recovery is being sought are not being recovered through base rates or through any other recovery procedure, and 2) all of the costs for which recovery is being sought are hazardous waste clean-up costs (including insurance costs) found appropriate for recovery in the Hazardous Substance Cleanup Cost Recovery Collaborative Report.

Protests

Anyone wishing to protest this filing may do so by letter sent via U.S. mail, facsimile or E-mail, no later than August 27, 2015, which is 20 days after the date of this filing. Protests must be submitted to:

CPUC Energy Division
ED Tariff Unit
505 Van Ness Avenue, 4th Floor
San Francisco, California 94102
Facsimile: (415) 703-2200
E-mail: EDTariffUnit@cpuc.ca.gov

Copies of protests also should be mailed to the attention of the Director, Energy Division, Room 4004, at the address shown above.

The protest shall also be sent to PG&E either via E-mail or U.S. mail (and by facsimile, if possible) at the address shown below on the same date it is mailed or delivered to the Commission:
Any person (including individuals, groups, or organizations) may protest or respond to an advice letter (General Order 96-B, Section 7.4). The protest shall contain the following information: specification of the advice letter protested; grounds for the protest; supporting factual information or legal argument; name, telephone number, postal address, and (where appropriate) e-mail address of the protestant; and statement that the protest was sent to the utility no later than the day on which the protest was submitted to the reviewing Industry Division (General Order 96-B, Section 3.11).

**Effective Date**

PG&E requests that this Tier 2 advice filing become effective on September 6, 2015, which is 30 days after the date of filing.

**Notice**

In accordance with General Order 96-B, Section IV, a copy of this advice letter is being sent electronically and via U.S. mail to parties shown on the attached list. Address changes to the General Order 96-B service list and all electronic approvals should be directed to e-mail PGETariffs@pge.com. For changes to any other service list, please contact the Commission’s Process Office at (415) 703-2021 or at Process_Office@cpuc.ca.gov. Advice letter filings can also be accessed electronically at [http://www.pge.com/tariffs/](http://www.pge.com/tariffs/).

_____________________________
Erik Jacobson
Director, Regulatory Relations

cc: Robert Finkelstein - TURN (bfinkelstein@turn.org)
Company name/CPUC Utility No. Pacific Gas and Electric Company (ID U39 M)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Utility type:</th>
<th>Contact Person: Shirley Wong</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>☑ ELC</td>
<td>Phone#: (415) 972-5505</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☑ GAS</td>
<td>E-mail: <a href="mailto:slwb@pge.com">slwb@pge.com</a> and <a href="mailto:PGETariffs@pge.com">PGETariffs@pge.com</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ PLC</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ HEAT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ WATER</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**EXPLANATION OF UTILITY TYPE**
ELC = Electric
GAS = Gas
PLC = Pipeline
HEAT = Heat
WATER = Water

Advice Letter (AL) #: 3617-G/4685-E
Subject of AL: Add Six New Sites to Hazardous Substance Mechanism
Keywords (choose from CPUC listing): Compliance, Hazardous Waster
AL filing type: ☑ Monthly ☐ Quarterly ☐ Annual ☑ One-Time ☐ Other

If AL filed in compliance with a Commission order, indicate relevant Decision/Resolution #: D. 94-05-020
Does AL replace a withdrawn or rejected AL? If so, identify the prior AL: No
Summarize differences between the AL and the prior withdrawn or rejected AL: ____________________
Is AL requesting confidential treatment? If so, what information is the utility seeking confidential treatment for: No
Confidential information will be made available to those who have executed a nondisclosure agreement: N/A
Name(s) and contact information of the person(s) who will provide the nondisclosure agreement and access to the confidential information: ____________________________________________________________
Resolution Required? ☐ Yes ☑ No
Requested effective date: September 6, 2015
No. of tariff sheets: N/A
Estimated system annual revenue effect (%): N/A
Estimated system average rate effect (%): N/A
When rates are affected by AL, include attachment in AL showing average rate effects on customer classes (residential, small commercial, large C/I, agricultural, lighting).
Tariff schedules affected: N/A
Service affected and changes proposed: N/A
Pending advice letters that revise the same tariff sheets: N/A

Protests, dispositions, and all other correspondence regarding this AL are due no later than 20 days after the date of this filing, unless otherwise authorized by the Commission, and shall be sent to:

California Public Utilities Commission
Energy Division
EDTariffUnit
505 Van Ness Ave., 4th Flr.
San Francisco, CA 94102
E-mail: EDTariffUnit@cpuc.ca.gov

Pacific Gas and Electric Company
Attn: Erik Jacobson
Director, Regulatory Relations
77 Beale Street, Mail Code B10C
P.O. Box 770000
San Francisco, CA 94177
E-mail: PGETariffs@pge.com
Site Name: Tionesta Compressor Station

Location: Tionesta Road, Tionesta, Modoc County

Source, Nature, and Approximate Date of Contamination: An environmental assessment identified historical operations at PG&E’s Tionesta Compressor Station that may have resulted in a release of mercury and/or other hazardous substances. The exact date of any potential mercury release at this facility is unknown. However, PG&E estimates any mercury contamination likely occurred prior to 1991, as PG&E completed the removal of mercury-filled meters and controls from most of its sites by 1991.

Utility Operations at the Site: PG&E’s Tionesta Compressor Station comprises a parcel that measures approximately 5.3 acres. It was constructed in 1970 to support the operations of the gas transmission system and various associated facilities. Site facilities include three major buildings used for gas transmission. There are numerous other small buildings that are used for storage or to protect the valve operators from the elements during the winter. Some of the control instrumentation contained mercury, and PG&E is exploring the possibility of a historical release at this site.

Environmental Agency Actions: There has been no agency involvement to date.

Nature of Costs: The costs for which PG&E is seeking HSM recovery are not being recovered in base rates, or through any other recovery procedure. All cleanup costs to be recorded by PG&E in the HSM balancing accounts will be hazardous waste cleanup costs found appropriate for recovery in the Hazardous Substance Cleanup Cost Recovery Collaborative Report.
Site Name: Rock Creek Yard

Location: 1649 Canal Street, Auburn, Placer County

Source, Nature, and Approximate Date of Contamination: An environmental site assessment identified historical operations at PG&E’s Rock Creek Yard that may have resulted in a release of mercury and/or other hazardous substances. The exact date of any potential mercury release at this facility is unknown. However, PG&E estimates any mercury contamination likely occurred prior to 1991, as PG&E completed the removal of mercury-filled meters and controls from most of its sites by 1991.

Utility Operations at the Site: PG&E’s Rock Creek Yard comprises approximately 12 acres. It was constructed in 1978 to provide utility support service for the surrounding area of Placer County. Site facilities include two buildings and nine trailers, currently used for offices and warehousing. PG&E is exploring the possibility of a historical release at this site.

Environmental Agency Actions: There has been no agency involvement to date.

Nature of Costs: The costs for which PG&E is now seeking HSM recovery are not being recovered in base rates, or through any other recovery procedure. All cleanup costs to be recorded by PG&E in the HSM balancing accounts will be hazardous waste cleanup costs found appropriate for recovery in the Hazardous Substance Cleanup Cost Recovery Collaborative Report.
Site Name: Watsonville Service Center

Location: 11 Walker Street, Watsonville, Santa Cruz County

Source, Nature, and Approximate Date of Contamination: An environmental site assessment identified historical operations at PG&E’s Watsonville Service Center that may have resulted in a release of mercury and/or other hazardous substances. The exact date of any potential mercury release at this facility is unknown. However, PG&E estimates any mercury contamination likely occurred prior to 1991, as PG&E completed the removal of mercury-filled meters and controls from most of its sites by 1991.

Utility Operations at the Site: PG&E’s Watsonville Service Center comprises approximately 1.4 acres. It was constructed in 1939 to provide gas and electric service for the surrounding area of Santa Cruz County. Site facilities include two buildings and a single trailer, currently used for offices, shops, and warehousing. PG&E is exploring the possibility of a historical release at this site.

Environmental Agency Actions: There has been no agency involvement to date.

Nature of Costs: The costs for which PG&E is now seeking HSM recovery are not being recovered in base rates, or through any other recovery procedure. All cleanup costs to be recorded by PG&E in the HSM balancing accounts will be hazardous waste cleanup costs found appropriate for recovery in the Hazardous Substance Cleanup Cost Recovery Collaborative Report.
Site Name: Clearlake Highlands Service Center

Location: 14730 Olympic Dr., Clearlake, Lake County

Source, Nature, and Approximate Date of Contamination: An environmental site assessment identified historical operations at PG&E’s Clearlake Highlands Service Center that may have resulted in a release of mercury and/or other hazardous substances. The exact date of any potential mercury release at this facility is unknown. However, PG&E estimates any mercury contamination likely occurred prior to 1991, as PG&E completed the removal of mercury-filled meters and controls from most of its sites by 1991.

Utility Operations at the Site: PG&E’s Clearlake Highlands Service Center comprises approximately 2.9 acres. It was constructed in 1960 to provide electric service for the surrounding area of Lake County. Site facilities include one building and a single trailer, currently used for offices, shops, and warehousing. PG&E is exploring the possibility of a historical release at this site.

Environmental Agency Actions: There has been no agency involvement to date.

Nature of Costs: The costs for which PG&E is now seeking HSM recovery are not being recovered in base rates, or through any other recovery procedure. All cleanup costs to be recorded by PG&E in the HSM balancing accounts will be hazardous waste cleanup costs found appropriate for recovery in the Hazardous Substance Cleanup Cost Recovery Collaborative Report.
Site Name: Gas Transmission Line 301 A /Line 301 G

Location: Various locations between Moss landing Power Plant, Monterey County to Hollister Meter Station, San Benito County. Specific locations are:

- Moss Landing PP  Longitude: -121.774002/Latitude: 36.802733  Monterey County
- Dolan Road  Longitude: -121.734053/Latitude: 36.797929  Monterey County
- Wright Road  Longitude: -121.442848/Latitude: 36.864635  San Benito County

Source, Nature, and Approximate Date of Contamination: An environmental site assessment identified historical operations along PG&E’s Gas Transmission Lines 301 A &G that may have resulted in a release of mercury and/or other hazardous substances. The exact date of any potential mercury release at these facilities is unknown. However, PG&E estimates any mercury contamination likely occurred prior to 1991, as PG&E completed the removal of mercury-filled meters and controls from most of its sites by 1991.

Utility Operations at the Site: PG&E’s Gas Transmission Lines 301 A & G span approximately 25 miles between the Moss Landing Power Plant and the Hollister Meter Station as they transmit natural gas to Northern California. The route contains numerous buildings which house valves and other equipment. A portion of these facilities may have utilized mercury containing devices. PG&E is exploring the possibility of a historical release at these specific sites.

Environmental Agency Actions: There has been no agency involvement to date.

Nature of Costs: The costs for which PG&E is now seeking HSM recovery are not being recovered in base rates, or through any other recovery procedure. All cleanup costs to be recorded by PG&E in the HSM balancing accounts will be hazardous waste cleanup costs found appropriate for recovery in the Hazardous Substance Cleanup Cost Recovery Collaborative Report.
Site Name: Gas Transmission Line 103

Location: Various locations between Harkin Road Regulator Station in Monterey County to Bolsa Road Meter Station, San Benito County. Specific locations are:

- Harkin Rd Reg Stn Longitude: -121.633802/Latitude: 36.644812 Monterey County
- California St Longitude: -121.650864/Latitude: 36.681381 Monterey County
- Old Stage Rd s/o Herbert Rd Longitude: -121.607096/Latitude: 36.750553 Monterey County
- Bolsa Rd Meter Stn Longitude: -121.429975/Latitude: 36.863932 San Benito County

Source, Nature, and Approximate Date of Contamination: An environmental site assessment identified historical operations along PG&E’s Gas Transmission Line 103 that may have resulted in a release of mercury and/or other hazardous substances. The exact date of any potential mercury release at this facility is unknown. However, PG&E estimates any mercury contamination likely occurred prior to 1991, as PG&E completed the removal of mercury-filled meters and controls from most of its sites by 1991.

Utility Operations at the Site: PG&E’s Gas Transmission Line 103 spans approximately 23 miles as it transmits natural gas between the cities of Hollister in San Benito County and Salinas in Monterey County. The route contains buildings which house valves and other equipment. A portion of these facilities may have utilized mercury containing devices. PG&E is exploring the possibility of a historical release at these specific sites along the Line 107 route.

Environmental Agency Actions: There has been no agency involvement to date.

Nature of Costs: The costs for which PG&E is now seeking HSM recovery are not being recovered in base rates, or through any other recovery procedure. All cleanup costs to be recorded by PG&E in the HSM balancing accounts will be hazardous waste cleanup costs found appropriate for recovery in the Hazardous Substance Cleanup Cost Recovery Collaborative Report.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Corporation/Department</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AT&amp;T</td>
<td>Don Pickett &amp; Associates, Inc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Albion Power Company</td>
<td>Office of Ratepayer Advocates</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alcantar &amp; Kahl LLP</td>
<td>OnGrid Solar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anderson &amp; Poole</td>
<td>Pacific Gas and Electric Company</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BART</td>
<td>Praxair</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bartle Wells Associates</td>
<td>SCD Energy Solutions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Braun Blaising McLaughlin, P.C.</td>
<td>SCE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Braul Blaisin, McLaughlin P.C.</td>
<td>SDG&amp;E and SoCalGas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPUC</td>
<td>Goodin, MacBride, Squeri, Schlotz &amp; Ritchie</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>California Cotton Ginners &amp; Growers Assn</td>
<td>SPURR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>California Energy Commission</td>
<td>San Francisco Water Power and Sewer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>California Public Utilities Commission</td>
<td>Seattle City Light</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>California State Association of Counties</td>
<td>Sempra Energy (SoCal Gas)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calpine</td>
<td>Sempra Utilities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Casner, Steve</td>
<td>SoCalGas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cenergy Power</td>
<td>Southern California Edison Company</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Center for Biological Diversity</td>
<td>Spark Energy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of Palo Alto</td>
<td>Sun Light &amp; Power</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of San Jose</td>
<td>Sunshine Design</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clean Power</td>
<td>Tecogen, Inc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coast Economic Consulting</td>
<td>Tiger Natural Gas, Inc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commercial Energy</td>
<td>TransCanada</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cool Earth Solar, Inc.</td>
<td>Troutman Sanders LLP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>County of Tehama - Department of Public Works</td>
<td>Utility Cost Management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crossborder Energy</td>
<td>Utility Power Solutions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Davis Wright Tremaine LLP</td>
<td>Utility Specialists</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day Carter Murphy</td>
<td>Verizon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Defense Energy Support Center</td>
<td>Water and Energy Consulting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dept of General Services</td>
<td>Wellhead Electric Company</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Division of Ratepayer Advocates</td>
<td>Western Manufactured Housing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Communities Association (WMA)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>YEP Energy</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>