July 30, 2015

Center for Sustainable Energy Advice Letters (AL) 59, 59-A, 59-B
Pacific Gas and Electric Company ALs 4609-E, 4609-E-A, 4609-E-B
Southern California Edison Company ALs 3199-E, 3199-E-A, 3199-E-B

Meredith Allen
Senior Director, Regulatory Relations
Pacific Gas & Electric Company
77 Beale Street, Mail Code B10C
P.O Box 770000
San Francisco, CA 94177

Sachin Constantine
Director of Policy
Center for Sustainable Energy
9325 Sky Park Court, Suite 100
San Diego, CA 92123

Russell G. Worden
Managing Director, State Regulatory Operations
Southern California Edison Company
8631 Rush Street
Rosemead, CA 91770

Michael R. Hoover
Director, State Regulatory Affairs
c/o Karyn Gansecki
Southern California Edison Company
601 Van Ness Avenue, Suite 2030
San Francisco, CA 94102

Subject: Proposed Standalone Multifamily Affordable Solar Housing (MASH) Program Handbook to Incorporate Changes to the MASH Program Necessary to Align with Decision 15-01-027.

Dear Ms. Allen, Mr. Constantine, Mr. Hoover and Mr. Worden:

This letter disposes of the jointly filed advice letters (AL) Center for Sustainable Energy AL 59, 59-A and AL 59-B; Pacific Gas & Electric Company AL 4609-E, AL 4609 E-A and AL 4609-E-B; and Southern California Edison Company AL 3199-E, AL 3199-E-A and AL 3199-E-B. Ordering Paragraph (OP) 1 of Decision (D.)15-01-027 directs the Multifamily Affordable Solar Housing (MASH) Program Administrators (PAs) to file an Advice Letter with a standalone MASH Handbook (Handbook), which incorporates changes to the program needed to align with the decision. Based on its review and analysis, Energy Division (ED) has determined that the advice filings as modified by the two supplemental filings are compliant with D.15-01-027 and are approved as filed and effective July 31, 2015.

Background:

The initial Joint AL was timely filed on March 30, 2015. The MASH PAs filed a supplement to the Joint AL (CSE AL-59-A, et.al.) on April 20, 2015 with a correction to the MASH cost cap calculation in the “Limitations on Installed Cost” section of the MASH Handbook. The Joint AL was protested by California Solar Energy Industries Association (CALEIA), Shorebreak Energy Developers, LLC (Shorebreak) and Everyday Communications corp. dba Everyday Energy (Everyday Energy) jointly with the MASH Coalition on April 20, 2015. On April 27, 2015, the MASH PAs filed a reply to the protests of CALSEIA, Everyday Energy and the MASH Coalition, and Shorebreak. On April 27, 2015, Nextility, LLC filed comments on Everyday Energy and the MASH Coalition’s protest, however, under Section 7.4.3 of the CPUC’s General Order 96-B, only the utility filing an advice letter may reply to a protest. Nextility acknowledged this in its comments and Energy Division did not consider Nextility’s filing in its analysis. On April 29, 2015, staff suspended the Joint AL to allow more time for review.
On February 27, 2015, Shorebreak filed a Petition for Modification (PFM) of D.15-01-027. On July 23, 2015, the Commission adopted a decision granting in part and denying in part Shorebreak’s PFM. That decision in R.12-11-005 grants Shorebreak’s request to modify D.15-01-027 by removing the requirement that documentation of CPUC Code 2852 “must have been recorded at least 180 calendar days prior to the date of the MASH application, or if recorded within 180 days of MASH application, replace a similarly complying pre-existing restriction or covenant”1 (the 180 day requirement). The PFM decision denies Shorebreak’s request to adopt a specific form for a deed restriction under Section 2852. OP 2 of the PFM decision requires the MASH PAs to file a supplement to the Joint AL with an updated Handbook that does not include the 180 day requirement. The MASH PAs filed a supplement to the Joint AL (CSE AL-59-B, et.al.) on July 27, 2015 that removes the 180 day requirement from the Handbook. Also on July 27, 2015 the MASH PAs filed a substitute sheet to correct a typographical error in the Handbook.

Discussion:

D.15-01-027 requires that the MASH PAs file a standalone Handbook based on the California Solar Initiative (CSI) General Market Handbook but with modifications to include changes directed in the Decision. The Joint AL proposes Handbook provisions to implement all the requirements as set forth in D.15-01-027. The July 23, 2015 decision granting in part and denying in part Shorebreak’s PFM renders Shorebreak’s protest moot. The imminent launch of the new MASH program makes CALSEIA’s and Everyday Energy and the MASH Coalition’s request for the MASH PAs to issue conditionally approved reservations also moot.

Accordingly, pursuant to D.15-01-027, the jointly filed Tier 2 advice letters are compliant with Commission decisions. Attachment A contains a detailed discussion of the Energy Division staff’s review of CALSEIA’s, Shorebreak’s and Everyday Energy/MASH Coalition’s protests and the MASH PAs’ reply.

Sincerely,

Edward Randolph
Director, Energy Division
California Public Utilities Commission

cc: Service List R.12-11-005
    Brad Heavner, California Solar Energy Industries Association
    Scott A. Sarem, Everyday Energy
    Wayne Waite, Everyday Energy
    G. Andrew Blauvelt, MASH Coalition
    Randall Simmrens, MASH Coalition
    Kevin McSpadden, Shorebreak Energy Developers, LLC

1 D.15-01-027 at page 56.
Attachment A

Review and Analysis

Background

As directed by OP 1 of D.15-01-027, the MASH PAs jointly filed CSE AL-59, et.al. on March 20, 2015. The MASH PAs filed a supplement to the Joint AL (CSE AL 59-A, et.al.) on April 20, 2015 with a correction to the MASH cost cap calculation in the “Limitations on Installed Cost” section of the MASH Handbook.

Four parties submitted protests to the Joint AL. California Solar Energy Industries Association (CALSEIA) and Shorebreak Energy Developers, LLC (Shorebreak) each filed separate protests. Everyday Communications corp. dba Everyday Energy (Everyday Energy) and the MASH Coalition filed a joint protest. The MASH PAs filed a joint reply to those protests on April 27, 2015.

Also, on February 27, 2015, Shorebreak filed a Petition for Modification (PFM) of D. 15-01-027. On July 23, 2015, the Commission adopted a decision granting in part and denying in part Shorebreak’s PFM. That decision in R.12-11-005 grants Shorebreak’s request to modify D.15-01-027 by removing the requirement that documentation of CPUC Code 2852 “must have been recorded at least 180 calendar days prior to the date of the MASH application, or if recorded within 180 days of MASH application, replace a similarly complying pre-existing restriction or covenant”\(^2\) (the 180 day requirement). The PFM decision denies Shorebreak’s request to adopt a specific form for a deed restriction under Section 2852. OP 2 of the PFM decision requires the MASH PAs to file a supplement to the Joint AL with an updated Handbook that does not include the 180 day requirement. The MASAH PAs filed a supplement to the Joint AL (CSE AL 59-B, et.al.) on July 27, 2015. Also on July 27, 2015 the MASH PAs filed a substitute sheet to correct a typographical error in the Handbook.

For ease of reference, the following table displays the protest issue filed by each party:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Protest Issue</th>
<th>Shorebreak</th>
<th>CALSEIA</th>
<th>Everyday Energy and MASH Coalition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1) Prejudges Application for Rehearing and Petition for Modification</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2) Amend Application Process to Address Delays in Program Implementation</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3) Allow Project Applicant and All Entities Included in Broader Definition of Host Customer to Request Reservation Transfer</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Protest Issue 1: Approval of Handbook would prejudice Shorebreak’s Application for Rehearing and Petition for Modification**

Shorebreak protests the Joint AL’s proposed effective date of April 29, 2015, arguing that making the Handbook effective prior to the Commission ruling on its Application for Rehearing and Request for Stay of D.15-01-027 (filed on February 14, 2015) and its PFM of D.15-01-027 (filed on February 27, 2015) would prejudice the outcome of both. In both its Application for Rehearing and PFM, Shorebreak argues that the 180 day requirement should be removed from the Decision. Additionally,
in its PFM, Shorebreak requests that the Commission adopt a specific form for a deed restriction under Section 2852 and include these criteria in the Handbook.

The Handbook proposed by the MASH PAs in their original Joint AL (CSE AL 59, et.al.) included language requiring that documentation of CPUC Code 2853 be recorded 180 days prior to the initial MASH application submittal date. Because both the Application for Rehearing and PFM were pending before the Commission at the time the Joint AL was filed, Shorebreak is concerned that adopting the Handbook originally proposed by the MASH PAs would unfairly prejudge the Commission’s rulings and requests that the Joint AL have an effective date after the Commission has ruled on its Application for Rehearing and PFM.

**MASH PAs’ Response to Protest Issue 1**

In response to Protest Issue 1, the MASH PAs state that they are following existing direction in D.15-01-027 and will continue to move forward with the requirements in the Decision unless they are directed otherwise by the Commission.

**Energy Division Disposition re: Protest Issue 1**

ED finds Shorebreak’s protest on this issue now moot. Because the Commission adopted a decision on July 23, 2015, which grants in part and denies in part Shorebreak’s PFM, Shorebreak’s protest to the effective date of the Joint AL is now irrelevant. As directed by OP 2 of the PFM decision, the MASH PAs filed supplemental advice letter CSE 59-B et.al. on July 23, 2015, which removed the 180 day requirement from the Handbook. Additionally, the PFM decision denies Shorebreak’s request that the Commission adopt a specific form for a deed restriction under Section 2852. Through the PFM decision, the Commission has ruled on both issues that Shorebreak raised as pending. The MASH PAs accordingly supplemented the Joint AL to modify the proposed MASH Handbook consistent with the PFM decision and, therefore, Energy Division finds this protest issue to be moot.

**Protest Issue 2: Amend Application Process to Address Delays in Program Implementation**

In the Joint AL (CSE AL 59, et.al.) filed on March 30, 2015, the MASH PAs proposed to launch the new MASH program within 90 days after approval of the advice filing. Both CALSEIA and the joint protest of Everyday Energy and the MASH Coalition express concerns that the MASH PAs’ proposed timeline to launch the new MASH program will have negative effects on applicants’ ability to retain and attract private investment and threaten the ability of the program to meet its goals.

CALSEIA proposes that the MASH PAs provide conditional approval of reservations for applications currently on the wait list, before the new program launches, so that these projects can finalize financing and begin construction. CALSEIA proposes that, within 30 days after approval of the advice filing, the MASH PAs determine the number of projects currently on the wait list that the available budget in each territory will be able to cover and give notice to these applicants that funding is being reserved for their project if it is built according to program rules.

Everyday Energy and the MASH Coalition propose that the PAs add an earlier step to the application process to “make a conditional reservation commitment” to projects that have been on the current wait list for over a year, in order to enable these projects to move forward.

**MASH PAs’ Response to Protest Issue 2**

---

3 Everyday Energy and the MASH Coalition Protest at page 2.
In their April 27, 2015 reply to protests, the MASH PAs explain that the launch of the new MASH program is contingent on development and release of the updated PowerClerk database and that they are working with Clean Power Research to reduce the development timeline in order to open the program as soon as possible. The MASH PAs also propose to send a “Wait List Queueing Order Letter” to Applicants or Host Customers who request one. The letter would state the applications wait list status and placement in the queue but would also state that the incentive is not guaranteed, the project must meet all MASH requirements in order to be eligible, no application documents will be reviewed prior to distribution of the letter and that, once the program launches, any notification will override the Letter.

In the supplemental advice letter CSE 59-B et.al filed on July 27, 2015, the MASH PAs state that they no longer require 90 days after approval of the supplemental advice letter to launch the new program and instead propose to do so within 10 calendar days after approval of the supplemental advice letter.

Energy Division Disposition re: Protest Issue 2

In the supplemental advice letter CSE-59-B et. al, the MASH PAs amended the proposed launch date of the new program to within 10 days after approval of the supplemental advice letter. Energy Division concludes that, because the MASH PAs will be able to begin processing applications currently on the wait list within 10 days of approval of this advice filing, CALSEIA’s and Everyday Energy/MASH Coalition’s protests have been made moot. Applicants on the wait list will be able to submit documentation demonstrating that they meet the new program requirements and the MASH PAs will be able to issue reservation confirmations for these projects within a reasonable timeframe of approval of this advice filing. Therefore, creating a preliminary step in the application process or providing conditional approval for projects on the wait list is not necessary.

Protest Issue 3: Request to include the Project Applicant and any or all of the entities included in a broader definition of “Host Customer” as authorized to request a Reservation Transfer

Everyday Energy and the MASH Coalition request that the Handbook language in Section 4.3.3 be revised to include a broader definition of “Host Customer” and to allow both the Host Customer and Applicant to request a Reservation Transfer, with the agreement of the other party (if they are different entities). Everyday Energy and the MASH Coalition argue that several entities are typically involved in the ownership of affordable housing properties and that “any and all of these entities, individually or collectively, should be authorized to make a Request for Transfer”. Everyday Energy and the MASH Coalition also argue that, in the event that they are different entities, both the Host Customer and Applicant should be required to authorize a Request for Transfer.

MASH PAs’ Response to Protest Issue 3

In response to Protest Issue 3, the MASH PAs argue that D.15-01-027 directs them to use language, polices and procedures from the existing CSI General Market Handbook unless otherwise specified in the Decision. Section 4.3.3 contains the original language from the CSI Handbook.

Energy Division Findings re: Protest Issue 3

Energy Division agrees with the MASH PAs that D.15-01-027 directs them to use language from the existing CSI General Market Handbook, except for cases in which modifications are necessary to reflect program changes ordered by the Decision. D.15-01-027 states that, “The new MASH

---

4 Everyday Energy and the MASH Coalition Protest at page 4.
5 http://www.gosolarcalifornia.ca.gov/documents/CSI_HANDBOOK.PDF
Handbook should utilize existing MASH program language in the CSI Handbook, as appropriate, and be edited to include changes to the program established in this decision. The definition of "Host Customer" and the entities eligible to request a Reservation Transfer are not addressed in D.15-01-027 and, therefore, the proposed MASH Handbook is correct in using the original language from the CSI Handbook for Section 4.3.3.

---

6 D.15-01-027, Conclusion of Law 7.
July 27, 2015

**Advice No. 59-B**  
(Center for Sustainable Energy®)

**Advice No. 4609-E-B**  
(Pacific Gas and Electric Company – U 39 E)

**Advice No. 3199-E-B**  
(Southern California Edison Company – U 338-E)

PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA  
ENERGY DIVISION

**SUBJECT:** SUPPLEMENTAL FILING: Revised Proposed Standalone Multifamily Affordable Solar Housing (MASH) Program Handbook to Incorporate Changes to the MASH Program Necessary to Align with Decisions D.15-01-027 and D.15-07-010.

**PURPOSE**

In accordance with Commission Decision D.15-01-027, the Center for Sustainable Energy® (CSE), on behalf of the Multifamily Affordable Solar Housing (MASH) Program Administrators (PAs),¹ hereby submits this supplemental advice filing to propose a revised standalone MASH Program Handbook, including proposed amendments to existing MASH language within the California Solar Initiative (CSI) Program Handbook, to incorporate changes to the MASH Program necessary to align with D.15-01-027 and recent D.15-07-010. This supplemental filing therefore supplements CSE Advice Letter 59-A / PG&E Advice Letter 4609-E-A / SCE Advice Letter 3199-E-A (First Supplemental Advice Letter), filed on April 20, 2015, but does not replace it in its entirety.

---

¹ The MASH PAs are Pacific Gas and Electric Company (PG&E), Southern California Edison Company (SCE), and CSE in the service territory of San Diego Gas & Electric Company (SDG&E).
BACKGROUND

In October 2008, the Commission issued D.08-10-036, which established the $108 million MASH incentive program for qualifying affordable housing developments, as defined in state law. Subsequently, in 2013, the California Legislature passed Assembly Bill (AB) 217 (Stats. 2013, Ch. 609), authorizing $108 million in new funding for the MASH and Single Family Affordable Solar Homes (SASH) Programs. AB 217 additionally set a goal of 50 megawatts (MW) of installed capacity across both programs and extended both programs until 2021, or the exhaustion of the new funding, whichever occurs first. Most significantly, AB 217 also set the following new policy goals for the MASH and SASH Programs:

- Maximize the overall benefit to ratepayers;
- Require participants who receive monetary incentives to enroll in the Energy Savings Assistance (ESA) program, if eligible; and
- Provide job training and employment opportunities in the solar energy and energy efficiency sectors of the economy.

The Commission issued D.15-01-027 on January 30, 2015 to begin implementation of AB 217. D.15-01-027 established budgets, incentives, and evaluation requirements for the MASH and SASH Programs. Recognizing that the CSI General Market program will sunset at the end of 2016, rather than update the existing MASH Program sections of the CSI Program Handbook, the Commission instead required the MASH PAs to create a standalone handbook for the new MASH Program. D.15-01-027 specifically directs that “[t]he new MASH Handbook should utilize existing MASH program language in the CSI Handbook, as appropriate, and be edited to include changes to the program established in this decision.”2 As per Ordering Paragraph (OP) 1, the MASH PAs are required to file the updated standalone MASH Program Handbook with the Commission for approval via a Tier 2 implementation advice letter.

On March 30, 2015, CSE, on behalf of the MASH PAs, filed the Original Advice Letter to propose a standalone MASH Program Handbook, including proposed amendments to existing MASH language within the CSI Program Handbook, to incorporate changes to the MASH Program necessary to align with D.15-01-027. On March 27, 2015, the MASH PAs received notice from Energy Division staff that Section 3.6 Limitations on Installed Cost and the Cost Cap methodology should be updated to include MASH projects. The current Cost Cap is calculated based on completed CSI residential and nonresidential projects statewide. MASH projects are not included in the current cost cap. Therefore, on April 20, 2015, in accordance with the aforementioned further direction from Energy Division staff to modify the current cost cap methodology and create a cost cap specific to the MASH program, the MASH PAs filed CSE Advice Letter 59-A / PG&E Advice Letter 4609-E-A / SCE Advice Letter 3199-E-A (First Supplemental Advice Letter).

---

2 D.15-01-027 at 8.
Subsequently, on July 23, 2015, the Commission approved D.15-07-010, which modified D.15-01-027 to remove the finding that documentation used to demonstrate compliance with Public Utilities Code Section 2852 “must have been recorded at least 180 days prior to the date of the MASH application, or if recorded within 180 days of MASH application, replace a similarly complying pre-existing restriction or covenant.” In addition to this change in program requirement, the MASH PAs have also determined other additions and modifications necessary to comply and/or better align with D.15-01-027.

Therefore, in accordance with OP 1 of D.15-01-027 and OP 1 of D.15-07-010, the MASH PAs hereby file this supplemental advice filing.

**REVISED PROPOSED STANDALONE MASH PROGRAM HANDBOOK**

Included in Attachment A to this advice filing is the revised proposed standalone MASH Program Handbook. This revised standalone MASH Program Handbook includes proposed amendments to existing MASH language within the CSI Program Handbook to incorporate changes to the MASH Program necessary to comply and/or better align with D.15-01-027 and recent D.15-07-010. Because this supplemental advice filing supplements the First Supplemental Advice Letter but does not replace it in its entirety, only the newly proposed revisions to the previously proposed standalone MASH Program Handbook are summarized below.

**Newly Proposed Revisions to the Previously Proposed Standalone MASH Program Handbook to Further Incorporate Changes to the MASH Program Necessary to Align with D.15-01-027 and D.15-07-010**

**Removal of Requirement that Documentation Used to Demonstrate Compliance with Public Utilities Code Section 2852 be Recorded at Least 180 Days Prior to the Date of a MASH Application**

In compliance with OP 1 of recent D.15-07-010, the MASH PAs remove the requirement that documentation used to demonstrate compliance with Public Utilities Code Section 2852 “must have been recorded at least 180 days prior to the date of the MASH application, or if recorded within 180 days of MASH application, replace a similarly complying pre-existing restriction or covenant.”

Affected Section(s): 2.1.5; 4.2.1.5; Appendix D

**Proposed Process and Schedule for Delivery of Tenant Addresses to Energy Savings Assistance (ESA) Program Staff**

In compliance with D.15-01-027, the MASH PAs propose the following process and schedule of delivery of tenant addresses from utility billing departments to ESA Program staff for follow up regarding ESA program enrollment:
At a minimum, the PA’s will deliver the ESA address list to the respective ESA program staff once a month.

Affected Section(s): 2.4

Proposed Process for Accepting and Queuing New Project Applications Submitted within a Specific Period of MASH Program Reopening
In compliance with OP 21 of D.15-01-027 and in order to ensure that the acceptance and review of new project applications is handled in a consistent and expeditious manner, the MASH PAs propose the following process for accepting and queuing new project applications submitted within a specific period of the MASH program reopening:
All applications are submitted to the MASH online application portal PowerClerk. As applications are submitted to PowerClerk, each application will automatically receive a date and timestamp along with an application number (XXX-MASH-XXXXX). Projects submitted after the re-opening of the program will be queued in order based on the date and timestamp.

Affected Section(s): 4.8.2

Correction of Typos
The MASH PAs have corrected various typographical errors found in the previously Proposed Standalone MASH Program Handbook.

Affected Section(s): Various throughout

PROPOSED MASH PROGRAM IMPLEMENTATION

D.15-01-027 additionally required several MASH Program implementation matters to be addressed in the Tier 2 implementation advice filing. In this Supplemental Advice Letter, the MASH PAs wish to modify two of the previously proposed implementation matters, as summarized below:

Adjust the New MASH Program Launch Date
The MASH PAs previously proposed to launch the new MASH Program within 90 calendar days after approval of the First Supplemental Advice Letter and proposed standalone MASH Program Handbook. The MASH PAs no longer need 90 days after approval of this Supplemental Advice Letter and instead propose to launch the new MASH Program within 10 calendar days after approval of this Supplemental Advice Letter.

Removal of Proposed Date for Energy Efficiency Program Workshop
As per OP 16 of D.15-01-027, PG&E was directed to lead a workshop on available energy efficiency programs for MASH Program contractors and property owners and a date for
this workshop was to be proposed in the Tier 2 implementation advice filing. This workshop occurred on April 30, 2015; thus the MASH PAs remove this item from the First Supplemental Advice Letter.

**TIER DESIGNATION**

Pursuant to General Order (GO) 96-B, Energy Industry Rule 5.2, this Supplemental Advice Letter is submitted with a Tier 2 designation.

**PROTESTS**

The MASH PAs respectfully request that the Commission, pursuant to GO 96-B, General Rule 7.5.1, maintain the original protest and comment period designated in CSE Advice Letter 59 / PG&E Advice Letter 4609-E / SCE Advice Letter 3119-E and not reopen the protest period.

**EFFECTIVE DATE**

CSE requests that this Supplemental Advice Letter become effective on July 31, 2015, which is four (4) calendar days after the date of filing.

**NOTICE**

CSE is providing a copy of this Supplemental Advice Letter to service list R.12-11-005.

Sachu Constantine  
Director of Policy  
Center for Sustainable Energy®

Attachments:  
Attachment A – REVISED Proposed Standalone Multifamily Affordable Solar Housing (MASH) Program Handbook

cc: Service List R.12-11-005
Company name/CPUC Utility No. Center for Sustainable Energy® (CSE)
Utility type: N/A Contact Person: Sephra Ninow
☐ ELC ☐ GAS Phone #: (858) 244-1186
☐ PLC ☐ HEAT ☐ WATER E-mail: sephra.ninow@energycenter.org

EXPLANATION OF UTILITY TYPE
ELC = Electric GAS = Gas
PLC = Pipeline HEAT = Heat WATER = Water

Advice Letter (AL) #: 59-B
Subject of AL: Supplemental Filing: Revised Proposed Standalone Multifamily Affordable Solar Housing (MASH) Program Handbook to Incorporate Changes to the MASH Program Necessary to Align with Decisions D.15-01-027 and D.15-07-010.

Keywords (choose from CPUC listing): Compliance; Rebates
AL filing type: ☐ Monthly ☐ Quarterly ☐ Annual ☒ One-Time ☐ Other

If AL filed in compliance with a Commission order, indicate relevant Decision/Resolution #: D.15-01-027 and D.15-07-010

Does AL replace a withdrawn or rejected AL? If so, identify the prior AL No

Summarize differences between the AL and the prior withdrawn or rejected AL: N/A

Resolution Required? ☐ Yes ☒ No

Requested effective date: July 31, 2015 No. of tariff sheets: 0

Estimated system annual revenue effect (%): 0

Estimated system average rate effect (%): 0

When rates are affected by AL, include attachment in AL showing average rate effects on customer classes (residential, small commercial, large C/I, agricultural, lighting).

Tariff schedules affected: N/A

Service affected and changes proposed: Proposed Draft Standalone Multifamily Affordable Solar Housing (MASH) Program Handbook to Incorporate Changes to the MASH Program Necessary to Align with D.15-01-027 and D.15-07-010

Pending advice letters that revise the same tariff sheets: N/A

Protests and all other correspondence regarding this AL are due no later than 20 days after the date of this filing, unless otherwise authorized by the Commission, and shall be sent to:

CPUC, Energy Division
Attention: Tariff Unit
505 Van Ness Ave., 4th Flr.
San Francisco, CA 94102
EDTariffUnit@cpuc.ca.gov

Utility Info (including e-mail)
Sachu Constantine
Center for Sustainable Energy
9325 Sky Park Court, Suite 100
San Diego, CA 92123
sachu.constantine@energycenter.org

1 Discuss in AL if more space is needed.
On behalf of the Multifamily Affordable Solar Housing (MASH) Program Administrators (PAs), please find CSE Advice Letter 59-B / PG&E Advice Letter 4609-E-B / SCE Advice Letter 3199-E-B, a supplemental advice filing to propose a revised standalone MASH Program Handbook, including proposed amendments to existing MASH language within the California Solar Initiative (CSI) Program Handbook, to incorporate changes to the MASH Program necessary to align with D.15-01-027 and recent D.15-07-010.

Due to the size of Attachment A, the REVISED Proposed Standalone Multifamily Affordable Solar Housing (MASH) Program Handbook, rather than providing a hard copy of the document, we instead provide notice that Attachment A may be viewed at:

https://energycenter.org/sites/default/files/docs/ext/dg-re/csi-electric/MASH%20Handbook%202015.07.23%20Redlined%20Final.pdf

If you would like to request a hard copy of the REVISED Proposed Standalone Multifamily Affordable Solar Housing (MASH) Program Handbook, please contact Sephra Ninow via e-mail at sephra.ninow@energycenter.org or via telephone at 858-244-1186.

Thank you!
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