

PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

505 VAN NESS AVENUE
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102-3298



September 11, 2014

Advice Letter 4421-E

Brian K. Cherry
Vice President, Regulation and Rates
Pacific Gas and Electric Company
77 Beale Street, Mail Code B10C
P.O. Box 770000
San Francisco, CA 94177

**Subject: Notice of Construction of the Maricopa West Solar Project Power Line
Interconnection – Request exemption from a Permit to Construct (PTC) under
General Order (GO) 131-D**

Dear Mr. Cherry:

PG&E requested approval to construct facilities pursuant to an exemption from a Permit to Construct (PTC) as required in GO 131-D to connect the existing Maricopa-Copus 70 Kilovolt (kV) Power Line to the solar project's substation. The substation is located in a remote agricultural area approximately 0.7 mile northeast of the intersection of Gardner Field Road and Cadet Road in Kern County.

PG&E will replace two existing 50-foot-tall wood poles with two new 70-foot-tall tubular-steel poles in an existing easement and add one new 85-foot-tall tubular steel pole on the existing pole line (existing easement, intersetting additional structures), which will support switches. PG&E will shorten one existing 50-foot-tall wood pole to support existing distribution wires on existing easement. PG&E will also construct a temporary 900-foot-long power line on six 65-foot-tall temporary wood poles along the south side of the existing power line at this location to maintain electric service to the customers during construction. The temporary power line and poles will be removed after the wires are transferred to the new tubular-steel poles. Construction is tentatively scheduled to begin in September 2014, and is scheduled to be complete in December 2014.

The Commission's General Order (GO) 131-D governs the planning and construction of electric generation, transmission/power/distribution line facilities and substations.

General Order (GO) 131-D, Section III, Subsection B.1, exempts projects meeting specific conditions from CPUC's requirement to file an application requesting authority to construct.

PG&E believes this project qualifies for the following exemption(s):

c. the minor relocation of existing power line facilities up to 2,000 feet in length, or the intersetting of additional support structures between existing support structures.

g. power line facilities or substations to be located in an existing franchise, road-widening setback easement, or public utility easement; or in a utility corridor designated, precisely mapped and officially adopted pursuant to law by federal, state, or local agencies for which a final Negative Declaration or EIR finds no significant unavoidable environmental impacts.

Brian K. Cherry
September 11, 2014
Page 2

This project will not increase rate or charge, cause the withdrawal of service, or conflict with any other rate schedule or rule.

PG&E's Advice Letter AL 4421-E was timely protested by Randell Parker, Kern County Advocates for Agriculture on June 2, 2014.

PG&E responded to the protest of Randell Parker, on June 13, 2014.

The protestant claims that: 1) the public has not reviewed the cumulative impacts of the past solar projects; 2) the interconnection project doesn't have its own EIR; 3) Kern County has not updated its Land Use and Circulation Elements which constitutes "unusual circumstances" and prevents PG&E from claiming these exemptions; 4) selling this solar project in a future public offering without proper environmental disclosures would damage public investors; and, 5) the solar project would diminish agricultural employment, degrade air quality, and cause urban decay.

Commission staff has reviewed the Advice Letter, protests, and PG&E's responses to the protests. PG&E applied for an exemption from the PTC for an interconnection project, rather than for an approval of the solar project. The issues raised in the protest are mainly related to the impacts of the solar project, not the minor interconnection work requested by PG&E. Moreover, the validity of the Kern County EIR is not relevant to applicability of GO 131-D, Sec.III.B.1.c or Sec.III.B.1.g (when the facilities in question are located in an existing franchise or easement).

This project falls within and qualifies for the GO 131-D exemptions cited by PG&E in their Advice Letter 4421-E. None of the concerns raised by the protestant fits within the specific exceptions to the exemptions, nor do the protestant's claims support a finding of misapplication of an exemption by PG&E. Therefore, the protest is denied for failure to state a valid reason.

According to General Order 96-B, Section 7.6.1, Notwithstanding a timely protest, the reviewing Industry Division may approve an advice letter that is subject to disposition under this rule and is otherwise proper, if the protest either (1) is not made on proper grounds as set forth in General Rule 7.4.2, (2) may be rejected on a technical basis as discussed in this rule, or (3) is clearly erroneous.

Hence, PG&E's request to construct facilities pursuant to an exemption from a Permit to Construct is approved, effective August 29, 2014.

Sincerely,



Edward Randolph
Director, Energy Division

cc:ed: Randell Parker and Richard Harriman, Kern County Advocates for Agriculture



Brian K. Cherry
Vice President
Regulatory Relations

Pacific Gas and Electric Company
77 Beale St., Mail Code B10C
P.O. Box 770000
San Francisco, CA 94177

Fax: 415-973-7226

May 20, 2014

Advice 4421-E
(Pacific Gas and Electric Company ID U39 E)

Public Utilities Commission of the State of California

Subject: Submits Notice of Construction, Pursuant to General Order 131-D, for the Construction of Maricopa West Solar Project Power Line Interconnection in the County of Kern

Pacific Gas and Electric Company ("PG&E" or "the Company") hereby submits notice pursuant to General Order (G.O.) 131-D, Section XI, Subsection B.4, of the construction of facilities that are exempt from a Permit to Construct.

Purpose

This advice letter provides a copy of the Notice of Proposed Construction (Attachment I) and the Notice Distribution List, which comply with the noticing requirements found in G.O. 131-D, Section XI.

Background

The developer of Maricopa West Solar Project in Kern County has requested that PG&E connect the existing Maricopa-Copus 70 Kilovolt (kV) Power Line to the solar project's substation. The substation is located in a remote agricultural area approximately 0.7 mile northeast of the intersection of Gardner Field Road and Cadet Road. To connect the power line into the customer-owned substation, PG&E will replace two existing approximately 50-foot-tall wood poles with two new approximately 70-foot-tall tubular-steel poles (existing easement). The taller poles are needed to meet California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC or Commission) General Order 95 minimum ground-to-conductor clearance requirements. PG&E will also add one new approximately 85-foot-tall tubular-steel pole on the existing line (existing easement, intersetting additional structures), which will support switches. PG&E will shorten one existing approximately 50-foot-tall wood pole to support existing distribution wires (existing easement). To maintain electric service to the customers during construction, PG&E will also construct a temporary approximately 900-foot-long power line on 6 approximately 65-foot-tall temporary wood poles along the south side of the existing power line at this location. The temporary power line and

poles will be removed after the wires are transferred to the new tubular-steel poles. Construction is tentatively scheduled to begin in September 2014, or as soon as project plans and approvals are in place. Construction is scheduled to be complete in December 2014, or as soon as possible after construction begins.

CPUC General Order 131-D, Section III, Subsection B.1, exempts projects meeting specific conditions from the CPUC's requirement to file an application requesting authority to construct. The Company believes this project qualifies for the following exemptions:

- c. "the minor relocation of existing power line facilities up to 2,000 feet in length, or the intersetting of additional support structures between existing support structures."
- g. "power line facilities or substations to be located in an existing franchise, road-widening setback easement, or public utility easement; or in a utility corridor designated, precisely mapped and officially adopted pursuant to law by federal, state, or local agencies for which a final Negative Declaration or EIR [Environmental Impact Report] finds no significant unavoidable environmental impacts."

This filing will not increase any rate or charge, cause the withdrawal of service, or conflict with any other rate schedule or rule.

Protests

Anyone wishing to protest this filing may do so by filing a protest with the CPUC and the Company by June 9, 2014, which is 20 days after the date of this filing. Protests should be mailed to the following address:

CPUC Energy Division
ED Tariff Unit
505 Van Ness Avenue, 4th Floor
San Francisco, California 94102

Facsimile: (415) 703-2200
E-Mail: EDTariffUnit@cpuc.ca.gov

Copies of protests also should be mailed to the attention of the Director, Energy Division, Room 4004, at the address show above.

The protest also should be sent via U.S. mail (and by facsimile and electronically, if possible) to PG&E at the address shown below on the same date it is mailed or delivered to the Commission:

David T. Kraska
Attorney, Law Department
Pacific Gas and Electric Company
P.O. Box 7442
San Francisco, California 94120

Facsimile: (415) 973-0516

Brian K. Cherry
Vice President, Regulatory Relations
Pacific Gas and Electric Company
P.O. Box 770000, Mail Code B10C
San Francisco, California 94177

Facsimile: (415) 973-7226
E-Mail: PGETariffs@pge.com

Persons or groups may protest the proposed construction if they believe that the Company has incorrectly applied for an exemption or that the conditions set out in Section III.B.2 of G.O. 131-D exist.

Public Comment

Individuals or groups who do not file an official protest may still submit a comment on the request to the Energy Division at the address noted above, reference Advice Letter 4421-E.

Effective Date

The Company requests that this advice filing become effective on June 19, 2014, which is 30 days after the date of filing. (In accordance with G.O. 131-D, construction will not begin until 45 days after notice is first published.)

Notice

A copy of this advice letter is being sent electronically and via U.S. Mail to parties shown on the attached list, including the parties listed in G.O. 131-D, Section XI, Paragraphs B.1 and B.2. These parties are identified in the "Notice Distribution List" included in Attachment I. All electronic approvals should be sent to e-mail PGETariffs@pge.com. Advice letter filings can also be accessed electronically at <http://www.pge.com/tariffs/>.

Brian Cherry /KHC

Vice President – Regulatory Relations

cc: Parties Listed in G.O. 131-D, Paragraphs B.1 and B.2

Attachments

CALIFORNIA PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

ADVICE LETTER FILING SUMMARY ENERGY UTILITY

MUST BE COMPLETED BY UTILITY (Attach additional pages as needed)

Company name/CPUC Utility No. **Pacific Gas and Electric Company (ID U39 E)**

Utility type:

ELC GAS
 PLC HEAT WATER

Contact Person: Kingsley Cheng

Phone #: (415) 973-5265

E-mail: k2c0@pge.com and PGETariffs@pge.com

EXPLANATION OF UTILITY TYPE

ELC = Electric GAS = Gas
PLC = Pipeline HEAT = Heat WATER = Water

(Date Filed/ Received Stamp by CPUC)

Advice Letter (AL) #: **4421-E**

Tier: N/A

Subject of AL: **Submits Notice of Construction, Pursuant to General Order 131-D, for the Construction of Maricopa West Solar Project Power Line Interconnection in the County of Kern**

Keywords (choose from CPUC listing): Power Lines

AL filing type: Monthly Quarterly Annual One-Time Other _____

If AL filed in compliance with a Commission order, indicate relevant Decision/Resolution #: N/A

Does AL replace a withdrawn or rejected AL? If so, identify the prior AL: No

Summarize differences between the AL and the prior withdrawn or rejected AL: _____

Is AL requesting confidential treatment? If so, what information is the utility seeking confidential treatment for: No

Confidential information will be made available to those who have executed a nondisclosure agreement: N/A

Name(s) and contact information of the person(s) who will provide the nondisclosure agreement and access to the confidential information: _____

Resolution Required? Yes No

Requested effective date: **June 19, 2014**

No. of tariff sheets: N/A

Estimated system annual revenue effect (%): N/A

Estimated system average rate effect (%): N/A

When rates are affected by AL, include attachment in AL showing average rate effects on customer classes (residential, small commercial, large C/I, agricultural, lighting).

Tariff schedules affected: N/A

Service affected and changes proposed: N/A

Pending advice letters that revise the same tariff sheets: N/A

Protests, dispositions, and all other correspondence regarding this AL are due no later than 20 days after the date of this filing, unless otherwise authorized by the Commission, and shall be sent to:

California Public Utilities Commission
Energy Division
EDTariffUnit
505 Van Ness Ave., 4th Flr.
San Francisco, CA 94102
E-mail: EDTariffUnit@cpuc.ca.gov

Pacific Gas and Electric Company
Attn: Brian K. Cherry
Vice President, Regulatory Relations
77 Beale Street, Mail Code B10C
P.O. Box 770000
San Francisco, CA 94177
E-mail: PGETariffs@pge.com

NOTICE OF PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION

Para más detalles llame 1-800-660-6789

PROJECT NAME: MARICOPA WEST SOLAR PROJECT POWER LINE INTERCONNECTION - (Kern County, P. No. 07188)
ADVICE LETTER NUMBER: 4421-E

Proposed Project: The developer of Maricopa West Solar Project in Kern County has requested that Pacific Gas and Electric Company (PG&E) connect the existing Maricopa-Copus 70 Kilovolt (kV) Power Line to the solar project's substation. The substation is located in a remote agricultural area approximately 0.7 mile northeast of the intersection of Gardner Field Road and Cadet Road. To connect the power line into the customer-owned substation, PG&E will replace two existing approximately 50-foot-tall wood poles with two new approximately 70-foot-tall tubular-steel poles (existing easement). The taller poles are needed to meet California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) General Order 95 minimum ground-to-conductor clearance requirements. PG&E will also add one new approximately 85-foot-tall tubular-steel pole on the existing line (existing easement, intersetting additional structures), which will support switches. PG&E will shorten one existing approximately 50-foot-tall wood pole to support existing distribution wires (existing easement). To maintain electric service to the customers during construction, PG&E will also construct a temporary approximately 900-foot-long power line on 6 approximately 65-foot-tall temporary wood poles along the south side of the existing power line at this location. The temporary power line and poles will be removed after the wires are transferred to the new tubular-steel poles. Construction is tentatively scheduled to begin in September 2014, or as soon as project plans and approvals are in place. Construction is scheduled to be complete in December 2014, or as soon as possible after construction begins.

Exemption from CPUC Permit Requirement: CPUC General Order 131-D, Section III, B.1, exempts a utility from the CPUC's requirement to file an application requesting authority to construct if a project meets specific conditions. This project qualifies for the following exemptions:

- c. "the minor relocation of existing power line facilities up to 2,000 feet in length, or the intersetting of additional support structures between existing support structures."
- g. "power line facilities or substations to be located in an existing franchise, road-widening setback easement, or public utility easement; or in a utility corridor designated, precisely mapped and officially adopted pursuant to law by federal, state, or local agencies for which a final Negative Declaration or EIR [Environmental Impact Report] finds no significant unavoidable environmental impacts."

Additional Project Information: To obtain further information on the proposed project, please call PG&E's Project Information Line at (415) 973-5530.

Public Review Process: Individuals or groups may protest the proposed construction if they believe that PG&E has incorrectly applied for an exemption or that the conditions set out in Section III.B.2 of General Order 131-D exist;

- a. There is reasonable possibility that the activity may have an impact on an environmental resource of hazardous or critical concern where designated, precisely mapped and officially adopted pursuant to law by federal, state, or local agencies; or
- b. The cumulative impact of successive projects of the same type in the same place, over time, is significant; or
- c. There is a reasonable possibility that the activity will have a significant effect on the environment due to unusual circumstances.

Protests should include the following:

1. Your name, mailing address and daytime telephone number.
2. Reference to the CPUC Advice Letter Number and Project Name.
3. A clear description of the reason for the protest.
4. Whether you believe that evidentiary hearings are necessary to resolve factual disputes.

Protests for this project must be filed by June 9, 2014 at the following address:

Director, Energy Division
 California Public Utilities Commission
 505 Van Ness Avenue, Fourth Floor
 San Francisco, California 94102

With a copy mailed to:

David Kraska, Law Department
 Pacific Gas and Electric Company
 P.O. Box 7442
 San Francisco, California 94120

PG&E must respond within five business days of receipt and serve its response on each protestant and the Energy Division. Within 30 days after PG&E has submitted its response, the CPUC Executive Director will send you a copy of an Executive Resolution granting or denying PG&E's request and stating the reasons for the decision.

Assistance in Filing a Protest: If you need assistance in filing a protest, contact the CPUC Public Advisor:
email: public.advisor@cpuc.ca.gov or call: 1-866-849-8390 (toll-free), or (415) 703-2074, or TTY (415) 703-5258

Public Comment: Even if you do not want to file an official protest, you may still comment on the request. To do so, send your comments to the Energy Division at the address noted above. Please reference Advice Letter 4421-E.

Notice Distribution List

MARICOPA WEST SOLAR PROJECT POWER LINE INTERCONNECTION –
(Kern County)

Advice 4421-E

Energy Commission

Mr. Robert Oglesby, Executive Director
California Energy Commission
1516 Ninth Street, Mail Stop 39
Sacramento, California 95814

Mr. Drew Bohan, Deputy Director
California Energy Commission
1516 Ninth Street, Mail Stop 39
Sacramento, California 95814

California Department of Fish and Wildlife

Ms. Sarah Bahm
California Department of Fish and Wildlife, Central Region
1234 E. Shaw Avenue
Fresno, CA 93710

County of Kern

Ms. Lorelei H. Oviatt, Planning Director
County of Kern
2700 M Street, Suite 100
Bakersfield, CA 93301

Newspaper

The Bakersfield Californian

PG&E Gas and Electric Advice Filing List

1st Light Energy	Division of Ratepayer Advocates	North America Power Partners
AT&T	Douglass & Liddell	Occidental Energy Marketing, Inc.
Alcantar & Kahl LLP	Downey & Brand	OnGrid Solar
Anderson & Poole	Ellison Schneider & Harris LLP	Pacific Gas and Electric Company
BART	G. A. Krause & Assoc.	Praxair
Barkovich & Yap, Inc.	GenOn Energy Inc.	Regulatory & Cogeneration Service, Inc.
Bartle Wells Associates	GenOn Energy, Inc.	SCD Energy Solutions
Braun Blaising McLaughlin, P.C.	Goodin, MacBride, Squeri, Schlotz & Ritchie	SCE
CENERGY POWER	Green Power Institute	SDG&E and SoCalGas
California Cotton Ginners & Growers Assn	Hanna & Morton	SPURR
California Energy Commission	In House Energy	San Francisco Public Utilities Commission
California Public Utilities Commission	International Power Technology	Seattle City Light
California State Association of Counties	Intestate Gas Services, Inc.	Sempra Utilities
Calpine	K&L Gates LLP	SoCalGas
Casner, Steve	Kelly Group	Southern California Edison Company
Center for Biological Diversity	Linde	Spark Energy
City of Palo Alto	Los Angeles County Integrated Waste Management Task Force	Sun Light & Power
City of San Jose	Los Angeles Dept of Water & Power	Sunshine Design
Clean Power	MRW & Associates	Tecogen, Inc.
Coast Economic Consulting	Manatt Phelps Phillips	Tiger Natural Gas, Inc.
Commercial Energy	Marin Energy Authority	TransCanada
Cool Earth Solar, Inc.	McKenna Long & Aldridge LLP	Utility Cost Management
County of Tehama - Department of Public Works	McKenzie & Associates	Utility Power Solutions
Crossborder Energy	Modesto Irrigation District	Utility Specialists
Davis Wright Tremaine LLP	Morgan Stanley	Verizon
Day Carter Murphy	NLine Energy, Inc.	Water and Energy Consulting
Defense Energy Support Center	NRG Solar	Wellhead Electric Company
Dept of General Services	Nexant, Inc.	Western Manufactured Housing Communities Association (WMA)