December 26, 2013

Advice Letters 3432-G/4319-E

Brian K. Cherry
Vice President, Regulation and Rates
Pacific Gas and Electric Company
77 Beale Street, Mail Code B10C
P.O. Box 770000
San Francisco, CA  94177

Subject: Joint AL Filing by SDG&E, SoCalGas, SCE, and PG&E - Request for Approval New, Emerging Lighting Equipment to be Included in On-Bill Financing Programs in Compliance with D.13-09-044

Dear Mr. Cherry:

Advice Letters 3432-G/4319-E are effective November 19, 2013.

Sincerely,

Edward F. Randolph, Director
Energy Division
November 19, 2013

ADVICE LETTER 2538-E/2240-G
(U902-M)

ADVICE LETTER 4559-G
(Southern California Gas Company – U904-G)

ADVICE LETTER 2970-E
(Southern California Edison Company – U338-E)

ADVICE LETTER 3432-G/4319-E
(Pacific Gas and Electric Company – U39-M)

PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

SUBJECT: SAN DIEGO GAS & ELECTRIC COMPANY, SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA GAS
COMPANY, SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY, AND PACIFIC
GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY REQUEST FOR APPROVAL OF NEW,
EMERGING LIGHTING EQUIPMENT TO BE INCLUDED IN ON-BILL
FINANCING PROGRAMS IN COMPLIANCE WITH DECISION 13-09-044

PURPOSE

San Diego Gas & Electric Company (SDG&E), on behalf of itself, Southern California Gas
Company (SCG), Southern California Edison Company (SCE), and Pacific Gas and Electric
Company (PG&E) (together the Investor-Owned Utilities (IOUs)), hereby submits for approval
by the California Public Utilities Commission (Commission) a method for identifying new,
emerging lighting equipment that may be financed through the IOU’s On-Bill Financing (OBF)
Program and excluded from the 20% single end-use lighting cap adopted in Decision (D.) 13-
09-044 Ordering Paragraph (OP) 9.

BACKGROUND

D.13-09-044 Ordering Paragraph 9 states:

Southern California Edison Company, Pacific Gas and Electric Company, Southern
California Gas Company, and San Diego Gas & Electric Company (collectively “IOUs”)
shall modify their On-Bill Financing (OBF) programs so that single end use lighting
measures shall comprise no more than 20% of total project costs for business customers, excluding institutional customers. No later than sixty (60) days after this decision is issued, the IOUs shall submit a joint Tier 2 Advice Letter which identifies new, emerging lighting equipment which may be excluded from the 20% calculation due to their technologically-higher efficiencies and frequently higher initial costs, and amend the statewide OBF program implementation plan.

SCG joins in this filing in order to ensure compliance with D.13-09-044 OP 9. However, SCG, as a gas-only utility, does not have a lighting program; thus the directives in OP 9 are not applicable to SCG. The proposed modifications will not apply to SCG’s OBF program implementation plan (PIP).

**Modification for Single End Use Lighting Measures**

PG&E, SCE and SDG&E have communicated with their participating contractors, customers, and partnership program participants informing them of the change to the OBF program reflecting that single end use lighting measures shall comprise no more than 20% of total project costs for business customers, excluding institutional customers. Basic lighting measures will not be eligible for exemption from the 20% lighting cap. Basic lighting includes all non-LED lighting retrofits (e.g., CFLs and linear fluorescents), and basic lighting controls measures. The IOUs request that the program change be made effective November 19, 2013, consistent with D.13-09-044 OP 9. The updated OBF program PIPs will be available on the Commission’s California Energy Efficiency Statistics website. In addition, the IOUs have updated their respective websites to convey this program change.

**Emerging Technology Lighting Exemptions**


The Statewide Programs identify “targeted” measures as measures that are new, emerging or costly, and for which higher incentives are therefore offered. In contrast, “basic” measures are generally those that are less costly and more well-established, and therefore are paired with lower incentives. As such, the IOUs believe that the OBF Program should leverage the Statewide Programs’ framework for identification of “targeted” lighting measures in order to maintain consistency across program offerings, maximize program efficiencies in identifying measures for which more emphasis should be placed, and allow the OBF Program to continually adapt to market conditions.

---

1 California Energy Efficiency Statistics is available at [http://eestats.cpuc.ca.gov/](http://eestats.cpuc.ca.gov/)
Targeted lighting: includes LED retrofits and advanced lighting controls measures. For the OBF Program, only LED retrofit measures (such as LED integral lamps, LED retrofit kits, and LED fixtures), and lighting controls that exceed the requirements of Title 24 as of January 2014 will be exempt from the 20% cap at this time. This framework will be applied to both deemed and customized lighting measures. In addition, the OBF Program will adhere to all current Commission directives related to lighting.

Should the definition of targeted lighting be expanded in the future, beyond the above definition of Targeted Lighting, to include other new/emerging lighting measures in the Statewide Programs, these measures will also be eligible for exemption from the 20% lighting cap. The IOUs will provide appropriate new/emerging lighting work papers for review and approval by Energy Division through the Commission’s approved work paper process and in this process notify Energy Division work paper, custom program and non-residential deemed program analysts that the candidate technologies are also proposed for OBF program cost cap exemption. Upon approval of the exemption of the targeted lighting measures, the IOUs will then update the OBF Program Implementation Plan (PIP) through the existing PIP addendum process and implement the program update.

EFFECTIVE DATE

The IOUs request that this Tier 2 advice letter be approved by December 19, 2013, which is 30 days from the filing date, with an effective date of November 19, 2013. Approving this Advice Letter with an effective date of November 19, 2013, the date of filing, is consistent with D.13-09-044 OP 9 and will allow the IOUs to expedite processing of pending OBF projects with the proposed new emerging lighting measures that are submitted while this Advice Letter is reviewed and approved.

PROTEST

Anyone may protest this Advice Letter to the California Public Utilities Commission. The protest must state the grounds upon which it is based, including such items as financial and service impact, and should be submitted expeditiously. The protest must be made in writing and must be received no later than December 9, 2013, which is 20 days of the date this Advice Letter was filed with the Commission. There is no restriction on who may file a protest. The address for mailing or delivering a protest to the Commission is:

CPUC Energy Division
Attention: Tariff Unit
505 Van Ness Avenue
San Francisco, CA 94102

Copies of the protest should also be sent via e-mail to the attention of the Energy Division at EDTariffUnit@cpuc.ca.gov. A copy of the protest should also be sent via both e-mail and facsimile to the addresses shown below on the same date it is mailed or delivered to the Commission.
For SDG&E:
Attn: Megan Caulson
Regulatory Tariff Manager
8330 Century Park Court, Room 32C
San Diego, CA 92123-1548
Facsimile No. (858) 654-1879
E-mail: MCAulson@semprautilities.com

For SoCalGas:
Sid Newsom
Tariff Manager – GT14D6
Southern California Gas Company
555 West 5th Street
Los Angeles, CA 90013-1011
Facsimile: (213) 244-4957
E-mail: sneusom@semprautilities.com

For SCE:
Megan Scott-Kakures
Vice President, Regulatory Operations
Southern California Edison Company
8631 Rush Street
Rosemead, California 91770
Facsimile: (626) 302-4829
E-mail: AdviceTariffManager@sce.com

Leslie E. Starck
Senior Vice President, Regulatory Policy & Affairs
c/o Karyn Gansecki
Southern California Edison Company
601 Van Ness Avenue, Suite 2030
San Francisco, California 94102
Facsimile: (415) 929-5544
E-mail: Karyn.Gansecki@sce.com

For PG&E:
Brian K. Cherry
Vice President, Regulatory Relations
Pacific Gas and Electric Company
77 Beale Street, Mail Code B10C
P.O. Box 770000
San Francisco, California 94177
Facsimile: (415) 973-7226
E-mail: PGETariffs@pge.com
NOTICE

A copy of this filing has been served on the utilities and interested parties shown on the attached list, including interested parties in A.12-07-001, A.12-07-002, A.12-07-003 & A.12-07-004, by providing them a copy hereof either electronically or via the U.S. mail, properly stamped and addressed.

Address changes should be directed to SDG&E Tariffs by facsimile at (858) 654-1879 or by email to SDG&ETariffs@semprautilities.com.

_______________________________
CLAY FABER
Director – Regulatory Affairs

Attachments
**Company name/CPUC Utility No.** SAN DIEGO GAS & ELECTRIC (U 902)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Utility type:</th>
<th>Contact Person: Christina Sondrini</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>☑ ELC ☑ GAS</td>
<td>Phone #: (858) 636-5736</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ PLC ☐ HEAT ☐ WATER</td>
<td>E-mail: <a href="mailto:csondrini@semprautilities.com">csondrini@semprautilities.com</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**EXPLANATION OF UTILITY TYPE**

| ELC = Electric | GAS = Gas |
| PLC = Pipeline | HEAT = Heat |
| WATER = Water |


Subject of AL: **Joint IOU Filing: Request for Approval of New, Emerging Lighting Equipment to be Included in 2013-2014 On-Bill Financing Programs in Compliance with Decision 13-09-044**

Keywords (choose from CPUC listing): Compliance, Energy Efficiency

AL filing type: ☑ Monthly ☐ Quarterly ☐ Annual ☑ One-Time ☐ Other

If AL filed in compliance with a Commission order, indicate relevant Decision/Resolution #: D.13-09-044

Does AL replace a withdrawn or rejected AL? If so, identify the prior AL: N/A

Summarize differences between the AL and the prior withdrawn or rejected AL: N/A

Does AL request confidential treatment? If so, provide explanation: N/A

Resolution Required? ☐ Yes ☑ No

Tier Designation: ☑ 1 ☐ 2 ☐ 3

Requested effective date: 11/19/13

No. of tariff sheets: 0

Estimated system annual revenue effect: (%) N/A

Estimated system average rate effect (%): N/A

When rates are affected by AL, include attachment in AL showing average rate effects on customer classes (residential, small commercial, large C/I, agricultural, lighting).

Tariff schedules affected: N/A

Service affected and changes proposed: N/A

Pending advice letters that revise the same tariff sheets: N/A

Protests and all other correspondence regarding this AL are due no later than 20 days after the date of this filing, unless otherwise authorized by the Commission, and shall be sent to:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CPUC, Energy Division</th>
<th>San Diego Gas &amp; Electric</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Attention: Tariff Unit</td>
<td>Attention: Megan Caulson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>505 Van Ness Ave.,</td>
<td>8330 Century Park Ct, Room 32C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Francisco, CA 94102</td>
<td>San Diego, CA 92123</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><a href="mailto:EDTariffUnit@cpuc.ca.gov">EDTariffUnit@cpuc.ca.gov</a></td>
<td><a href="mailto:mcaulson@semprautilities.com">mcaulson@semprautilities.com</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

1 Discuss in AL if more space is needed.
cc: (w/enclosures)

Public Utilities Commission
DRA
S. Cauchois
R. Pocta
W. Scott
Energy Division
P. Clanon
S. Gallagher
D. Lafrenz
M. Salinas
CA. Energy Commission
F. DeLeon
R. Tavares
Alcantar & Kahl LLP
K. Cameron
American Energy Institute
C. King
APS Energy Services
J. Schenk
BP Energy Company
J. Zaintz
Barkovich & Yap, Inc.
B. Barkovich
Bartle Wells Associates
R. Schmidt
Braun & Blaising, P.C.
S. Blaising
California Energy Markets
S. O'Donnell
C. Sweet
California Farm Bureau Federation
K. Mills
California Wind Energy
N. Rader
Children's Hospital & Health Center
T. Jacoby
City of Poway
R. Willcox
City of San Diego
J. Cervantes
G. Lonergan
M. Valerio
Commerce Energy Group
V. Gan
CP Kelco
A. Friedl
Davis Wright Tremaine, LLP
E. O’Neill
J. Pau
Dept. of General Services
H. Nanjo
M. Clark
Douglass & Liddell
D. Douglass
D. Liddell
G. Klatt
Duke Energy North America
M. Gillette
Dynegy, Inc.
J. Paul
Ellison Schneider & Harris LLP
E. Janssen
Energy Policy Initiatives Center (USD)
S. Anders
Energy Price Solutions
A. Scott
Energy Strategies, Inc.
K. Campbell
M. Scanlan
Goodin, MacBride, Squeri, Ritchie & Day
B. Cragg
J. Heather Patrick
J. Squeri
Goodrich Aerostructures Group
M. Harrington
Hanna and Morton LLP
N. Pedersen
Iitsa-North America
L. Belew
J.B.S. Energy
J. Nahigian
Luce, Forward, Hamilton & Scripps LLP
J. Leslie
Manatt, Phelps & Phillips LLP
D. Huard
R. Keen
Matthew V. Brady & Associates
M. Brady
Modesto Irrigation District
C. Mayer
Morrison & Foerster LLP
P. Hanschen
MRW & Associates
D. Richardson
Pacific Gas & Electric Co.
J. Clark
M. Huffman
S. Lawrie
E. Lucha
Pacific Utility Audit, Inc.
E. Kelly
San Diego Regional Energy Office
S. Freedman
J. Porter
School Project for Utility Rate Reduction
M. Rochman
Shute, Mihaly & Weinberger LLP
O. Armi
Solar Turbines
F. Chiang
Southern California Edison Co.
M. Alexander
K. Cini
K. Gansecki
H. Romero
TransCanada
R. Hunter
D. White
TURN
M. Hawiger
UCAN
D. Kelly
U.S. Dept. of the Navy
K. Davoodi
N. Furuta
L. DeLacruz
Utility Specialists, Southwest, Inc.
D. Koser
Western Manufactured Housing
S. Dey
Communities Association
White & Case LLP
L. Cottle
Interested Parties In:
A.12-07-001
A.12-07-002
A.12-07-003
A.12-07-004
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Firm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1st Light Energy</td>
<td>Douglass &amp; Liddell</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AT&amp;T</td>
<td>Downey &amp; Brand</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alcantar &amp; Kahl LLP</td>
<td>Ellison Schneider &amp; Harris LLP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anderson &amp; Poole</td>
<td>G.A. Krause &amp; Assoc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BART</td>
<td>GenOn Energy Inc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bartle Wells Associates</td>
<td>Goodin, MacBride, Squeri, Schlotz &amp; Ritchie</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Braun Blaising McLaughlin, P.C.</td>
<td>Green Power Institute</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>California Cotton Ginners &amp; Growers Assn</td>
<td>Hanna &amp; Morton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>California Energy Commission</td>
<td>In House Energy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>California Public Utilities Commission</td>
<td>International Power Technology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>California State Association of Counties</td>
<td>Intestate Gas Services, Inc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calpine</td>
<td>K&amp;L Gates LLP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Casner, Steve</td>
<td>Kelly Group</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cenergy Power</td>
<td>Linde</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Center for Biological Diversity</td>
<td>Los Angeles Dept of Water &amp; Power</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of Palo Alto</td>
<td>MRW &amp; Associates</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of San Jose</td>
<td>Manatt Phelps Phillips</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clean Power</td>
<td>Marin Energy Authority</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coast Economic Consulting</td>
<td>McKenna Long &amp; Aldridge LLP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commercial Energy</td>
<td>McKenzie &amp; Associates</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>County of Tehama - Department of Public Works</td>
<td>Modesto Irrigation District</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crossborder Energy</td>
<td>Morgan Stanley</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Davis Wright Tremaine LLP</td>
<td>NLine Energy, Inc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day Carter Murphy</td>
<td>NRG Solar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Defense Energy Support Center</td>
<td>Nexant, Inc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dept of General Services</td>
<td>North America Power Partners</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Division of Ratepayer Advocates</td>
<td>Occidental Energy Marketing, Inc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OnGrid Solar</td>
<td>Pacific Gas and Electric Company</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Praxair</td>
<td>Regulatory &amp; Cogeneration Service, Inc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Praxair</td>
<td>SCD Energy Solutions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SDG&amp;E and SoCalGas</td>
<td>SCE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPURR</td>
<td>San Francisco Public Utilities Commission</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bartle Wells Associates</td>
<td>Seattle City Light</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Braun Blaising McLaughlin, P.C.</td>
<td>Sempra Utilities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>California Cotton Ginners &amp; Growers Assn</td>
<td>SoCalGas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>California Energy Commission</td>
<td>Southern California Edison Company</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>California Public Utilities Commission</td>
<td>Spark Energy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>California State Association of Counties</td>
<td>Sun Light &amp; Power</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>California State Association of Counties</td>
<td>Sunshine Design</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>California Public Utilities Commission</td>
<td>TECOGEN, INC.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>California State Association of Counties</td>
<td>Tiger Natural Gas, Inc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>California State Association of Counties</td>
<td>TransCanada</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>California State Association of Counties</td>
<td>Utility Cost Management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>California State Association of Counties</td>
<td>Utility Power Solutions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>California State Association of Counties</td>
<td>Utility Specialists</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of San Jose</td>
<td>Water and Energy Consulting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of San Jose</td>
<td>Wellhead Electric Company</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clean Power</td>
<td>Western Manufactured Housing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coast Economic Consulting</td>
<td>Communities Association (WMA)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commercial Energy</td>
<td>Verizon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>County of Tehama - Department of Public Works</td>
<td>Western Manufactured Housing</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>