September 24, 2013

Advice Letter 4275--E

Brian K. Cherry
Vice President, Regulation and Rates
Pacific Gas and Electric Company
77 Beale Street, Mail Code B10C
P.O. Box 770000
San Francisco, CA 94177

Subject: Calpine Los Medanos Energy Center (LMEC) amended agreement pursuant to Resolution E-4529

Dear Mr. Cherry:

This disposition letter approves Pacific Gas and Electric Company (PG&E) Tier 1 Advice Letter (AL) 4275-E, which submits an Amended Agreement between PG&E and Calpine Energy Services for Combined Heat and Power (CHP) capacity from the Los Medanos Energy Center (LMEC) pursuant to Resolution E-4529.

On July 2, 2012, PG&E filed AL 4074-E seeking approval of 280.5 megawatts (MWs) of CHP Resource Adequacy (RA) capacity from the LMEC facility as a result of its initial CHP RFO. On July 25, 2013, the Commission issued Resolution E-4529, which rejected the AL but allowed PG&E to submit a modified agreement in a subsequent Tier 1 AL filing. Specifically, the Commission authorized PG&E to renegotiate an amended Agreement for reduced capacity from LMEC, and the Resolution provided three options for doing so. In AL 4275-E, PG&E chose Option 3, which authorized PG&E to procure 140.25 MWs of capacity from LMEC, half of the 280.5 MWs originally requested in AL 4074-E. On August 23, 2013, PG&E filed AL 4275-E, requesting approval of the amended capacity contract with Calpine for 140.25 MW of RA capacity from the LMEC facility in accordance with the direction provided in Resolution E-4529.

Energy Division staff reviewed PG&E’s AL 4275-E and determined that it is in compliance with the Commission’s guidance as provided in Resolution E-4529. Since there were no protests and no material changes to the original agreement, other than the Commission authorized Option 3 (constituting a MW size reduction from 280.5 MWs to 140.25 MWs), Advice Letter 4275-E is effective September 24, 2013 without modification.

Sincerely,

Edward F. Randolph, Director
Energy Division
August 23, 2013

Advice 4275-E
(Pacific Gas and Electric Company ID U 39 E)

Public Utilities Commission of the State of California


I. Introduction


PG&E respectfully requests the Energy Division to promptly find the Amended Agreement to be in compliance with the Resolution and accordingly approve this filing.

II. Background

PG&E submitted Advice 4074-E to obtain Commission approval of its Original Agreement for 280.5 MW of CHP capacity to be provided by LMEC. The Resolution rejected the advice letter; however, OP 2 of the Resolution authorizes PG&E to renegotiate the RA-only capacity terms of the LMEC agreement to result in an amended agreement that complies with one of three Options. PG&E has selected Option 3, which authorizes:
A QF/CHP Agreement for RA-only capacity that is for one half or less of the contracted amount in the instant Agreement (up to no more than 140.25 MW), but is otherwise identical to the instant LMEC Agreement.¹

III. Amended Agreement

PG&E and Calpine have executed the First Amendment, which amends the Original Agreement to state that PG&E is contracting for 140.25 MW of LMEC’s capacity, which will count towards PG&E’s MW target for CHP generation as set forth in the QF/CHP Settlement Agreement.

The Amended Agreement contains no other changes to the Original Agreement.

Finding and Conclusion 7 of the Resolution provides that once the Energy Division determines that the Amended Agreement is consistent with PG&E’s selected Option, certain findings will automatically apply to the filed Amended Agreement.² Thus, if the

---

¹ Resolution, p. 24. Option 3 is further described as follows at Resolution pages 12 and 13: “PG&E and Calpine may restructure the Agreement for RA-only capacity that is for one half or less of the contracted amount in the instant Agreement (up to no more than 140.25 MW). This would also reduce the number of MW, but would otherwise be identical to the instant LMEC Agreement.”

² Finding and Conclusion 7 of the Resolution states:

“7. If PG&E renegotiates an LMEC Agreement consistent with the options outlined in this Resolution, the following findings in this Resolution would apply to such a conforming new Agreement.

a. As an existing CHP Facility, per QF/CHP Settlement Term Sheet Section 7.3.3.1, LMEC capacity would not contribute towards PG&E’s GHG Targets and is neutral for GHG accounting purposes.

b. The number of MW contracted under the amended LMEC Agreement, pursuant to Ordering Paragraph 2, would contribute to the MW target assigned to PG&E under the QF/CHP Settlement.

c. The LMEC facility is an existing CHP facility and therefore would be a viable project.

d. The terms of the LMEC agreement for a capacity-only PPA would provide the CHP Attributes, the RA Attributes, the Local RA Attributes, and the Capacity Attributes equivalent to the capacity associated with the LMEC Agreement to the ratepayers.

e. A capacity-only LMEC PPA is not subject to the EPS under D.07-01-039 as it was deemed to be compliant with the EPS, as it is a combined-cycle natural gas facility that was in operation prior to June 30, 2007.

f. PG&E is allowed to allocate the net capacity costs and associated RA benefits to bundled, DA, CCA, and departing load (to the extent not exempted) customers consistent with D.10-12-035, as modified by D.11-07-010, and PG&E’s Advice 3922-E, approved December 19, 2011.

(continued next page)
Energy Division finds that the Amended Agreement is consistent with Option 3, Amended Agreement is automatically reasonable and approved.\(^3\)

As required by Resolution Option 3, the Amended Agreement is for 140.25 MW of RA-only capacity. The Amended Agreement procures no more than one half of the originally contracted amount of 280.5 MW, but is otherwise identical to the Agreement that was considered by the Commission in its issuance of Resolution E-4529.

Consequently, the Energy Division should issue a disposition letter approving this advice letter based on the following:

- PG&E has renegotiated an Amended Agreement consistent with Resolution OP 1 Option 3, as authorized by Resolution OP 2,
- PG&E has resubmitted the Amended Agreement via a Tier 1 advice letter within 30 days after the Commission approved the Resolution as required by Resolution OP 2, and
- PG&E has satisfied the condition for the findings in Finding and Conclusion 7 of the Resolution to apply to the Amended Agreement.
- Costs incurred under the Amended Agreement are reasonable and will be collected through the cost recovery mechanism set forth in D.10-12-035 (as modified by D.11-07-010), Section 13.1.2.2 of the Qualifying Facility/ Combined Heat and Power Settlement Term Sheet.

\(^g\) Actual LMEC Agreement costs will be recovered through ERRA, with net capacity costs recovered in the NSGBA being credited to the ERRA.

\(^h\) PG&E has complied with the Commission's rules for involving the PRG and CAM. Should PG&E renegotiate the LMEC Agreement, they should be encouraged but not required to consult again with their PRG and CAM.

\(^i\) The Independent Evaluator concurred with PG&E's decision to execute the LMEC Agreement with Calpine Energy Services, L.P. and found that the LMEC PPA merits Commission approval. Should PG&E renegotiate the LMEC Agreement, as long as the per-MW costs do not increase, they should not be required to subject the amended Agreement to additional IE analysis prior to resubmitting to the Commission."

\(^3\) "In the case of the three options above, the terms of the amended or renegotiated Agreement would be identical to the instant LMEC Agreement, except for the amount of MW procured. Therefore, we make additional findings in this Resolution that would apply to those Options, should PG&E and Calpine choose to exercise one of them, and bring back an amended Agreement for our consideration. Option 3 indicates the maximum procurement amount PG&E is authorized to procure and count toward the obligation regardless of which Option is executed." Resolution, p.13.
IV. Protests

Anyone wishing to protest this filing may do so by letter sent via U.S. mail, by facsimile or electronically, any of which must be received no later than September 12, 2013, which is 20 days after the date of this filing. Protests should be mailed to:

CPUC Energy Division  
ED Tariff Unit  
505 Van Ness Avenue, 4th Floor  
San Francisco, California 94102

Facsimile: (415) 703-2200  
E-mail: EDTariffUnit@cpuc.ca.gov

Copies of protests also should be mailed to the attention of the Director, Energy Division, Room 4004, at the address shown above.

The protest also should be sent via U.S. mail (and by facsimile and electronically, if possible) to PG&E at the address shown below on the same date it is mailed or delivered to the Commission:

Brian K. Cherry  
Vice President, Regulatory Relations  
Pacific Gas and Electric Company  
77 Beale Street, Mail Code B10C  
P.O. Box 770000  
San Francisco, California 94177

Facsimile: (415) 973-7226  
E-mail: PGETariffs@pge.com

Any person (including individuals, groups, or organizations) may protest or respond to an advice letter. (General Order 96-B, Section 7.4.) The protest shall contain the following information: specification of the advice letter protested; grounds for the protest; supporting factual information or legal argument; name, telephone number, postal address, and (where appropriate) e-mail address of the protestant; and statement that the protest was sent to the utility no later than the day on which the protest was submitted to the reviewing Industry Division (General Order 96-B, Section 3.11).

V. Effective Date

PG&E requests that this Tier 1 advice letter become effective on August 23, 2013, in accordance with Resolution E-4529. Accordingly, PG&E requests that Energy Division issue a disposition letter approving this advice letter. Pursuant to General Order 96-B,
Section 7.3.3 and Energy Industry Rule 5.1, this advice letter will be effective immediately pending disposition.

VI. **Notice**

In accordance with General Order 96-B, Section IV, a copy of this advice letter is being sent electronically and via U.S. mail to parties shown on the attached list and the parties on the service list for R.12-03-014. Address changes to the General Order 96-B service list should be directed to PG&E at email address PGETariffs@pge.com. For changes to any other service list, please contact the Commission’s Process Office at (415) 703-2021 or at Process_Office@cpuc.ca.gov. Send all electronic approvals to PGETariffs@pge.com. Advice letter filings can also be accessed electronically at: http://www.pge.com/tariffs

Brian Cherry
Vice President, Regulatory Relations

cc: Damon Franz, Energy Division, CPUC  
    Jason Houck, Energy Division, CPUC  
    Cem Turhal, Energy Division, CPUC  
    Noel Crisostomo, Energy Division, CPUC  
    Chris Ungson, DRA, CPUC  
    Service List R.12-03-014

Attachments:

- **Confidential Appendix A:** Confirmation for Resource Adequacy Capacity Product for CAISO Resources That Qualify as CHP Facilities
- **Confidential Appendix B:** First Amendment to Confirmation for Resource Adequacy Capacity Product for CAISO Resources That Qualify as CHP Facilities
- Declaration and Matrix of Soumya Sastry in Support of Confidential Treatment

**Limited Access to Confidential Material:**

The portions of this advice letter marked Confidential Protected Material are submitted under the confidentiality protection of Section 583 and 454.5(g) of the Public Utilities Code and General Order 66-C. This material is protected from public disclosure.
because it consists of, among other items, the contracts themselves, price information, and analysis of the proposed energy procurement contracts, which are protected pursuant to D.06-06-066 and D.08-04-023. A declaration seeking confidential treatment of the following attachments is being submitted with this advice letter in accordance with D.08-04-023:

- Confidential Appendix A: Confirmation for Resource Adequacy Capacity Product for CAISO Resources That Qualify as CHP Facilities
- Confidential Appendix B: First Amendment to Confirmation for Resource Adequacy Capacity Product for CAISO Resources That Qualify as CHP Facilities
**ADVICE LETTER FILING SUMMARY**

**ENERGY UTILITY**

**MUST BE COMPLETED BY UTILITY** (Attach additional pages as needed)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Company name/CPUC Utility No.</th>
<th>Pacific Gas and Electric Company (ID U39 E)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Utility type:</td>
<td>Contact Person: Igor Grinberg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☑ ELC</td>
<td>Phone #: (415) 973-8580</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ GAS</td>
<td>E-mail: <a href="mailto:ixg8@pge.com">ixg8@pge.com</a> and <a href="mailto:PGETariffs@pge.com">PGETariffs@pge.com</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ PLC</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ HEAT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ WATER</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**EXPLANATION OF UTILITY TYPE**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ELC = Electric</th>
<th>GAS = Gas</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PLC = Pipeline</td>
<td>HEAT = Heat</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WATER = Water</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Advice Letter (AL) #: 4275-E**

**Tier: 1**

**Subject of AL:** Submission of Amended Agreement between Pacific Gas and Electric Company and Calpine Energy Services L.P. for Capacity provided by the Los Medanos Energy Center Pursuant to Resolution E-4529

**Keywords (choose from CPUC listing):** Agreements, Capacity, Qualifying Facility and Procurement

**AL filing type:** ☑ Monthly □ Quarterly □ Annual ☑ One-Time □ Other

**If AL filed in compliance with a Commission order, indicate relevant Decision/Resolution #:** Resolution E-4529

**Does AL replace a withdrawn or rejected AL?** If so, identify the prior AL: No

**Summarize differences between the AL and the prior withdrawn or rejected AL:**

**Is AL requesting confidential treatment?** If so, what information is the utility seeking confidential treatment for: Yes, Confidential

**Appendices A and B**

Confidential information will be made available to those who have executed a nondisclosure agreement: ☑ Yes □ No

**Name(s) and contact information of the person(s) who will provide the nondisclosure agreement and access to the confidential information:** Soumya Sastry, (415)-973-3295

**Resolution Required?** □ Yes ☑ No

**Requested effective date:** August 23, 2013

**No. of tariff sheets:** N/A

**Estimated system annual revenue effect (%):** N/A

**Estimated system average rate effect (%):** N/A

When rates are affected by AL, include attachment in AL showing average rate effects on customer classes (residential, small commercial, large C/I, agricultural, lighting).

**Tariff schedules affected:** N/A

**Service affected and changes proposed:** N/A

Pending advice letters that revise the same tariff sheets: N/A

Protests, dispositions, and all other correspondence regarding this AL are due no later than 20 days after the date of this filing, unless otherwise authorized by the Commission, and shall be sent to:

**CPUC, Energy Division**

**ED Tariff Unit**

505 Van Ness Avenue, 4th Floor
San Francisco, CA 94102
E-mail: EDTariffUnit@cpuc.ca.gov

**Pacific Gas and Electric Company**

Attn: Brian Cherry
Vice President, Regulatory Relations
77 Beale Street, Mail Code B10C
P.O. Box 770000
San Francisco, CA 94177
E-mail: PGETariffs@pge.com
DECLARATION OF SOUMYA SASTRY
SEEKING CONFIDENTIAL TREATMENT
FOR CERTAIN DATA AND INFORMATION CONTAINED IN
ADVICE LETTER 4275-E
(PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY - U 39 E)

I, Soumya Sastry, declare:

1. I am presently employed by Pacific Gas and Electric Company ("PG&E"), and have been an employee of PG&E since 2005. I am a principal in the Portfolio Management group in the Energy Procurement department within PG&E. I am responsible for negotiating transactions resulting from PG&E's Combined Heat and Power Request for Offers solicitation and negotiating power purchase agreements with counterparties in the business of producing electric energy. In carrying out these responsibilities, I have acquired knowledge of such sellers in general and, based on my experience in dealing with facility owners and operators, I am familiar with the types of data and information about their operations that such owners and operators consider confidential and proprietary. I can also determine whether buyers and sellers of electricity would consider certain information to be "market sensitive information" as defined by California Public Utilities Commission ("CPUC") Decision ("D.") 06-06-066 and D.09-12-020, that is, information that has the potential to materially impact a procuring party's market price for electricity if released to market participants.

2. Decision 08-04-023, ordering paragraph 8, requires that any advice letter for which confidential treatment is requested must be accompanied by a declaration under penalty of perjury that justifies confidential treatment pursuant to D.06-06-066. I was the primary contract negotiator on behalf of PG&E in the PG&E-Los Medanos Energy Center transaction which is the subject of PG&E Advice Letter 4275-E. Based on my knowledge and experience, I make this
declaration seeking confidential treatment of Confidential Appendices A and B to PG&E’s Advice Letter 4275-E, submitted on August 23, 2013 (“Confidential Information”).

3. The Appendices are as follows:

Confidential Appendix A: Confirmation for Resource Adequacy Capacity Product for CAISO Resources That Qualify as CHP Facilities

Confidential Appendix B: First Amendment to Confirmation for Resource Adequacy Capacity Product for CAISO Resources That Qualify as CHP Facilities

4. Attached to this declaration is a matrix that describes the Confidential Information for which PG&E seeks continued protection against public disclosure, states whether PG&E seeks to protect the confidentiality of the Confidential Information pursuant to D.06-06-066 and/or other authority; and where PG&E seeks protection under D.06-06-066, the category of market sensitive information in D.06-06-066 Appendix I Matrix (“Matrix”) to which the Confidential Information corresponds.

5. The attached matrix demonstrates that the Confidential Information (1) constitutes a particular type of confidentiality-protected data listed in the Matrix; (2) corresponds to a category or categories of market sensitive information listed in the Matrix; (3) may be treated as confidential consistent with the limitations on confidentiality specified in the Matrix for that type of data; (4) is not already public; and (5) cannot be aggregated, redacted, summarized or otherwise protected in a way that allows partial disclosure. In the column labeled, “PG&E’s Justification for Confidential Treatment”, PG&E explains why the Confidential Information is not subject to public disclosure under either or both D.06-06-066 and General Order 66-C. The confidentiality protection period is stated in the column labeled, “Length of Time.”
6. By this reference, I am incorporating into this declaration all of the explanatory text in the attached matrix.

I declare under penalty of perjury, under the laws of the State of California, that to the best of my knowledge, the foregoing is true and correct. Executed on August 23, 2013, at San Francisco, California.

[Signature]

Soumya Sastry
## IDENTIFICATION OF CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Redaction Reference</th>
<th>1) Constitutes data listed in Appendix I to D.06-06-066 (Y/N)</th>
<th>2) Data correspond to category in Appendix I:</th>
<th>3) Complies with limitations of D.06-06-066 (Y/N)</th>
<th>4) Data not already public (Y/N)</th>
<th>5) Lead to partial disclosure (Y/N)</th>
<th>PG&amp;E’s Justification for Confidential Treatment</th>
<th>Length of Time</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Document: Confidential Appendix A</strong> - Confirmation for Resource Adequacy Capacity Product for CAISO Resources That Qualify as CHP Facilities</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>This confidential appendix is the original Los Medanos RA Confirmation Agreement which contains the terms and conditions of the original agreement, which are confidential under Item VII.B of the D.06-06-066 Appendix 1 matrix for 3 years from date contract states deliveries to begin; or until one year following expiration, whichever comes first.</td>
<td>3 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Entire document</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td></td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Document: Confidential Appendix B</strong> - First Amendment to Confirmation for Resource Adequacy Capacity Product for CAISO Resources That Qualify as CHP Facilities</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>This confidential appendix is the first amendment to the Los Medanos RA Confirmation Agreement which contains the terms and conditions of the first amendment to the LMEC agreement, which are confidential under Item VII.B of the D.06-06-066 Appendix 1 matrix for 3 years from date contract states deliveries to begin; or until one year following expiration, whichever comes first.</td>
<td>3 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Entire document</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td></td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Company/Organization</td>
<td>Division/Department</td>
<td>Company/Organization</td>
<td>Division/Department</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1st Light Energy</td>
<td>Division of Ratepayer Advocates</td>
<td>Occidental Energy Marketing, Inc.</td>
<td>OnGrid Solar</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AT&amp;T</td>
<td>Douglass &amp; Liddei</td>
<td>Pacific Gas and Electric Company</td>
<td>Praxair</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alcantar &amp; Kahl LLP</td>
<td>Downey &amp; Brand</td>
<td>Regulatory &amp; Cogeneration Service, Inc.</td>
<td>SCD Energy Solutions</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anderson &amp; Poole</td>
<td>Ellison Schneider &amp; Harris LLP</td>
<td>SCE</td>
<td>SDG&amp;E and SoCalGas</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bartle Wells Associates</td>
<td>Goodin, MacBride, Squier, Schlotz &amp; Ritchie</td>
<td>Green Power Institute</td>
<td>San Francisco Public Utilities Commission</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bear Valley Electric Service</td>
<td>Goodin, MacBride, Squier, Schlotz &amp; Ritchie</td>
<td>In House Energy</td>
<td>Seattle City Light</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Braun Blaising McLaughlin, P.C.</td>
<td>Goodin, MacBride, Squier, Schlotz &amp; Ritchie</td>
<td>International Power Technology</td>
<td>Sempra Utilities</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calpine</td>
<td>Kelly Group</td>
<td>Intestate Gas Services, Inc.</td>
<td>SoCalGas</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Casner, Steve</td>
<td>Linde</td>
<td>Kelly Group</td>
<td>Southern California Edison Company</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Center for Biological Diversity</td>
<td>MAC Lighting Consulting</td>
<td>Linde</td>
<td>Spark Energy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of Palo Alto</td>
<td>Manatt Phelps Phillips</td>
<td>LANisco &amp; Associates</td>
<td>Sun Light &amp; Power</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of San Jose</td>
<td>Marin Energy Authority</td>
<td>McKenna Long &amp; Aldridge LLP</td>
<td>Sunshine Design</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clean Power</td>
<td>McKenna Long &amp; Aldridge LLP</td>
<td>McKenzie &amp; Associates</td>
<td>Tecogen, Inc.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coast Economic Consulting</td>
<td>McKenzie &amp; Associates</td>
<td>Modesto Irrigation District</td>
<td>Tiger Natural Gas, Inc.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commercial Energy</td>
<td>McKenzie &amp; Associates</td>
<td>Modesto Irrigation District</td>
<td>TransCanada</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>County of Tehama - Department of Public Works</td>
<td>McKenzie &amp; Associates</td>
<td>Modesto Irrigation District</td>
<td>Utility Cost Management</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crossborder Energy</td>
<td>Morgan Stanley</td>
<td>Modesto Irrigation District</td>
<td>Utility Power Solutions</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Davis Wright Tremaine LLP</td>
<td>Morgan Stanley</td>
<td>Morgan Stanley</td>
<td>Utility Specialists</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day Carter Murphy</td>
<td>NRG Solar</td>
<td>Morgan Stanley</td>
<td>Verizon</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dept of General Services</td>
<td>North America Power Partners</td>
<td>Morgan Stanley</td>
<td>Westhead Electric Company</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Western Manufactured Housing Associations (WMA)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>