July 17, 2012

Advice Letter 3930-E

Brian K. Cherry
Vice President, Regulation and Rates
Pacific Gas and Electric Company
77 Beale Street, Mail Code B10C
P.O. Box 770000
San Francisco, CA  94177

Subject:  Submits Notice of Construction, Pursuant to G.O. 131-D, for the
Construction of San Mateo-Bay Meadows 115 kV Reconductoring Project
in
The Cities of San Mateo, Foster City, and Redwood City, County of San
Mateo

Dear Mr. Cherry:

Advice Letter 3930-E is effective November 7, 2011.

Sincerely,

Edward F. Randolph, Director
Energy Division
October 18, 2011

Advice 3930-E
(Pacific Gas and Electric Company ID U39 E)

Public Utilities Commission of the State of California

Subject: Submits Notice of Construction, Pursuant to General Order 131-D, for the Construction of San Mateo-Bay Meadows 115 kV Reconductoring Project in the Cities of San Mateo, Foster City, and Redwood City, County of San Mateo

Pacific Gas and Electric Company ("PG&E" or "the Company") hereby submits notice pursuant to General Order (G.O.) 131-D, Section XI, Subsection B.4, of the construction of facilities that are exempt from a Permit to Construct.

Purpose

This advice letter provides a copy of the Notice of Proposed Construction (Attachment I) and the Notice Distribution List, which comply with the noticing requirements found in G.O. 131-D, Section XI.

Background

In order to provide safe and reliable electric service to customers in San Mateo County and to avoid projected emergency overloads, Pacific Gas and Electric Company (PG&E) is proposing to replace the wires (reconduct) on existing towers along the 4.6 mile long San Mateo-Bay Meadows 115 kV Power Line (existing easement and franchise, replacement of existing facilities). Suspended by lattice steel towers, the power line begins at San Mateo Substation (1600 East Poplar Street, San Mateo) and heads southeast along the edge of the bay for approximately 1 mile. Six towers along this section of line will be raised 5 feet (5 cage extensions on top and 1 vertical extension) in order to meet California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) General Order 95 minimum ground-to-conductor clearance requirements. The line then continues on the north side of East 3rd Avenue for approximately 1 mile and then crosses to the south side of the road for approximately ⅛ of a mile as it enters Foster City. In Foster City the line runs south, crossing Highway 92. One tower, located just east of Gateway Drive in San Mateo, will be raised 10 feet in order to maintain compliance with CPUC General Order 95. The line then travels southwest along the south side of
Highway 92 for approximately 1.6 miles until it reaches Bay Meadows Substation (1900 South Norfolk Street, San Mateo). One new tubular steel pole (TSP) will be installed inside Bay Meadows Substation.

In order to maintain electric service while working on the power line, electricity will need to be rerouted (shoo-flyed) to Bay Meadows Substation along an existing power line originating from Bair Substation (255 Seaport Blvd, Redwood City). The approximately 9.8 mile-long shoo-fly will extend west from Bair Substation approximately 0.8 mile along the Ravenswood-Bair 115 kV Power Line. This tower line turns northeast and extends for approximately 2.9 miles through the easterly side of Bair Island Ecological Reserve, then turns northwest following the San Francisco #2 115 kV Power Line for approximately 6.1 miles through Bair Island, then parallel to Genoa Drive in Redwood City before crossing the Belmont Channel to run along Foster City Blvd and ending southwest of the Foster City Boulevard and Bounty Drive intersection in Foster City.

Construction is expected to begin November 2011 or as soon as possible thereafter, and be completed in January 2013 or as soon as possible thereafter.

CPUC General Order 131-D, Section III, Subsection B.1, exempts projects meeting specific conditions from the CPUC’s requirement to file an application requesting authority to construct. The Company believes this project qualifies for the following exemption(s):

b. The replacement of existing power line facilities or supporting structures with equivalent facilities or structures.

g. Power line facilities or substation to be located in an existing franchise, road-widening setback easement, or public utility easement; or in a utility corridor designated, precisely mapped and officially adopted pursuant to law by federal, state, or local agencies for which a final Negative Declaration or EIR finds no significant unavoidable environmental impacts."

Additionally, G.O. 131-D requires utilities to employ "no cost" and specified "low cost" measures to reduce public exposure to electric and magnetic fields (EMFs) in accordance with CPUC Decision 06-01-042 and the "EMF Guidelines for Electrical Facilities." The following measures are proposed for this project:

- The two San Mateo-Bay Meadows circuits will be cross-phased to reduce EMF strength at ground level

This filing will not increase any rate or charge, cause the withdrawal of service, or conflict with any other rate schedule or rule.
**Protests**

Anyone wishing to protest this filing may do so by filing a protest with the CPUC and the Company by **November 7, 2011**, which is 20 days after the date of this filing. Protests should be mailed to the following address:

CPUC Energy Division  
Tariff Files, Room 4005  
DMS Branch  
505 Van Ness Avenue  
San Francisco, California 94102  

Facsimile: (415) 703-2200  
E-Mail: jnj@cpuc.ca.gov and mas@cpuc.ca.gov

Copies of protests also should be mailed to the attention of the Director, Energy Division, Room 4004, at the address show above.

The protest also should be sent via U.S. mail (and by facsimile and electronically, if possible) to PG&E at the address shown below on the same date it is mailed or delivered to the Commission:

David T. Kraska  
Attorney, Law Department  
Pacific Gas and Electric Company  
P.O. Box 7442  
San Francisco, California 94120  

Facsimile: (415) 973-0516

Brian K. Cherry  
Vice President, Regulation and Rates  
Pacific Gas and Electric Company  
P.O. Box 770000, Mail Code B10C  
San Francisco, California 94177  

Facsimile: (415) 973-6520  
E-Mail: PGETariffs@pge.com

Persons or groups may protest the proposed construction if they believe that the Company has incorrectly applied for an exemption or that the conditions set out in Section III.B.2 of G.O. 131-D exist.
Effective Date

The Company requests that this advice filing become effective on **November 17, 2011**, which is 30 days after the date of filing. (In accordance with G.O. 131-D, construction will not begin until 45 days after notice is first published.)

Notice

A copy of this advice letter is being sent electronically and via U.S. Mail to parties shown on the attached list, including the parties listed in G.O. 131-D, Section XI, Paragraphs B.1 and B.2. These parties are identified in the “Notice Distribution List” included in Attachment I. All electronic approvals should be sent to e-mail PGETariffs@pge.com. Advice letter filings can also be accessed electronically at [http://www.pge.com/tariffs/](http://www.pge.com/tariffs/).

Vice President - Regulation and Rates

cc: Parties Listed in G.O. 131-D, Paragraphs B.1 and B.2

Attachments
Company name/CPUC Utility No. Pacific Gas and Electric Company (ID U39 M)

Utility type: ☑ ELC ☑ GAS
☐ PLC ☐ HEAT ☐ WATER

Contact Person: Linda Tom-Martinez
Phone #: (415) 973-4612
E-mail: lmt1@pge.com

EXPLANATION OF UTILITY TYPE
ELC = Electric
GAS = Gas
PLC = Pipeline
HEAT = Heat
WATER = Water

Advice Letter (AL) #: 3930-E
Tier: N/A
Subject of AL: Submits Notice of Construction, Pursuant to General Order 131-D, for the Construction of San Mateo-Bay Meadows 115 kV Reconductoring Project in the Cities of San Mateo, Foster City, and Redwood City, County of San Mateo

Keywords (choose from CPUC listing): Power Lines
AL filing type: ☑ Monthly ☐ Quarterly ☐ Annual ☐ One-Time ☐ Other

If AL filed in compliance with a Commission order, indicate relevant Decision/Resolution #: ___________________________
Does AL replace a withdrawn or rejected AL? If so, identify the prior AL: No
Summarize differences between the AL and the prior withdrawn or rejected AL: ___________________________

Is AL requesting confidential treatment? If so, what information is the utility seeking confidential treatment for:
Confidential information will be made available to those who have executed a nondisclosure agreement: ☐ Yes ☐ No
Name(s) and contact information of the person(s) who will provide the nondisclosure agreement and access to the confidential information: __________________________________________________________________________________________________

Resolution Required? ☐ Yes ☑ No
Requested effective date: November 17, 2011
No. of tariff sheets: N/A

Estimated system annual revenue effect (%): N/A
Estimated system average rate effect (%): N/A

When rates are affected by AL, include attachment in AL showing average rate effects on customer classes (residential, small commercial, large C/I, agricultural, lighting).
Tariff schedules affected: N/A
Service affected and changes proposed: N/A
Pending advice letters that revise the same tariff sheets: N/A

Protests, dispositions, and all other correspondence regarding this AL are due no later than 20 days after the date of this filing, unless otherwise authorized by the Commission, and shall be sent to:

CPUC, Energy Division
Tariff Files, Room 4005
DMS Branch
505 Van Ness Ave.,
San Francisco, CA 94102
jnj@cpuc.ca.gov and mas@cpuc.ca.gov

Pacific Gas and Electric Company
Attn: Brian K. Cherry
Vice President, Regulation and Rates
77 Beale Street, Mail Code B10C
P.O. Box 770000
San Francisco, CA 94177
E-mail: PGETariffs@pge.com
NOTICE OF PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION

PROJECT NAME: San Mateo-Bay Meadows 115 kV Reconductoring Project (Cities of San Mateo, Foster City and Redwood City)

ADVICE LETTER NUMBER: 3930-E

Proposed Project: In order to provide safe and reliable electric service to customers in San Mateo County and to avoid projected emergency overloads, Pacific Gas and Electric Company (PG&E) is proposing to replace the wires (reconductor) on existing towers along the 4.6 mile long San Mateo-Bay Meadows 115 kV Power Line (existing easement and franchise, replacement of existing facilities). Suspected by lattice steel towers, the power line begins at San Mateo Substation (1600 East Poplar Street, San Mateo) and heads southeast along the edge of the bay for approximately 1 mile. Six towers along this section of line will be raised 5 feet (5 cage extensions on top and 1 vertical extension) in order to meet California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) General Order 95 minimum ground-to-conductor clearance requirements. The line then continues on the north side of East 3rd Avenue for approximately 1 mile and then crosses to the south side of the road for approximately ⅓ of a mile as it enters Foster City. In Foster City the line runs south, crossing Highway 92. One tower, located just east of Gateway Drive in San Mateo, will be raised 10 feet in order to maintain compliance with CPUC General Order 95. The line then travels southwest along the south side of Highway 92 for approximately 1.6 miles until it reaches Bay Meadows Substation (1900 South Norfolk Street, San Mateo). One new tubular steel pole (TSP) will be installed inside Bay Meadows Substation.

Construction is expected to begin November 2011 or as soon as possible thereafter, and be completed in January 2013 or as soon as possible thereafter.

Electric and Magnetic Fields (EMF): Pacific Gas and Electric Company will employ “no cost” and specified “low cost” measures to reduce public exposure to EMF in accordance with California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) Decision 06-01-042 and Pacific Gas and Electric Company’s “EMF Design Guidelines for Electrical Facilities.” The following measure is proposed for this project:

The two San Mateo-Bay Meadows circuits will be cross-phased to reduce EMF strength at ground level.

Exemption from CPUC Permit Requirement: CPUC General Order 131-D, Section III.B.1, exempts projects meeting specific conditions from the CPUC’s requirement to file an application requesting authority to construct. Pacific Gas and Electric Company believes this project qualifies for the following exemption:

b. The replacement of existing power line facilities or supporting structures with equivalent facilities or structures.

g. Power line facilities or substation to be located in an existing franchise, road-widening setback easement, or public utility easement; or in a utility corridor designated, precisely mapped and officially adopted pursuant to law by federal, state, or local agencies for which a final Negative Declaration or EIR finds no significant unavoidable environmental impacts.

Public Review Process: Persons or groups may protest the proposed construction if they believe that Pacific Gas and Electric Company has incorrectly applied for an exemption or that the conditions set out in Section III.B.2 of General Order 131-D exist;

a. There is reasonable possibility that the activity may have an impact on an environmental resource of hazardous or critical concern where designated, precisely mapped and officially adopted pursuant to law by federal, state, or local agencies; or

b. The cumulative impact of successive projects of the same type in the same place, over time, is significant; or

c. There is a reasonable possibility that the activity will have a significant effect on the environment due to unusual circumstances.

Protests should include the following:

1. Your name, mailing address and daytime telephone number.

2. Reference to the CPUC Advice Letter Number and Project Name.

3. A clear description of the reason for the protest.

4. Whether you believe that evidentiary hearings are necessary to resolve factual disputes.

Protests for this project must be filed by November 7, 2011, at the following address:

Director, Energy Division
California Public Utilities Commission
505 Van Ness Avenue, Fourth Floor
San Francisco, California 94102

With a copy mailed to:

David Kraska, Law Department
Pacific Gas and Electric Company
P.O. Box 7442
San Francisco, California 94120

Pacific Gas and Electric Company must respond within five business days of receipt and serve copies of its response on each protestant and the Energy Division. Within 30 days after Pacific Gas and Electric Company has submitted its response, the Executive Director of the CPUC will send you a copy of an Executive Resolution granting or denying the request and stating the reasons for the decision.

Assistance in Filing a Protest: For assistance in filing a protest, contact the CPUC Public Advisor in San Francisco at (415) 703-2074 or 1-866-849-8390 (toll-free) or TTY (415) 703-5258 or public.advisor@cpuc.ca.gov.

Additional Project Information: To obtain further information on the proposed project, please call Pacific Gas and Electric Company’s Project Information Line at (415) 973-5530.
Notice Distribution List

San Mateo-Bay Meadows 115kV Reconductoring Project
Cities of San Mateo, Foster City and Redwood City

Advice 3930-E

Energy Commission

Ms. Melissa Jones, Executive Director
California Energy Commission
1516 Ninth Street, Mail Stop 39
Sacramento, California 95814

Mr. Terry O’Brien, Deputy Director
California Energy Commission
1516 Ninth Street, Mail Stop 39
Sacramento, California 95814

City of San Mateo

Mr. Ron Munekawa, Chief of Planning
Planning Division
City of San Mateo
330 West 20th Ave
San Mateo, CA 94403

City of Foster City

Mr. Richard Marks, Director
Community Development Department
City of Foster City
610 Foster City Blvd.
Foster City, CA 94404

City of Redwood City

Ms. Jill Dever Ekas, Director
Planning Department
1017 Middlefield Road
Redwood City, CA 94062

Newspaper

San Mateo Times
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Company/Group</th>
<th>Company/Group</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AT&amp;T</td>
<td>Dept of General Services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alcantar &amp; Kahl LLP</td>
<td>Douglass &amp; Lidell</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ameresco</td>
<td>Downey &amp; Brand</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anderson &amp; Poole</td>
<td>Duke Energy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arizona Public Service Company</td>
<td>Economic Sciences Corporation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BART</td>
<td>Ellison Schneider &amp; Harris LLP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barkovich &amp; Yap, Inc.</td>
<td>Foster Farms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bloomberg</td>
<td>GLJ Publications</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boston Properties</td>
<td>Goodin, MacBride, Squeri, Schlotz &amp; Ritchie</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Braun Blaising McLaughlin, P.C.</td>
<td>Green Power Institute</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brookfield Renewable Power</td>
<td>Hanna &amp; Morton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CA Bldg Industry Association</td>
<td>Hitachi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CLECA Law Office</td>
<td>In House Energy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSC Energy Services</td>
<td>International Power Technology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>California Cotton Ginners &amp; Growers Assn</td>
<td>Intestate Gas Services, Inc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>California Energy Commission</td>
<td>Lawrence Berkeley National Lab</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>California League of Food Processors</td>
<td>Los Angeles Dept of Water &amp; Power</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>California Public Utilities Commission</td>
<td>Luce, Forward, Hamilton &amp; Scripps LLP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calpine</td>
<td>MAC Lighting Consulting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardinal Cogen</td>
<td>MBMC, Inc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Casner, King</td>
<td>MRW &amp; Associates</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chris, King</td>
<td>Manatt Phelps Phillips</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of Palo Alto</td>
<td>McKenzie &amp; Associates</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of Palo Alto Utilities</td>
<td>Merced Irrigation District</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of San Jose</td>
<td>Modesto Irrigation District</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clean Energy Fuels</td>
<td>Morgan Stanley</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coast Economic Consulting</td>
<td>Morrison &amp; Foerster</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commercial Energy</td>
<td>NRG West</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consumer Federation of California</td>
<td>NaturEner</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crossborder Energy</td>
<td>Navigant Consulting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Davis Wright Tremaine LLP</td>
<td>Norris &amp; Wong Associates</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day Carter Murphy</td>
<td>North America Power Partners</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Defense Energy Support Center</td>
<td>North Coast SolarResources</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Department of Water Resources</td>
<td>Northern California Power Association</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Occidental Energy Marketing, Inc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>OnGrid Solar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Praxair</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R. W. Beck &amp; Associates</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>RCS, Inc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Recurrent Energy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SCD Energy Solutions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SCE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SMUD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SPURR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>San Francisco Public Utilities Commission</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Seattle City Light</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sempra Utilities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sierra Pacific Power Company</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Silicon Valley Power</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Silo Energy LLC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Southern California Edison Company</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Spark Energy, L.P.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sun Light &amp; Power</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sunshine Design</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sutherland, Asbill &amp; Brennan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tabors Caramanis &amp; Associates</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tecogen, Inc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tiger Natural Gas, Inc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TransCanada</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Turlock Irrigation District</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>United Cogen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Utility Cost Management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Utility Specialists</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Verizon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Wellhead Electric Company</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Western Manufactured Housing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Communities Association (WMA)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>eMeter Corporation</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>