July 18, 2012

Brian K. Cherry
Vice President, Regulation and Rates
Pacific Gas and Electric Company
77 Beale Street, Mail Code B10C
P.O. Box 770000
San Francisco, CA  94177

Subject: Submits Notice of Construction, Pursuant to G.O. 131-D, for the Construction of GWF Tracy Interconnection Project, in the County of San Joaquin

Dear Mr. Cherry:

Advice Letter 3928-E is effective November 13, 2011.

Sincerely,

Edward F. Randolph, Director
Energy Division
October 14, 2011

Advice 3928-E  
(Pacific Gas and Electric Company ID U39 E)

Public Utilities Commission of the State of California

Subject: Submits Notice of Construction, Pursuant to General Order 131-D, for the Construction of GWF Tracy Interconnection Project, in the County of San Joaquin

Pacific Gas and Electric Company (“PG&E” or “the Company”) hereby submits notice pursuant to General Order (“G.O.”) 131-D, Section XI, Subsection B.4, of the construction of facilities that are exempt from a Permit to Construct

Purpose

This advice letter provides a copy of the Notice of Proposed Construction (Attachment I) and the Notice Distribution List, which comply with the noticing requirements found in G.O. 131-D, Section XI.

Background

In order to accommodate the GWF Tracy Cogen Project, PG&E is required to reconductor (replace wires) on existing structures on approximately 8,600 feet of the existing Vierra-Tracy-Kasson 115 kV Transmission Line (placing new conductors on supporting structures already built, existing easement). The portion of line to be replaced is located along Highway 5 in San Joaquin County. The Project begins adjacent to Manusco Road, approximately 2,000 feet south of the Interstate 5/Highway 205 interchange and continues north for approximately 8,600 feet, adjacent to Interstate 5, to a tower located adjacent to Highway 5 and Mossdale Road, just south of the Mossdale off-ramp to Interstate 5. Additionally, PG&E will rearrange how the line enters the Tracy GWF Cogen facility (minor relocation of existing power line facilities); the new line arrangement will be supported by three new tubular steel poles immediately south of the facility. Tracy GWF Cogen facility is located south of West Schulte Road, approximately, 1.4 miles east of Hansen Road in San Joaquin County. Construction is tentatively
scheduled to begin in November 2011, or as soon thereafter as possible, and for completion in January 2012, or as soon thereafter as possible.

CPUC General Order 131-D, Section III, Subsection B.1.b., c, and g exempt projects meeting specific conditions from the CPUC’s requirement to file an application requesting authority to construct. The Company believes this project qualifies for the following exemptions:

b. The replacement of existing power line facilities or supporting structures with equivalent facilities or structures,

c. The minor relocation of existing power line facilities up to 2,000 feet in length, or the intersetting of additional support structures between existing support structures, and

g. Power line facilities or substations to be located in an existing franchise road-widening setback easement, or public utility easement; or in a utility corridor designated, precisely mapped and officially adopted pursuant to law by federal, state, or local agencies for which a final Negative Declaration or EIR finds no significant unavoidable environmental impacts.

Additionally, G.O. 131-D requires utilities to employ "no cost" and specified "low cost" measures to reduce public exposure to electric and magnetic fields (EMFs) in accordance with CPUC Decision 06-01-042 and the “EMF Guidelines for Electrical Facilities.” The following measures are proposed for this project:

- Because there are no EMF mitigation measures available on this project that would meet the CPUC’s benchmark of 4% or less of the total project cost, no “no cost” or “low-cost” measures will be employed.

This filing will not increase any rate or charge, cause the withdrawal of service, or conflict with any other rate schedule or rule.

Protests

Anyone wishing to protest this filing may do so by filing a protest with the CPUC and the Company by November 3, 2011, which is 20 days after the date of this filing. Protests should be mailed to the following address:

CPUC Energy Division  
Tariff Files, Room 4005  
DMS Branch  
505 Van Ness Avenue  
San Francisco, California 94102
Copies of protests also should be mailed to the attention of the Director, Energy Division, Room 4004, at the address shown above.

The protest also should be sent via U.S. mail (and by facsimile and electronically, if possible) to PG&E at the address shown below on the same date it is mailed or delivered to the Commission:

David T. Kraska  
Attorney, Law Department  
Pacific Gas and Electric Company  
P.O. Box 7442  
San Francisco, California 94120  
Facsimile: (415) 973-0516

Brian K. Cherry  
Vice President, Regulation and Rates  
Pacific Gas and Electric Company  
P.O. Box 770000, Mail Code B10C  
San Francisco, California 94177  
Facsimile: (415) 973-6520  
E-Mail: PGETariffs@pge.com

Persons or groups may protest the proposed construction if they believe that the Company has incorrectly applied for an exemption or that the conditions set out in Section III.B.2 of G.O. 131-D exist.

Effective Date

The Company requests that this advice filing become effective on November 13, 2011, which is 30 days after the date of filing. (In accordance with G.O. 131-D, construction will not begin until 45 days after notice is first published.)

Notice

A copy of this advice letter is being sent electronically and via U.S. Mail to parties shown on the attached list, including the parties listed in G.O. 131-D, Section XI, Paragraphs B.1 and B.2. These parties are identified in the “Notice Distribution List” included in Attachment I. All electronic approvals should be sent to e-mail
PGETariffs@pge.com. Advice letter filings can also be accessed electronically at http://www.pge.com/tariffs/.

Brian C. Cherry

Vice President - Regulation and Rates

cc: Parties Listed in G.O. 131-D, Paragraphs B.1 and B.2

Attachments
## CALIFORNIA PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

### ADVICE LETTER FILING SUMMARY

**ENERGY UTILITY**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MUST BE COMPLETED BY UTILITY (Attach additional pages as needed)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Company name/CPUC Utility No. <strong>Pacific Gas and Electric Company (ID U39 M)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Utility type:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☑ ELC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ PLC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phone #: (415) 973-4612</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### EXPLANATION OF UTILITY TYPE

ELC = Electric      GAS = Gas  
PLC = Pipeline      HEAT = Heat  
WATER = Water

#### Advice Letter (AL) #: 3928-E  
Tier: N/A

**Subject of AL:** **GWF Tracy Interconnection Project (County of San Joaquin)**

**Keywords (choose from CPUC listing):** Power Lines

AL filing type: ☐ Monthly ☑ Quarterly ☐ Annual ☐ One-Time ☐ Other ________________________________

If AL filed in compliance with a Commission order, indicate relevant Decision/Resolution #: __________________________

Does AL replace a withdrawn or rejected AL? If so, identify the prior AL: No

Summarize differences between the AL and the prior withdrawn or rejected AL:

Is AL requesting confidential treatment?  If so, what information is the utility seeking confidential treatment for:

Confidential information will be made available to those who have executed a nondisclosure agreement: ☐ Yes ☐ No

Name(s) and contact information of the person(s) who will provide the nondisclosure agreement and access to the confidential information:

Resolution Required? ☐ Yes ☑ No

Requested effective date: **November 13, 2011**  
No. of tariff sheets: N/A

Estimated system annual revenue effect (%): N/A

Estimated system average rate effect (%): N/A

When rates are affected by AL, include attachment in AL showing average rate effects on customer classes (residential, small commercial, large C/I, agricultural, lighting).

Tariff schedules affected: N/A

Service affected and changes proposed: N/A

Pending advice letters that revise the same tariff sheets: N/A

Protests, dispositions, and all other correspondence regarding this AL are due no later than 20 days after the date of this filing, unless otherwise authorized by the Commission, and shall be sent to:

**CPUC, Energy Division**

**Tariff Files, Room 4005**

**DMS Branch**

**505 Van Ness Ave.,**

**San Francisco, CA 94102**

**jnj@cpuc.ca.gov and mas@cpuc.ca.gov**

**Pacific Gas and Electric Company**

**Attn: Brian K. Cherry**

**Vice President, Regulation and Rates**

**77 Beale Street, Mail Code B10C**

**P.O. Box 770000**

**San Francisco, CA 94177**

**E-mail: PGETariffs@pge.com**
NOTICE OF PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION

PROJECT NAME: GWF Tracy Interconnection Project, County of San Joaquin
ADVICE LETTER NUMBER: 3928-E

Proposed Project: In order to accommodate the GWF Tracy Cogen Project, Pacific Gas and Electric Company (PG&E) is required to reconductor (replace wires) on existing structures on approximately 8,600 feet of the existing Vierra-Tracy-Kasson 115 kV Transmission Line (placing new conductors on supporting structures already built, existing easement). The portion of line to be replaced is located along Highway 5 in San Joaquin County. The Project begins adjacent to Manusco Road, approximately 2,000 feet south of the Interstate 5/Highway 205 interchange and continues north for approximately 8,600 feet, adjacent to Interstate 5, to a tower located adjacent to Highway 5 and Mossdale Road, just south of the Mossdale off-ramp to Interstate 5. Additionally, PG&E will rearrange how the line enters the Tracy GWF Cogen facility (minor relocation of existing power line facilities); the new line arrangement will be supported by three new tubular steel poles immediately south of the facility. Tracy GWF Cogen facility is located south of West Schulte Road, approximately, 1.4 miles east of Hansen Road in San Joaquin County. Construction is tentatively scheduled to begin in November 2011, or as soon thereafter as possible, and for completion in January 2012, or as soon thereafter as possible.

Exemption from CPUC Permit Requirement: CPUC General Order 131-D, Section III.B.1, exempts projects meeting specific conditions from the CPUC’s requirement to file an application requesting authority to construct. Pacific Gas and Electric Company believes this project qualifies for the following exemption(s):

b) The replacement of existing power line facilities or supporting structures with equivalent facilities or structures.

c) The minor relocation of existing power line facilities up to 2,000 feet in length, or the intersetting of additional support structures between existing support structures.

g) Power line facilities or substations to be located in an existing franchise road-widening setback easement, or public utility easement; or in a utility corridor designated, precisely mapped and officially adopted pursuant to law by federal, state, or local agencies for which a final Negative Declaration or EIR finds no significant unavoidable environmental impacts.

Public Review Process: Persons or groups may protest the proposed construction if they believe that Pacific Gas and Electric Company has incorrectly applied for an exemption or that the conditions set out in Section III.B.2 of General Order 131-D exist;

a. There is reasonable possibility that the activity may have an impact on an environmental resource of hazardous or critical concern where designated, precisely mapped and officially adopted pursuant to law by federal, state, or local agencies; or
b. The cumulative impact of successive projects of the same type in the same place, over time, is significant; or

c. There is a reasonable possibility that the activity will have a significant effect on the environment due to unusual circumstances.

Protests should include the following:

1. Your name, mailing address and daytime telephone number.
2. Reference to the CPUC Advice Letter Number and Project Name.
3. A clear description of the reason for the protest.
4. Whether you believe that evidentiary hearings are necessary to resolve factual disputes.

Protests for this project must be filed by November 3, 2011, at the following address:

Director, Energy Division
California Public Utilities Commission
505 Van Ness Avenue, Fourth Floor
San Francisco, California 94102

With a copy mailed to:

David Kraska, Law Department
Pacific Gas and Electric Company
P.O. Box 7442
San Francisco, California 94120

Pacific Gas and Electric Company must respond within five business days of receipt and serve copies of its response on each protestant and the Energy Division. Within 30 days after Pacific Gas and Electric Company has submitted its response, the Executive Director of the CPUC will send you a copy of an Executive Resolution granting or denying the request and stating the reasons for the decision.

Assistance in Filing a Protest: For assistance in filing a protest, contact the CPUC Public Advisor in San Francisco at (415) 703-2074 or 1-866-849-8390 (toll-free) or TTY (415) 703-5258 or public.advisor@cpuc.ca.gov.

Additional Project Information: To obtain further information on the proposed project, please call Pacific Gas and Electric Company's Project Information Line at (415) 973-5530.
Notice Distribution List

GWF Tracy Interconnection Project, County of San Joaquin
Advice 3928-E

Energy Commission

Ms. Melissa Jones, Executive Director
California Energy Commission
1516 Ninth Street, Mail Stop 39
Sacramento, California 95814

Mr. Terry O’Brien, Deputy Director
California Energy Commission
1516 Ninth Street, Mail Stop 39
Sacramento, California 95814

City/County

Kerry Sullivan, Planning Director
Community Development Department
County of San Joaquin
1810 E. Hazelton Ave.
Stockton, CA 95205

Newspaper

Tracy Press
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Company/Group</th>
<th>Contact/Services</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AT&amp;T</td>
<td>Dept of General Services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alcantar &amp; Kahl LLP</td>
<td>Dougalss &amp; Lidell</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ameresco</td>
<td>Downey &amp; Brand</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anderson &amp; Poole</td>
<td>Duke Energy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arizona Public Service Company</td>
<td>Economic Sciences Corporation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BART</td>
<td>Ellison Schneider &amp; Harris LLP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barkovich &amp; Yap, Inc.</td>
<td>Foster Farms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bloomberg</td>
<td>GLJ Publications</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boston Properties</td>
<td>Goodin, MacBride, Squeri, Schlotz &amp; Ritchie</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Braun Blaising McLaughlin, P.C.</td>
<td>Green Power Institute</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brookfield Renewable Power</td>
<td>Hanna &amp; Morton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CA Bldg Industry Association</td>
<td>Hitachi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CLECA Law Office</td>
<td>In House Energy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSC Energy Services</td>
<td>International Power Technology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>California Cotton Ginners &amp; Growers Assn</td>
<td>Intestate Gas Services, Inc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>California Energy Commission</td>
<td>Lawrence Berkeley National Lab</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>California League of Food Processors</td>
<td>Los Angeles Dept of Water &amp; Power</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>California Public Utilities Commission</td>
<td>Luce, Forward, Hamilton &amp; Scripps LLP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calpine</td>
<td>MAC Lighting Consulting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardinal Cogen</td>
<td>MBMC, Inc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Casner, Steve</td>
<td>MRW &amp; Associates</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chris, King</td>
<td>Manatt Phelps Phillips</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of Palo Alto</td>
<td>McKenzie &amp; Associates</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of Palo Alto Utilities</td>
<td>Merced Irrigation District</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of San Jose</td>
<td>Modesto Irrigation District</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clean Energy Fuels</td>
<td>Morgan Stanley</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coast Economic Consulting</td>
<td>Morrison &amp; Foerster</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commercial Energy</td>
<td>NLine Energy, Inc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consumer Federation of California</td>
<td>NRG West</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crossborder Energy</td>
<td>NaturEner</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Davis Wright Tremaine LLP</td>
<td>Navigant Consulting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day Carter Murphy</td>
<td>Norris &amp; Wong Associates</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Defense Energy Support Center</td>
<td>North America Power Partners</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Department of Water Resources</td>
<td>North Coast SolarResources</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northern California Power Association</td>
<td>Occidental Energy Marketing, Inc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Praxair</td>
<td>OnGrid Solar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R. W. Beck &amp; Associates</td>
<td>Recurrent Energy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RCS, Inc.</td>
<td>SCD Energy Solutions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCE</td>
<td>SMUD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPURR</td>
<td>San Francisco Public Utilities Commission</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seattle City Light</td>
<td>Sempra Utilities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sierra Pacific Power Company</td>
<td>Silicon Valley Power</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silo Energy LLC</td>
<td>Southern California Edison Company</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spark Energy, L.P.</td>
<td>Sun Light &amp; Power</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sunshine Design</td>
<td>Sutherland, Asbill &amp; Brennan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tabsors Caramanis &amp; Associates</td>
<td>Tecogen, Inc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tiger Natural Gas, Inc.</td>
<td>TransCanada</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turlock Irrigation District</td>
<td>United Cogen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Utility Cost Management</td>
<td>Utility Specialists</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Verizon</td>
<td>Wellhead Electric Company</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Western Manufactured Housing</td>
<td>Communities Association (WMA)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>eMeter Corporation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>