December 29, 2010

Jane K. Yura
Vice President, Regulation and Rates
Pacific Gas and Electric Company
77 Beale Street, Mail Code B10B
P.O. Box 770000
San Francisco, CA 94177

Subject: Permanent Closure of PG&E’s Humboldt Bay Power Plant

Dear Ms. Yura:

Advice Letter 3740-E is effective December 16, 2010 per Resolution 4387.

Sincerely,

Julie A. Fitch, Director
Energy Division
October 12, 2010

Advice 3740-E
(Pacific Gas and Electric Company ID U39E)

Public Utilities Commission of the State of California

Subject: Permanent Closure of PG&E’s Humboldt Bay Power Plant

Pursuant to the California Public Utilities Commission’s (Commission or CPUC) Consumer Protection and Safety Division (CPSD) recommendation, Pacific Gas and Electric Company (PG&E) hereby submits for filing an advice letter regarding the permanent shut down of the Humboldt Bay Power Plant.

Purpose

On June 2, 2010, pursuant to Operating Standard 23 of General Order (GO) 167, PG&E provided formal notice to the Commission that it planned to permanently shut down the Humboldt Bay Power Plant in the third quarter of 2010. (See Attachment A.) As the Commission is aware, the Humboldt Bay Power Plant has reached the end of its useful life. PG&E’s notice also advised the Commission that the new fossil fuel generating plant, Humboldt Bay Generating Station, which will use natural gas and diesel more efficiently and with less impact on air quality, was expected to begin commercial operation in the third quarter of 2010.

PG&E believes it has provided adequate notice regarding the Humboldt Bay Power Plant closure, however, pursuant to the CPSD’s recent verbal recommendation, PG&E hereby submits for filing this informational advice letter regarding the permanent shut down of Humboldt Bay Power Plant.

Background

On September 29, 2006, PG&E submitted an Application for Certification to construct and operate the new Humboldt Bay Generating Station to the California Energy Commission (CEC). In September 2008, the CEC approved PG&E’s Application and a Certificate to construct and operate the new project was granted. The Final CEC Decision notes that the new Humboldt Bay Generating Station was being built to replace the existing Humboldt Bay Power Plant. ¹

In the Commission’s Decision (D.) 06-11-048, dated November 30, 2006, the Commissioners granted PG&E’s unopposed request for a certificate of public convenience and necessity (CPCN) for the new Humboldt Bay Generating Station. In this Decision, the Commission acknowledged, among other things, “The facility will replace the existing power plant at Humboldt Bay, which is at the end of its useful life.” ²

Consequently, since 2006, both the CEC and the Commission were cognizant that the Humboldt Bay Power Plant would be retired when the new cleaner and more efficient Humboldt Bay Generating Station came on line.

As noted above, on June 2, 2010, formal notice under GO 167, Operating Standard 23 was provided to the Commission.

PG&E has also notified the California Independent System Operator (CAISO) and Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC) of the retirement of the Humboldt Bay Power Plant. In addition to prior notices, on June 18, 2010, PG&E formally requested that the CAISO agree to modifications to both the Interim Dual Fuel Agreement and the Interim Black Start Agreement (Agreements) to provide for the substitution of the soon-to-be-retired Humboldt Bay Power Plant with the Humboldt Bay Generating Station in those Agreements. (See Attachment B.) Then, on July 29, 2010, PG&E submitted to FERC proposed revisions to both Agreements. On August 19, 2010, CAISO filed with FERC two Motions to Intervene and Comment which supported PG&E’s requested revisions to the Agreements.³ On September 9, 2010 FERC accepted the revised Agreements effective September 27, 2010. (See Attachment C which contains documentation of the events referenced in this paragraph.)

The approval by the Commission of the CPCN for the construction of the Humboldt Bay Generating Station and the revisions to the Agreements discussed above demonstrate that the closure of the Humboldt Bay Power Plant has been reflected in PG&E’s resource planning process.

There are no Section 851 issues related to the closure of the Humboldt Bay Power Plant. Units 1 and 2 will be decommissioned and demolished with any salvage credited to PG&E’s plant accounts. PG&E will retain ownership of the land at the Humboldt Bay Power Plant site.

On September 16, 2010, PG&E filed Advice 3734-E with the Commission regarding PG&E’s proposed inclusion of the new Humboldt Bay Generating Station revenue requirement in the Utility Generation Balancing Account.

---

This filing will not increase any rate or charge, cause the withdrawal of service, or conflict with any rate schedule or rule.

**Protests**

PG&E requests that the protest period be waived as the advice filing’s purpose is to provide notice of permanent closure of PG&E’s Humboldt Bay Power Plant.

**Effective Date**

Similar to Advice 2790-E regarding the permanent closure of the Hunter’s Point Power Plant, which was approved by Resolution E-3984, PG&E understands that a resolution is also required to approve this advice filing per the representation of the CPUC’s CPSD. Therefore, PG&E requests this Tier 3 advice filing become effective on the date of the filing, October 12, 2010.

**Notice**

In accordance with General Order 96-B, Section IV, a copy of this advice letter is being sent electronically and via U.S. mail to parties shown on the attached list. Address changes to the General Order 96-B service list should be directed to email PGETariffs@pge.com. For changes to any other service list, please contact the Commission’s Process Office at (415) 703-2021 or at Process_Office@cpuc.ca.gov. Send all electronic approvals to PGETariffs@pge.com. Advice letter filings can also be accessed electronically at: http://www.pge.com/tariffs

Vice President, Regulation and Rates

**Attachments:**
- Attachment A: June 2, 2010 Notification to CPUC
- Attachment B: June 18, 2010 Letter to CAISO
- Attachment C: Approvals for Revised Interim Dual Fuel and the Interim Black Start Agreements

**cc:**
- Commission President Michael R. Peevey
- Commissioner Nancy E. Ryan
- Commissioner Timothy Alan Simon
- Commissioner Dian M. Grueneich
- Commissioner John A. Bohn
- Mr. Richard Clark, Director – CPSD
- Mr. Mark A. Zeiring, CPSD
- Ms. Charlyn A. Hook, Legal Division
- Ms. Linda Serizawa, DRA
- Ms. Julie Fitch, Energy Division
Company name/CPUC Utility No. **Pacific Gas and Electric Company (ID U39 M)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Utility type:</th>
<th>Contact Person: Olivia Brown</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>☑ ELC</td>
<td>☑ GAS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ PLC</td>
<td>☐ HEAT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ WATER</td>
<td>E-mail: <a href="mailto:oxb4@pge.com">oxb4@pge.com</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**EXPLANATION OF UTILITY TYPE**

| ELC = Electric | GAS = Gas | ☐ PLC = Pipeline | HEAT = Heat | WATER = Water |

Advice Letter (AL) #: 3740-E

**Subject of AL:** Permanent Closure of PG&E’s Humboldt Bay Power Plant

**Keywords** (choose from CPUC listing): Compliance

AL filing type: ☑ Monthly ☐ Quarterly ☐ Annual ☑ One-Time ☐ Other ________________

If AL filed in compliance with a Commission order, indicate relevant Decision/Resolution #: D.06-11-048

Does AL replace a withdrawn or rejected AL? If so, identify the prior AL: No

Summarize differences between the AL and the prior withdrawn or rejected AL: N/A

Is AL requesting confidential treatment? If so, what information is the utility seeking confidential treatment for: No

Confidential information will be made available to those who have executed a nondisclosure agreement: N/A

Name(s) and contact information of the person(s) who will provide the nondisclosure agreement and access to the confidential information: N/A

Resolution Required? ☑ Yes ☐ No

Requested effective date: **October 12, 2010**

Estimated system annual revenue effect (%): N/A

Estimated system average rate effect (%): N/A

When rates are affected by AL, include attachment in AL showing average rate effects on customer classes (residential, small commercial, large C/I, agricultural, lighting). N/A

Tariff schedules affected: N/A

Service affected and changes proposed: N/A

Protests, dispositions, and all other correspondence regarding this AL are due no later than 20 days after the date of this filing, unless otherwise authorized by the Commission, and shall be sent to:

**CPUC, Energy Division**

**Tariff Files, Room 4005**

**DMS Branch**

505 Van Ness Ave., San Francisco, CA 94102

jnj@cpuc.ca.gov and mas@cpuc.ca.gov

**Pacific Gas and Electric Company**

Attn: Jane K. Yura, Vice President, Regulation and Rates

77 Beale Street, Mail Code B10B

P.O. Box 770000

San Francisco, CA 94177

E-mail: PGETariffs@pge.com
ADVICE 3740-E

Attachment A:
June 2, 2010 Notification to CPUC
June 2, 2010

Mr. Paul Clanon, Executive Director  
CALIFORNIA PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION  
505 Van Ness Avenue, 5th Floor  
San Francisco, CA 94102

Re: Planned Permanent Closure of PG&E's Humboldt Bay Power Plant

Dear Mr. Clanon:

This letter provides formal notice to the California Public Utilities Commission ("Commission" or "CPUC") that, pursuant to Operating Standard 23 of General Order 167 ("GO 167"), Pacific Gas and Electric Company ("PG&E") plans to permanently shut down the Humboldt Bay Power Plant in the third quarter of 2010. Operating Standard 23 requires a Generating Asset Owner to notify "the Commission and the Control Area Operator in writing at least ninety days prior to a change in the long-term status of a unit." The notice must include a description of the planned change.

PG&E's Humboldt Bay Power Plant is comprised of two fossil fueled boilers and turbine generator units, producing 50 net megawatts each, and two simple cycle gas turbine driven generators producing 15 net megawatts each. There is also a 65 megawatt nuclear unit presently in decommissioning/dismantlement status. That unit is not addressed in this letter.

A new fossil fueled generating plant, Humboldt Bay Generating Station, is under construction adjacent to Humboldt Bay Power Plant on the same industrial site. This new facility is expected to begin commercial operation in the third quarter of 2010. The new facility will fulfill substantially the same functions as the existing plant. Accordingly, PG&E anticipates that the conditions necessary to permanently shut down Humboldt Bay Power Plant will be met in the third quarter of 2010.

---

1 See CPUC D.06-11-048 http://docs.cpuc.ca.gov/word_PDF/final_decision/62451, Opinion Approving Results Of Long-Term Request For Offers (Nov. 30, 2006), Ordering Paragraphs 1 and 2, p. 45, also see California Energy Commission's September 2008 Final Decision regarding the Humboldt Bay Repowering Project at http://www.energy.ca.gov/2008publications/CEC-800-2008-005/CEC-800-2008-005-CMF
If you have any questions regarding this notification, please contact Annette Faraglia in the Law Department at (415) 973-7145.

Very truly yours,

Randal S. Livingston

cc:  Commission President Michael R. Peevey
     Commissioner Dian M. Grueneich
     Commissioner John A. Bohn
     Commissioner Timothy Alan Simon
     Commissioner Nancy E. Ryan
     Mr. Richard Clark, Director – Consumer Protection & Safety Division
     Mr. Mark A. Zeiring, Consumer Protection & Safety Division
     Charlyn A. Hook, Esq. – Legal Division
     Ms. Linda Serizawa, Division of Ratepayer Advocates
ADVICE 3740-E

Attachment B:
June 18, 2010 Letter to CAISO
June 18, 2010

Mr. Chetty Mamandur  
Director, Reliability and Market Modeling  
California Independent System Operator Corporation  
151 Blue Ravine Road  
Folsom, CA 95630

Dear Mr. Mamandur:

This letter requests that the California Independent System Operator ("CAISO") agree to modifications to Schedule 1 and Schedule 2 of both the Interim Dual Fuel Agreement and Interim Black Start Agreement between the CAISO and Pacific Gas and Electric Company ("PG&E").

As you are aware, construction of the new Humboldt Bay Generating Station ("HBGS") units are nearing completion and the HBGS units will soon start on-line testing. The current expected timeline is to begin on-line testing in mid June with the intent to release 5 units for commercial operations by mid to late July. At this point, one of the existing Humboldt Bay thermal units will be shut down. Testing will continue on the remaining 5 units with the intent that these units would be released for commercial operation by mid September to early October. At that point, the second existing Humboldt Bay thermal unit and two mobile CT units will be shut down.

The HBGS units have both dual fuel capability and black start capability. Therefore, PG&E proposes to modify Schedule 2 of the Interim Dual Fuel Agreement only to substitute the new HBGS units for the two existing Humboldt Bay thermal units, which will be retired. Likewise, PG&E proposes to modify Schedule 2 of the Interim Black Start Agreement only to substitute one of the HBGS resources for the two mobile CT units.

Updates were also made to Schedule 1 of both agreements to update the list of PG&E contacts. The revised schedules are attached to this letter.

PG&E requests the CAISO's written concurrence with these proposed changes. Upon receiving your concurrence, PG&E will file the revised schedules at FERC and seek an effective date for the amendments of the commercial operation date of the HBGS units.

PG&E requests that the CAISO confirm its agreement to the amended schedules for the Interim Dual Fuel Agreement and the Interim Black Start Agreement by e-mailing me a signed copy of this letter at RSL3@pge.com and returning the original, executed letter to me at the above-referenced address.
Please contact Kevin Coffee at 415-973-7631 if you have any questions. Thank you for your assistance.

Sincerely,

Randal S. Livingston

Attachments

cc: Kevin Ballard
    Franz Casosala
    Kevin Coffee
    Laura Douglass
    Gil Grotta (CAISO)
    Alyssa Koo
    Ann Segesman
    Bill Tom

AGREED TO BY:

CALIFORNIA INDEPENDENT SYSTEM OPERATOR CORPORATION

By: ________________________________ Date: __________________
Its: ________________________________
SCHEDULE 1
DUAL FUEL GENERATOR

Company Name: Pacific Gas and Electric Company

Address: 77 Beale Street, San Francisco, California, 94105

Contact Persons:

Kevin Coffee
Manager, Power Trading
Pacific Gas and Electric Co.
P.O. Box 770000, Mail Code N13C
San Francisco, CA 94177
Telephone: 415/973-6950
Facsimile: 415/973-5333
Email: kfc1@pge.com

With a copy to:
Randy Livingston
Vice President, Power Generation
Pacific Gas and Electric Co.
P.O. Box 770000, Mail Code N11E
San Francisco, CA 94177
Telephone: 415/973-6950
Facsimile: 415/973-3967
Email: rsl3@pge.com

With a copy to:
Candice Chan
Director, Energy Contract Management and Settlement
Pacific Gas and Electric Company
P.O. Box 770000, Mail Code N12E
San Francisco, CA 94177
Telephone: 415/973-7780
Facsimile: 415/972-5507
Email: cwws@pge.com

Issued by: DeAnn Hapner, Vice President – FERC and ISO Relations
Issued on: December 7, 2006

Effective: January 1, 2007
**SCHEDULE 2**

**DUAL FUEL FACILITIES**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Facility/Unit</th>
<th>HBGS unit 1</th>
<th>HBGS unit 2</th>
<th>HBGS unit 3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ISO Identification No.</td>
<td>HUMBPP 6 UNITS1</td>
<td>HUMBPP 6 UNITS2</td>
<td>HUMBPP 1 UNIT TS3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control Room Phone No.</td>
<td>707-269-1800</td>
<td>707-269-1800</td>
<td>707-269-1800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dependable Operating Capacity (MW)</td>
<td>48.81</td>
<td>48.81</td>
<td>65.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary Fuel Type</td>
<td>Natural Gas</td>
<td>Natural Gas</td>
<td>Natural Gas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secondary Fuel Type</td>
<td>Diesel</td>
<td>Diesel</td>
<td>Diesel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum Operating Level (MW)</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Power Factor Range (lead to lag)</td>
<td>0.95 to 0.90</td>
<td>0.95 to 0.90</td>
<td>0.95 to 0.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum Reactive Power Leading (MVar)</td>
<td>16.3</td>
<td>16.3</td>
<td>16.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Load at Maximum MVar Leading (MW)</td>
<td>48.81</td>
<td>48.81</td>
<td>65.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum Reactive Power Lagging (MVar)</td>
<td>23.7</td>
<td>23.7</td>
<td>31.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Load at Maximum MVar Leading (MW)</td>
<td>48.81</td>
<td>48.81</td>
<td>65.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ramp Point 1 - MW, Min MW/min., Max MW/min.</td>
<td>12.1, 2</td>
<td>12.1, 2</td>
<td>12.1, 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ramp Point 2 - MW, Min MW/min., Max MW/min.</td>
<td>48.81, 3, 6</td>
<td>48.81, 3, 6</td>
<td>65.08, 4, 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outside Fuel Storage</td>
<td>640,000 gallons</td>
<td>640,000 gallons</td>
<td>640,000 gallons</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

2 Minimum safe operation of this resource taking into account any operational constraints.

3 HBGS units 1, 2 and 3 share the onsite fuel oil inventory. Expected inventory between 320,000 gallons and 340,000 gallons, enough for 3 days operations on Diesel.

Issued by: DeAnn Hapner, Vice President – FERC and ISO Relations
Issued on: December 7, 2006
SCHEDULE 1
BLACK START GENERATOR

Company Name: Pacific Gas and Electric Company
Address: 77 Beale Street, San Francisco, California, 94105

Contact Persons:

Kevin Coffee
Manager, Power Trading
Pacific Gas and Electric Co.
P.O. Box 770000, Mail Code N13C
San Francisco, CA 94177
Telephone: 415/973-6960
Facsimile: 415/973-5333
Email: kfc1@pge.com

With a copy to:
Randy Livingston
Vice President, Power Generation
Pacific Gas and Electric Co.
P.O. Box 770000, Mail Code N11E
San Francisco, CA 94177
Telephone: 415/973-6950
Facsimile: 415/973-3967
Email: rsl3@pge.com

With a copy to:
Candice Chan
Director, Energy Contract Management and Settlement
Pacific Gas and Electric Company
P.O. Box 770000, Mail Code N12E
San Francisco, CA 94177
Telephone: 415/973-7781
Facsimile: 415/972-5507
Email: cwv8@pge.com

Issued by: DeAnn Hapner, Vice President – FERC and ISO Relations
Issued on: December 7, 2006
Effective: January 1, 2007
# SCHEDULE 2

## BLACK START FACILITIES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Facility</th>
<th>Kings River</th>
<th>Kings River</th>
<th>Kings River</th>
<th>Kings River</th>
<th>Kings River</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Unit</td>
<td>Balch 2-2</td>
<td>Balch 2-3</td>
<td>Haas 1</td>
<td>Haas 2</td>
<td>Kings River</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum Net Dependable Capacity (MW)</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>54.6</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum Operating Level (MW)&lt;sup&gt;1&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ramp Rate (MW/min)&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>17.66</td>
<td>17.2</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>7.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum Reactive Power Leading (MVAR)&lt;sup&gt;3&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>-12</td>
<td>-12</td>
<td>-22</td>
<td>-22</td>
<td>-12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum Reactive Power Lagging (MVAR)</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Load at Maximum MVar Leading (MW)</td>
<td>52.5</td>
<td>54.6</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Load at Maximum MVar Lagging (MW)</td>
<td>52.5</td>
<td>54.6</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Start-up Lead Time (min.)&lt;sup&gt;4&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum Starts/Event&lt;sup&gt;5&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The duration of sustained load upon black start is dependent on water availability.

---

<sup>1</sup> Minimum safe operation of this resource taking into account any operational constraints.

<sup>2</sup> Minimum manual ramp rate for the resource – it should not be implied that the resource can ramp at this rate over its entire load range.

<sup>3</sup> Reactive capabilities are a function of voltage and load requirements – it should not be implied that the reactive capability can achieve these limits under every condition.

<sup>4</sup> Time from notification to synchronization. Start-up Lead Time may be specified as “Normal work hours / Outside normal work hours” as applicable.

<sup>5</sup> Additional starts will be attempted only to the extent that resources are available.
**SCHEDULE 2 (continued)**

**BLACK START FACILITIES**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Facility</th>
<th>San Joaquin</th>
<th>HBGS unit 1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Unit</td>
<td>Kerckhoff 2</td>
<td>HUMBPP 6 UNITS 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum Net Dependable Capacity (MW)</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>48.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum Operating Level (MW)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ramp Rate (MW/min)²</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum Reactive Power Leading (MVAR)³</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>8.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum Reactive Power Lagging (MVAR)</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>7.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Load at Maximum MVar Leading (MW)</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>16.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Load at Maximum MVar Lagging (MW)</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>16.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Start-up Lead Time (min.)⁴</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum Starts/Event⁵</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 Minimum safe operation of this resource taking into account any operational constraints.

2 Minimum manual ramp rate for the resource – it should not be implied that the resource can ramp at this rate over its entire load range.

3 Reactive capabilities are a function of voltage and load requirements – it should not be implied that the reactive capability can achieve these limits under every condition.

4 Time from notification to synchronization.

5 Additional starts will be attempted only to the extent that resources are available.

Issued by: DeAnn Hapner, Vice President – FERC and ISO Relations

Issued on: December 7, 2006
ADVICE 3740-E

Attachment C:
Approvals for Revised Interim Dual Fuel and the Interim Black Start Agreements
Dear Ms. Koo:


This filing was noticed on July 30, 2010, with comments, protests, or motions to intervene due on or before August 19, 2010. No protests or comments were filed. Notices of intervention and unopposed timely filed motions to intervene are granted pursuant to the operation of Rule 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 C.F.R. § 385.214). Any opposed or untimely filed motion to intervene is governed by the provisions of Rule 214.

---

This action does not constitute approval of any service, rate, charge, classification, or any rule, regulation, contract, or practice affecting such rate or service provided for in the filed documents; nor shall such action be deemed as recognition of any claimed contractual right or obligation affecting or relating to such service or rate; and such action is without prejudice to any findings or orders which have been or may hereafter be made by the Commission in any proceeding now pending or hereafter instituted by or against your company.

This action is taken pursuant to authority delegated to the Director, Division of Electric Power Regulation - West, under 18 C.F.R. § 375.307. This order constitutes final agency action. Requests for rehearing by the Commission may be filed within 30 days of the date of issuance of this order, pursuant to 18 C.F.R. § 385.713.

Sincerely,

Steve P. Rodgers, Director
Division of Electric Power Regulation – West

cc: All Parties
Dear Ms. Koo:

On July 29, 2010, Pacific Gas and Electric Company (PG&E) submitted for filing revisions to the Interim Dual Fuel Agreement (Dual Fuel Agreement) with the California Independent System Operator Corporation to account for the replacement of the old units at the Humboldt Bay Power Plant with the recently installed Humboldt Bay Generating Station (HBGS). Waiver of the Commission’s notice requirements pursuant to section 35.11 of the Commission's regulations (18 C.F.R. § 35.11) is granted,¹ and the revised dual fuel agreement is accepted, effective September 27, 2010.

This filing was noticed on July 30, 2010, with comments, protests, or motions to intervene due on or before August 19, 2010. No protests or comments were filed. Notices of intervention and unopposed timely filed motions to intervene are granted pursuant to the operation of Rule 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 C.F.R. § 385.214). Any opposed or untimely filed motion to intervene is governed by the provisions of Rule 214.

Docket No. ER10-2055-000

This action does not constitute approval of any service, rate, charge, classification, or any rule, regulation, contract, or practice affecting such rate or service provided for in the filed documents; nor shall such action be deemed as recognition of any claimed contractual right or obligation affecting or relating to such service or rate; and such action is without prejudice to any findings or orders which have been or may hereafter be made by the Commission in any proceeding now pending or hereafter instituted by or against PG&E.

This action is taken pursuant to authority delegated to the Director, Division of Electric Power Regulation - West, under 18 C.F.R. § 375.307. This order constitutes final agency action. Requests for rehearing by the Commission may be filed within 30 days of the date of issuance of this order, pursuant to 18 C.F.R. § 385.713.

Sincerely,

Steve P. Rodgers, Director
Division of Electric Power Regulation – West
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Anderson & Poole
Arizona Public Service Company
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BP Energy Company
Barkovich & Yap, Inc.
Bartle Wells Associates
Bloomberg
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Boston Properties
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Brookfield Renewable Power
CA Bldg Industry Association
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MAC Lighting Consulting
MBMC, Inc.
MRW & Associates
Manatt Phelps Phillips
McKenzie & Associates
Merced Irrigation District
Modesto Irrigation District
Morgan Stanley
Morrison & Foerster
NLine Energy, Inc.
NRG West
Navigant Consulting
Norris & Wong Associates
North America Power Partners
North Coast Solar Resources
Northern California Power Association
Occidental Energy Marketing, Inc.
OnGrid Solar
Praxair
R. W. Beck & Associates
RCS, Inc.
Recon Research
Recurrent Energy
SCD Energy Solutions
SCE
SMUD
SPURR
San Francisco Public Utilities Commission
Santa Fe Jets
Seattle City Light
Sempra Utilities
Sierra Pacific Power Company
Silicon Valley Power
Silo Energy LLC
Southern California Edison Company
Spark Energy, L.P.
Sunshine Design
Sutherland, Asbill & Brennan
Tabors Caramanis & Associates
Tecogen, Inc.
Tiger Natural Gas, Inc.
Tioga Energy
TransCanada
Turlock Irrigation District
United Cogen
Utility Cost Management
Utility Specialists
Verizon
Wellhead Electric Company
Western Manufactured Housing
Communities Association (WMA)
eMeter Corporation