October 1, 2010

Jane K. Yura, Vice President, Regulation and Rates
Pacific Gas and Electric Company
77 Beale Street, Mail Code B10B
PO Box 770000
San Francisco, California 94177

Subject: Pacific Gas and Electric Company’s Advice Letter 3691-E, as supplemented by AL 3691-E-A, requesting approval of implementation and administration details for Pacific Gas and Electric’s Utility-Owned Generation Solar Photovoltaic Program.

Dear Ms. Yura:

The Energy Division has determined that Pacific Gas and Electric’s (PG&E’s) Advice Letter (AL) 3691-E, as supplemented by AL 3691-E-A, is in compliance with Decision (D.)10-04-052 and is effective October, 2010.

D.10-04-052 adopted a five-year, solar photovoltaic program (PVP), which in part authorized PG&E to build, own and operate up to 250 megawatts of solar photovoltaic systems. The Decision also authorized PG&E to enter into contracts with independent power producers for an additional 250 megawatts. Pursuant to D.10-04-052, PG&E filed AL 3691-E to request approval of implementation and administration details for the utility-owned generation (UOG) portion of the PVP. On July 12, 2010, the Division of Ratepayer Advocates (DRA) submitted a protest to AL 3691-E. On July 19, 2010, PG&E filed a reply to DRA’s protest. On September 14, 2010, PG&E submitted supplemental AL 3691-E-A, which addressed certain issues raised in DRA’s protest. These issues are discussed below.

The first issue raised in DRA’s protest was that “With all other factors being equal, the Commission should order PG&E to identify, assess and favor projects located in the California Independent System Operator (CAISO) Local Capacity Requirements (LCR) need areas over projects elsewhere given that UOG solar PV projects in the need areas would provide additional value for PG&E customers.” D.10-04-052 ordered PG&E to identify a process for identifying preferred locations for project development to optimize the locational value of project sites, including impacts on neighboring lands. In AL 3691-E, PG&E identifies a multi-step process for maximizing the locational value of project sites. In AL 3691-E-A, PG&E addressed DRA’s concerns and clarifies its intent to use the LCR designation as a tie-breaker if all other selection criteria are equal.

The second issue raised by DRA was that the “Commission should order PG&E to offer ratepayers the same Resource Adequacy (RA) protections and benefits that it receives from Independent Power Producers (IPP) as a result of contract provisions in the power purchase agreements (PPAs) for PG&E’s solar PV Program.” In AL 3691-E-A, PG&E addresses DRA’s concern and states that RA credit is not currently available to these types of projects, but will
seek RA credit for these projects if changes to RA rules allow these projects to receive credit in the future.

The third issue raised by DRA was that the Commission rejected the front-loading of project deployment in earlier years and “should require that PG&E submit a Tier Three Advice Letter and receive Commission approval before contracting with independent suppliers for multiple year module solar PV purchases as PG&E proposes to do in AL 3691-E.” In D.10-04-052, the Commission rejected front-loading the megawatts deployed in the PVP, so that PG&E could take advantage of future price reductions. In its reply comments, PG&E states that it will compare the prices of its multiple year module purchase options to current year module prices. It will then choose the lower priced modules. Entering into multi-year module purchase options does not constitute front-loading the program as described in D.10-04-052, and DRA’s argument is rejected.

D.10-04-052 is the Commission’s decision on PG&E’s Application (A.)09-02-019 that adopted the PVP. The requested relief sought in AL 3691-E, as supplemented by AL 3691-E-A, complies with D.10-04-052 and sufficiently addresses concerns raised by DRA in its protest to AL 3691-E. Accordingly, DRA’s protest is denied without prejudice. PG&E’s AL 3691-E as, supplemented by AL 3691-E-A, is effective September 27, 2010.

Please contact Amy Baker of the Energy Division staff at 415-703-1691 (ab1@cpuc.ca.gov) if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

Julie Fitch, Director
Energy Division

cc: Cynthia Walker, Program Manager
Division of Ratepayer Advocates
September 14, 2010

Advice 3691-E-A
(Pacific Gas and Electric Company ID U39 E)

Public Utilities Commission of the State of California

Subject: Supplement to Advice Letter 3691-E Requesting Approval of Implementation and Administration Details for Pacific Gas and Electric’s Utility-Owned Generation Solar Photovoltaic Program

Purpose:

Pacific Gas and Electric Company (“PG&E”) hereby submits this supplement to Advice Letter 3691-E regarding the implementation and administration details of its Utility-Owned Generation (“UOG”) Solar Photovoltaic (“PV”) Program. In this supplement, PG&E clarifies its intent to seek Resource Adequacy (“RA”) credit for its UOG PV Projects and the role the California Independent System Operator (“CAISO”) Local Capacity Requirement (“LCR”) need area requirements play in the site selection process.

Background:

On June 21, 2010, PG&E filed Advice Letter 3691-E detailing the implementation and administration details of the UOG portion of its PV Program. This was in compliance of California Public Utilities Commission (“CPUC”) Decision (“D.”) 10-04-052, which approved PG&E’s PV Program. On July 12, 2010, the Division of Ratepayer Advocates (“DRA”) protested PG&E’s advice letter, recommending PG&E be required to agree to the same RA-related requirements that it demands of its counterparties in Power Purchase Agreements1 and to select project sites located in CAISO LCR need areas assuming all other factors equal.2 On July 19, 2010, PG&E addressed DRA’s concerns in its response to protests. PG&E is supplementing its advice letter to add further clarity to its position on the aforementioned issues raised by DRA.

1 See DRA Protest at 1-2.
2 See id. at 1.
Resource Adequacy

RA credit is not currently available for projects, like the PV UOG facilities, that interconnect to the grid using the Small Generator Interconnection Program ("SGIP"). However, PG&E fully intends to take reasonable actions necessary to secure RA credit for its PV UOG facilities to the extent the RA program rules change in the future. Thus, PG&E has no opposition to DRA's general request that PG&E pursue such RA credit if and when it becomes available. PG&E will take all reasonable steps to ensure that PV UOG facilities’ capacity is able to be recognized and counted as RA capacity under any future changes in the RA Program rules.

Role of LCR Need Areas in Site Selection

PG&E listed in Advice Letter 3691-E ten location-specific criteria that it has used, and is continuing to use, to select candidate sites for PV UOG facilities. Among these are the cost of interconnection, substation capacity, and local transmission capacity. Each of these factors favors project sites that would reduce or eliminate the need for costly upgrades to the transmission and distribution system. Indeed, the PV Program as a whole was proposed in part because distributed generation may reduce the transmission-related delay and cost related to bringing new sources of renewable power to load centers. Accordingly, PG&E has proposed appropriate siting criteria to optimize the locational value of sites and to reduce potential costs related to transmission upgrades.

DRA’s recommendation stems from its desire to ensure that PV UOG projects optimize the locational value of sites, including the ability to postpone or eliminate transmission system upgrades. Since PG&E and DRA share the general concern of capturing any locational value of PG&E UOG PV projects, PG&E will use the LCR designation as a tie breaker when selecting sites, when and if all other variables of site selection criteria are equal.

Effective Date

PG&E requests that Advice Letters 3691-E and 3691-E-A be approved on September 14, 2010, the date of this filing.

---

3 See Advice Letter 3691-E at 8.
**Protests**

Because this supplement is being filed to clarify PG&E’s advice letter, PG&E requests that the comment period be waived. Parties had the opportunity to protest the original advice filing and this supplement only addresses the protest received.

**Notice**

In accordance with General Order 96-B, Section IV, a copy of this Advice Letter is being sent electronically and via U.S. mail to parties shown on the attached service list and the service lists for R.08-08-009 and A.09-02-019. Address changes to the General Order 96-B service list should be directed to e-mail PGETariffs@pge.com. For changes to any other service list, please contact the Commission’s Process Office at (415) 973-2021 or at Process.Office@cpuc.ca.gov. Send all electronic approvals to PGETariffs@pge.com. Advice letter filings can also be accessed electronically at: http://www.pge.com/tariffs.

Jane K. Yura  
Vice President – Regulation and Rates

cc: Service List for R.08-08-009  
    Service List for A.09-02-019  
    Sean Simon – Energy Division  
    Amy Baker – Energy Division

Attachments
CALIFORNIA PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

ADVICE LETTER FILING SUMMARY
ENERGY UTILITY

MUST BE COMPLETED BY UTILITY (Attach additional pages as needed)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Company name/CPUC Utility No.</th>
<th>Pacific Gas and Electric Company (ID U39 M)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Utility type:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ ELC</td>
<td>☑ GAS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ PLC</td>
<td>☐ HEAT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ WATER</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contact Person:</td>
<td>Linda Tom-Martinez</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phone #:</td>
<td>(415) 973-4612</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E-mail:</td>
<td><a href="mailto:lmt1@pge.com">lmt1@pge.com</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

EXPLANATION OF UTILITY TYPE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ELC = Electric</th>
<th>GAS = Gas</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PLC = Pipeline</td>
<td>HEAT = Heat</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WATER = Water</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Advice Letter (AL) #: 3691-E-A
Tier: 2
Subject of AL: Supplemental Filing -Request for Approval of Implementation and Administration Details for Pacific Gas and Electric’s Utility-Owned Generation Solar Photovoltaic Program

Keywords (choose from CPUC listing): Compliance, UEG/Utility Electric Generation

AL filing type: ☐ Monthly ☑ Quarterly ☐ Annual ☐ One-Time ☐ Other

If AL filed in compliance with a Commission order, indicate relevant Decision/Resolution #: D.10-04-052

Does AL replace a withdrawn or rejected AL? If so, identify the prior AL: No

Summarize differences between the AL and the prior withdrawn or rejected AL: N/A

Is AL requesting confidential treatment? If so, what information is the utility seeking confidential treatment for: No

Confidential information will be made available to those who have executed a nondisclosure agreement: ☐ Yes ☐ No

Name(s) and contact information of the person(s) who will provide the nondisclosure agreement and access to the confidential information: No

Resolution Required? ☐ Yes ☑ No

Requested effective date: September 14, 2010

Estimated system annual revenue effect (%): N/A

Estimated system average rate effect (%): N/A

When rates are affected by AL, include attachment in AL showing average rate effects on customer classes (residential, small commercial, large C/I, agricultural, lighting).

Tariff schedules affected: N/A

Service affected and changes proposed: N/A

Pending advice letters that revise the same tariff sheets: N/A

Protests, dispositions, and all other correspondence regarding this AL are due no later than 20 days after the date of this filing, unless otherwise authorized by the Commission, and shall be sent to:

CPUC, Energy Division
Tariff Files, Room 4005
DMS Branch
505 Van Ness Ave.,
San Francisco, CA 94102

jnj@cpuc.ca.gov and mas@cpuc.ca.gov

Pacific Gas and Electric Company
Attn: Jane Yura
Vice President, Regulation and Rates
77 Beale Street, Mail Code B10B
P.O. Box 770000
San Francisco, CA 94177
E-mail: PGETariffs@pge.com
PG&E Gas and Electric
Advice Filing List
General Order 96-B, Section IV

Alcantar & Kahl
Ameresco
Anderson & Poole
Arizona Public Service Company
BART
BP Energy Company
Barkovich & Yap, Inc.
Bartle Wells Associates
Bloomberg New Energy Finance
Boston Properties
Brookfield Renewable Power
C & H Sugar Co.
CA Bldg Industry Association
CAISO
CLECA Law Office
CSC Energy Services
California Cotton Ginners & Growers Assn
California Energy Commission
California League of Food Processors
California Public Utilities Commission
Calpine
Cameron McKenna
Cardinal Cogen
Casner, Steve
Chris, King
City of Glendale
City of Palo Alto
Clean Energy Fuels
Coast Economic Consulting
Commerce Energy
Commercial Energy
Consumer Federation of California
Crossborder Energy
Davis Wright Tremaine LLP
Day Carter Murphy
Defence Energy Support Center

Department of Water Resources
Dept of the Army
Dept of General Services
Division of Business Advisory Services
Douglas & Liddell
Downey & Brand
Duke Energy
Dutchers, John
Economic Sciences Corporation
Ellison Schneider & Harris LLP
Foster Farms
G. A. Krause & Assoc.
GLJ Publications
Goodin, MacBride, Squier, Schlotz & Ritchie
Green Power Institute
Hanna & Morton
Hitachi
International Power Technology
Interstate Gas Services, Inc.
Lawrence Berkeley National Lab
Los Angeles Dept of Water & Power
Luce, Forward, Hamilton & Scripps LLP
MAC Lighting Consulting
MBMC, Inc.
MRW & Associates
Manatt Phelps Phillips
McKenzie & Associates
Merced Irrigation District
Mirant
Modesto Irrigation District
Morgan Stanley
Morrison & Foerster
NRG West
New United Motor Mfg., Inc.
Norris & Wong Associates
North America Power Partners
North Coast Solar Resources

Northern California Power Association
Occidental Energy Marketing, Inc.
OnGrid Solar
Praxair
R. W. Beck & Associates
RCS, Inc.
Recon Research
Recurrent Energy
SCD Energy Solutions
SCE
SMUD
SPURR
San Francisco Public Utilities Commission
Santa Fe Jets
Seattle City Light
Sempra Utilities
Sierra Pacific Power Company
Silicon Valley Power
Silo Energy LLC
Southern California Edison Company
Sunshine Design
Sutherland, Asbill & Brennan
Tabors Caramanis & Associates
Tecogen, Inc.
Tiger Natural Gas, Inc.
Tioga Energy
TransCanada
Turlock Irrigation District
U S Borax, Inc.
United Cogen
Utility Cost Management
Utility Specialists
Verizon
Wellhead Electric Company
Western Manufactured Housing
Communities Association (WMA)
eMeter Corporation