January 8, 2010

Brian K. Cherry  
Vice President, Regulatory Relations  
Pacific Gas and Electric Company  
77 Beale Street, Mail Code B10C  
P.O. Box 770000  
San Francisco, CA 94177

Subject: Add Twelve New Sites to Hazardous Substance Mechanism

Dear Mr. Cherry:

Advice Letter 3067-G/3565-E is effective December 30, 2009.

Sincerely,

Julie A. Fitch, Director  
Energy Division
November 30, 2009

Advice 3067-G/3565-E
(Pacific Gas and Electric Company ID U 39 M)

Public Utilities Commission of the State of California

Subject: Add Twelve New Sites to Hazardous Substance Mechanism

Purpose

Pacific Gas & Electric Company (PG&E) hereby requests Commission approval to include twelve additional sites in the Hazardous Substance Cost Recovery Account as referenced within Gas Preliminary Statement Part AN and Electric Preliminary Statement Part S, *Hazardous Substance*, in compliance with Decision (D.) 94-05-020. A description of each site is set forth in Attachment I to this filing. The sites are as follows:

**Northern Area Hydroelectric Facilities**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site Name</th>
<th>Location</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kilarc Hydroelectric Generation Facility</td>
<td>Shasta County, California</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Central Area Hydroelectric Facilities**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site Name</th>
<th>Location</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Deer Creek Hydroelectric Generation Facility</td>
<td>Nevada County, California</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electra Hydroelectric Generation Facility</td>
<td>Amador County, California</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phoenix Hydroelectric Generation Facility</td>
<td>Tuolumne County, California</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salt Springs Hydroelectric Generation Facility</td>
<td>Amador County, California</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spaulding Hydroelectric Generation Facility</td>
<td>Nevada County, California</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spring Gap Hydroelectric Generation Facility</td>
<td>Tuolumne County, California</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tiger Creek Hydroelectric Generation Facility</td>
<td>Amador County, California</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electra Hydroelectric Generation Facility</td>
<td>Amador County, California</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phoenix Hydroelectric Generation Facility</td>
<td>Tuolumne County, California</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salt Springs Hydroelectric Generation Facility</td>
<td>Amador County, California</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Southern Area Hydroelectric Facilities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site Name</th>
<th>Location</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Balch Hydroelectric Generation Facility</td>
<td>Fresno County, California</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crane Valley Hydroelectric Generation Facility</td>
<td>Madera County, California</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Helms Hydroelectric Generation Facility</td>
<td>Fresno County, California</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Background**

D.94-05-020 requires California utilities to file an advice letter in order to include additional sites as part of the Hazardous Substance Mechanism. For each site the advice letter shall list: 1) the name of the site(s); 2) the location of the site(s); 3) the source, nature and approximate date of the contamination; 4) utility operations (historical and current) at the site(s), if any; and 5) environmental agency actions and oversight regarding the site(s), if any. In addition, D. 96-07-016 requires utilities to demonstrate that: 1) clean-up costs for which recovery is being sought are not being recovered through base rates or through any other recovery procedure, and 2) all of the costs for which recovery is being sought are hazardous waste clean-up costs (including insurance costs) found appropriate for recovery in the Collaborative Report.

**Protests**

Anyone wishing to protest this filing may do so by sending a letter by **December 21, 2009**, which is 21 days from the date of this filing.* The protest must state the grounds upon which it is based, including such items as financial and service impact, and should be submitted expeditiously. Protests should be mailed to:

CPUC Energy Division  
Attention: Tariff Unit, 4th Floor  
505 Van Ness Avenue  
San Francisco, California 94102

Facsimile: (415) 703-2200  
E-mail: mas@cpuc.ca.gov and jnj@cpuc.ca.gov

Copies should also be mailed to the attention of the Director, Energy Division, Room 4005 and Honesto Gatchalian, Energy Division, at the address shown above. It is also requested that a copy of the protest be sent via postal mail and

---

* The 20-day protest period concludes on a weekend. PG&E is hereby moving this date to the following business day.
facsimile to Pacific Gas and Electric Company on the same date it is mailed or delivered to the Commission at the address shown below:

Pacific Gas and Electric Company  
Attention: Brian K. Cherry  
Vice President, Regulatory Relations  
77 Beale Street, Mail Code B10C  
P.O. Box 770000  
San Francisco, California 94177  
Facsimile: (415) 973-7226  
E-mail: PG&ETariffs@pge.com

**Effective Date**

PG&E requests that this advice filing become effective on **December 30, 2009**, which is 30 days after the date of filing. This Advice Letter is submitted as a Tier 2 filing.

**Notice**

In accordance with General Order 96-B, Section IV, a copy of this advice letter is being sent electronically and via U.S. mail to parties shown on the attached list. Address changes and approval letters should be directed to e-mail PGETariffs@pge.com. Advice letter filings can also be accessed electronically at: http://www.pge.com/tariffs/.

Signed: Brian Cherry

Vice President - Regulatory Relations

Attachment – Site Descriptions
CALIFORNIA PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

ADVICE LETTER FILING SUMMARY

ENERGY UTILITY

MUST BE COMPLETED BY UTILITY (Attach additional pages as needed)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Company name/CPUC Utility No.</th>
<th>Pacific Gas and Electric Company (ID U39 M)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Utility type:</td>
<td>Contact Person: Linda Tom-Martinez</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☑ ELC ☑ GAS ☐ PLC ☐ HEAT ☐ WATER</td>
<td>Phone #: (415) 973-4612 E-mail: <a href="mailto:lmt1@pge.com">lmt1@pge.com</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>EXPLANATION OF UTILITY TYPE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ELC = Electric</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GAS = Gas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PLC = Pipeline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HEAT = Heat</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WATER = Water</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Advice Letter (AL) #: 3067-G/3565-E       Tier: 2

Subject of AL: **Add Twelve New Sites to Hazardous Substance Mechanism**

Keywords (choose from CPUC listing): HSM

AL filing type: ☐ Monthly ☐ Quarterly ☐ Annual ☑ One-Time ☐ Other _____________

If AL filed in compliance with a Commission order, indicate relevant Decision/Resolution #: D.94-05-020

Does AL replace a withdrawn or rejected AL? If so, identify the prior AL: No

Summarize differences between the AL and the prior withdrawn or rejected AL: ________________

Is AL requesting confidential treatment? If so, what information is the utility seeking confidential treatment for:

Confidential information will be made available to those who have executed a nondisclosure agreement: ☐ Yes ☐ No

Name(s) and contact information of the person(s) who will provide the nondisclosure agreement and access to the confidential information: ________________________________________________________________

Resolution Required? ☐ Yes ☒ No

Requested effective date: **December 30, 2009**

No. of tariff sheets: N/A

Estimated system annual revenue effect (%): N/A

Estimated system average rate effect (%): N/A

When rates are affected by AL, include attachment in AL showing average rate effects on customer classes (residential, small commercial, large C/I, agricultural, lighting).

Tariff schedules affected: N/A

Service affected and changes proposed: N/A

Pending advice letters that revise the same tariff sheets: N/A

Protests, dispositions, and all other correspondence regarding this AL are due no later than 20 days after the date of this filing, unless otherwise authorized by the Commission, and shall be sent to:

**CPUC, Energy Division**

**Tariff Files, Room 4005**

**DMS Branch**

505 Van Ness Ave.,
San Francisco, CA 94102

jnj@cpuc.ca.gov and mas@cpuc.ca.gov

**Pacific Gas and Electric Company**

**Attn: Brian K. Cherry**

**Vice President, Regulatory Relations**

77 Beale Street, Mail Code B10C

P.O. Box 770000

San Francisco, CA 94177

E-mail: PGETariffs@pge.com
Site Name: Kilarc Hydroelectric Generation Facility

Location: East side of the North Fork Cow Creek
Shasta County, California

Source, Nature, and Approximate Date of Contamination: An environmental site assessment performed in August 1997 identified historical operations within the FERC boundary at PG&E’s Kilarc Hydroelectric Generation Facility (the Facility) that may have resulted in a release of fuel hydrocarbons, PCBs, lead from lead-based paint and other chemicals.

Utility Operations at the Site: The Kilarc Hydroelectric Generation Facility was constructed by Northern California Power Company in 1903 and 1904. PG&E acquired the facility in 1919. Kilarc operates under FERC license number 606. Site facilities include the powerhouse and the switchyard, ancillary facilities and a residence for employees, located just outside of the Facility.

Environmental Agency Actions: No agency is involved to date.

Nature of Costs: The Kilarc cleanup costs for which PG&E is seeking HSM recovery are not being recovered in base rates or through any other recovery procedure. All cleanup costs to be recorded by PG&E in the HSM memorandum accounts will be hazardous substance cleanup costs found appropriate for recovery in the Hazardous Substance Cleanup Cost Recovery Collaborative Report.
Site Name: Deer Creek Hydroelectric Generation Facility

Location: Powerhouse Road, South Fork Deer Creek
         Nevada County, California

Source, Nature, and Approximate Date of Contamination: A Phase I environmental site assessment performed in August 1997 identified historical operations within the FERC boundary at PG&E’s Deer Creek Hydroelectric Generation Facility that may have resulted in a release of fuel hydrocarbons, PCBs, lead from lead-based paint and other chemicals.

Utility Operations at the Site: The Deer Creek Hydroelectric Generation Facility was commissioned in 1908 and operates under FERC license number 2310. Site facilities include the powerhouse and the switchyard, ancillary structures and a residence for employees.

Environmental Agency Actions: No agency is involved to date.

Nature of Costs: The Deer Creek cleanup costs for which PG&E is seeking HSM recovery are not being recovered in base rates or through any other recovery procedure. All cleanup costs to be recorded by PG&E in the HSM memorandum accounts will be hazardous substance cleanup costs found appropriate for recovery in the Hazardous Substance Cleanup Cost Recovery Collaborative Report.
Site Name: Drum Hydroelectric Generation Facility

Location: Powerhouse Road, Bear River
Nevada County, California

Source, Nature, and Approximate Date of Contamination: A Phase I environmental site assessment performed in August 1997 identified historical operations within the FERC boundary at PG&E’s Drum Hydroelectric Generation Facility that may have resulted in a release of fuel hydrocarbons, PCBs, lead from lead-based paint and other chemicals.

Utility Operations at the Site: The Drum Hydroelectric Generation Facility was first commissioned in 1913 (Powerhouse 1) and operates under FERC license number 2310. Drum 2 Powerhouse was constructed in 1965. Site facilities include the powerhouse and the switchyard, ancillary structures and residences for employees.

Environmental Agency Actions: No agency is involved to date.

Nature of Costs: The Drum cleanup costs for which PG&E is seeking HSM recovery are not being recovered in base rates or through any other recovery procedure. All cleanup costs to be recorded by PG&E in the HSM memorandum accounts will be hazardous substance cleanup costs found appropriate for recovery in the Hazardous Substance Cleanup Cost Recovery Collaborative Report.
Site Name: Electra Hydroelectric Generation Facility

Location: Electra Power House Road, Mokelumne River
Amador County, California

Source, Nature, and Approximate Date of Contamination: A Phase I environmental site assessment performed in October 1997 identified historical operations within the FERC boundary at PG&E’s Electra Hydroelectric Generation Facility that may have resulted in a release of fuel hydrocarbons, PCBs, lead from lead-based paint and other chemicals.

Utility Operations at the Site: The Electra Hydroelectric Generation Facility began operation in 1948 and operates under FERC license number 137. Site facilities include the powerhouse and the switchyard, ancillary structures and a residence for employees.

Environmental Agency Actions: No agency is involved to date.

Nature of Costs: The Electra cleanup costs for which PG&E is seeking HSM recovery are not being recovered in base rates or through any other recovery procedure. All cleanup costs to be recorded by PG&E in the HSM memorandum accounts will be hazardous substance cleanup costs found appropriate for recovery in the Hazardous Substance Cleanup Cost Recovery Collaborative Report.
Site Name: Phoenix Hydroelectric Generation Facility

Location: Lyons-Bald Mountain Road, Phoenix River
Tuolumne County, California

Source, Nature, and Approximate Date of Contamination: A Phase I environmental site assessment performed in October 1997 identified historical operations within the FERC boundary at PG&E’s Phoenix Hydroelectric Generation Facility that may have resulted in a release of fuel hydrocarbons, PCBs, lead from lead-based paint and other chemicals.

Utility Operations at the Site: The Phoenix Hydroelectric Generation was commissioned in 1898 and operates under FERC license number 1061. PG&E acquired the facility in 1927. Site facilities include the powerhouse and the switchyard, ancillary structures and a residence for employees.

Environmental Agency Actions: No agency is involved to date.

Nature of Costs: The Phoenix cleanup costs for which PG&E is seeking HSM recovery are not being recovered in base rates or through any other recovery procedure. All cleanup costs to be recorded by PG&E in the HSM memorandum accounts will be hazardous substance cleanup costs found appropriate for recovery in the Hazardous Substance Cleanup Cost Recovery Collaborative Report.
Site Name: Salt Springs Hydroelectric Generation Facility

Location: End of Salt Springs Reservoir Road, Mokelumne River Amador County, California

Source, Nature, and Approximate Date of Contamination: A Phase I environmental site assessment performed in October 1997 identified historical operations within the FERC boundary at PG&E’s Salt Springs Hydroelectric Generation Facility that may have resulted in a release of fuel hydrocarbons, PCBs, lead from lead-based paint and other chemicals.

Utility Operations at the Site: The Salt Springs Hydroelectric Generation Facility was commissioned in 1931 and operates under FERC license number 137. Site facilities include the powerhouse and the switchyard, ancillary structures and residences for employees.

Environmental Agency Actions: No agency is involved to date.

Nature of Costs: The Salt Springs cleanup costs for which PG&E is seeking HSM recovery are not being recovered in base rates or through any other recovery procedure. All cleanup costs to be recorded by PG&E in the HSM memorandum accounts will be hazardous substance cleanup costs found appropriate for recovery in the Hazardous Substance Cleanup Cost Recovery Collaborative Report.
Site Name: Spaulding Hydroelectric Generation Facility

Location: Lake Spaulding Road, South end Spaulding Lake
Nevada County, California

Source, Nature, and Approximate Date of Contamination: A Phase I environmental site assessment performed in October 1997 identified historical operations within the FERC boundary at PG&E’s Spaulding Hydroelectric Generation Facility that may have resulted in a release of fuel hydrocarbons, PCBs, lead from lead-based paint and other chemicals.

Utility Operations at the Site: The Spaulding Hydroelectric Generation was first commissioned in 1917 (Spaulding 1) and subsequently Spaulding 2 and 3 were commissioned in 1920 and 1929 respectively. The Site operates under FERC license number 2310. Site facilities include the powerhouse and the switchyard, ancillary structures and residences for employees.

Environmental Agency Actions: No agency is involved to date.

Nature of Costs: The Spaulding cleanup costs for which PG&E is seeking HSM recovery are not being recovered in base rates or through any other recovery procedure. All cleanup costs to be recorded by PG&E in the HSM memorandum accounts will be hazardous substance cleanup costs found appropriate for recovery in the Hazardous Substance Cleanup Cost Recovery Collaborative Report.
Site Name: Spring Gap Hydroelectric Generation Facility

Location: Peeled Onion Road, Middle Fork Stanislaus River
Tuolumne County, California

Source, Nature, and Approximate Date of Contamination: A Phase I environmental site assessment performed in October 1997 identified historical operations within the FERC boundary at PG&E’s Spring Gap Hydroelectric Generation Facility that may have resulted in a release of fuel hydrocarbons, PCBs, lead from lead-based paint and other chemicals.

Utility Operations at the Site: The Spring Gap Hydroelectric Generation Facility was commissioned in 1931 and operates under FERC license number 2130. Site facilities include the powerhouse and the switchyard, ancillary structures and residences for employees.

Environmental Agency Actions: No agency is involved to date.

Nature of Costs: The Spring Gap cleanup costs for which PG&E is seeking HSM recovery are not being recovered in base rates or through any other recovery procedure. All cleanup costs to be recorded by PG&E in the HSM memorandum accounts will be hazardous substance cleanup costs found appropriate for recovery in the Hazardous Substance Cleanup Cost Recovery Collaborative Report.
Site Name:  Tiger Creek Hydroelectric Generation Facility

Location:  Tiger Creek Road, North Fork Mokelumne River
          Amador County, California

Source, Nature, and Approximate Date of Contamination:  A Phase I environmental site assessment performed in August 1997 identified historical operations within the FERC boundary at PG&E’s Tiger Creek Hydroelectric Generation Facility that may have resulted in a release of fuel hydrocarbons, PCBs, lead from lead-based paint and other chemicals.

Utility Operations at the Site:  The Tiger Creek Hydroelectric Generation Facility was built in 1931 and operates under FERC license number 137. Site facilities include the powerhouse and the switchyard, ancillary structures and residences for employees.

Environmental Agency Actions:  No agency is involved to date.

Nature of Costs:  The Tiger Creek cleanup costs for which PG&E is seeking HSM recovery are not being recovered in base rates or through any other recovery procedure. All cleanup costs to be recorded by PG&E in the HSM memorandum accounts will be hazardous substance cleanup costs found appropriate for recovery in the Hazardous Substance Cleanup Cost Recovery Collaborative Report.
**Site Name:** Balch Hydroelectric Generation Facility

**Location:** South side of the North Fork Kings River
Fresno County, California

**Source, Nature, and Approximate Date of Contamination:** An environmental site assessment performed in August 1997 identified historical operations within the FERC boundary at PG&E’s Balch Hydroelectric Generation Facility that may have resulted in a release of fuel hydrocarbons, PCBs, lead and other chemicals.

**Utility Operations at the Site:** The Balch Hydroelectric Generation Facility was first commissioned in 1922 and operates under FERC license number 175. Site facilities include the powerhouse and switchyard, ancillary facilities and residences for employees.

**Environmental Agency Actions:** No agency is involved to date.

**Nature of Costs:** The Balch cleanup costs for which PG&E is seeking HSM recovery are not being recovered in base rates or through any other recovery procedure. All cleanup costs to be recorded by PG&E in the HSM memorandum accounts will be hazardous substance cleanup costs found appropriate for recovery in the Hazardous Substance Cleanup Cost Recovery Collaborative Report.


**Site Name:** Crane Valley Hydroelectric Generation Facility

**Location:** South end of Bass Lake  
Madera County, California

**Source, Nature, and Approximate Date of Contamination:** An environmental site assessment performed in October 1997 identified historical operations within the FERC boundary at PG&E’s Crane Valley Hydroelectric Generation Facility (the Facility) that may have resulted in a release of fuel hydrocarbons, PCBs, lead and other chemicals.

**Utility Operations at the Site:** The Crane Valley Hydroelectric Generation Facility was commissioned in 1919 and operates under FERC license number 1354. Site facilities include the powerhouse and switchyard, and ancillary facilities. A residence for employees to support operations is located outside of the Facility.

**Environmental Agency Actions:** No agency is involved to date.

**Nature of Costs:** The Crane Valley cleanup costs for which PG&E is seeking HSM recovery are not being recovered in base rates or through any other recovery procedure. All cleanup costs to be recorded by PG&E in the HSM memorandum accounts will be hazardous substance cleanup costs found appropriate for recovery in the Hazardous Substance Cleanup Cost Recovery Collaborative Report.
**Site Name:** Helms Hydroelectric Generation Facility

**Location:** Lake Wishon  
Fresno County, California

**Source, Nature, and Approximate Date of Contamination:** An environmental site assessment performed in October 1997 identified historical operations within the FERC boundary at PG&E’s Helms Hydroelectric Generation Facility that may have resulted in a release of fuel hydrocarbons, PCBs, lead from lead-based paint and other chemicals.

**Utility Operations at the Site:** The Helms Hydroelectric Generation Facility began operation in 1984 under FERC license number 2735. Site facilities include the powerhouse and the switchyard, ancillary facilities and residences for employees.

**Environmental Agency Actions:** No agency is involved to date.

**Nature of Costs:** The Helms cleanup costs for which PG&E is seeking HSM recovery are not being recovered in base rates or through any other recovery procedure. All cleanup costs to be recorded by PG&E in the HSM memorandum accounts will be hazardous substance cleanup costs found appropriate for recovery in the Hazardous Substance Cleanup Cost Recovery Collaborative Report.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Company Name</th>
<th>Company Name</th>
<th>Company Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Advised by</td>
<td>Advised by</td>
<td>Advised by</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alcantar &amp; Kahl</td>
<td>Department of Water Resources</td>
<td>OnGrid Solar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ameresco</td>
<td>Department of the Army</td>
<td>Praxair</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anderson &amp; Poole</td>
<td>Dept of General Services</td>
<td>R. W. Beck &amp; Associates</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arizona Public Service Company</td>
<td>Division of Business Advisory Services</td>
<td>RCS, Inc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BART</td>
<td>Douglas &amp; Liddell</td>
<td>Recon, Inc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BP Energy Company</td>
<td>Downey &amp; Brand</td>
<td>SCD Energy Solutions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barkovich &amp; Yap, Inc.</td>
<td>Duke Energy</td>
<td>SCE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bartle Wells Associates</td>
<td>Dutcher, John</td>
<td>SMUD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C &amp; H Sugar Co.</td>
<td>Ellison Schneider &amp; Harris LLP</td>
<td>SPURR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CA Bldg Industry Association</td>
<td>FPL Energy Project Management, Inc.</td>
<td>Santa Fe Jets</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAISO</td>
<td>Foster Farms</td>
<td>Seattle City Light</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CLECA Law Office</td>
<td>G. A. Krause &amp; Assoc.</td>
<td>Sempra Utilities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSC Energy Services</td>
<td>GLJ Publications</td>
<td>Sierra Pacific Power Company</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>California Cotton Ginners &amp; Growers Association</td>
<td>Goodin, MacBride, Squeri, Schlotz &amp; Ritchie</td>
<td>Silicon Valley Power</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>California Energy Commission</td>
<td>Green Power Institute</td>
<td>Silo Energy LLC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>California League of Food Processors</td>
<td>Hanna &amp; Morton</td>
<td>Southern California Edison Company</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>California Public Utilities Commission</td>
<td>International Power Technology</td>
<td>Sunshine Design</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calpine</td>
<td>Interstate Gas Services, Inc.</td>
<td>Sutherland, Asbill &amp; Brennan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cameron McKenna</td>
<td>Los Angeles Dept of Water &amp; Power</td>
<td>Tabors Caramanis &amp; Associates</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Casner, Steve</td>
<td>Luce, Forward, Hamilton &amp; Scripps LLP</td>
<td>Tecogen, Inc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chamberlain, Eric</td>
<td>MBMC, Inc.</td>
<td>Tiger Natural Gas, Inc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chevron Company</td>
<td>MRW &amp; Associates</td>
<td>Tioga Energy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chris, King</td>
<td>Manatt Phelps Phillips</td>
<td>TransCanada</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of Glendale</td>
<td>Matthew V. Brady &amp; Associates</td>
<td>Turlock Irrigation District</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of Palo Alto</td>
<td>McKenzie &amp; Associates</td>
<td>U S Borax, Inc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clean Energy Fuels</td>
<td>Merced Irrigation District</td>
<td>United Cogen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coast Economic Consulting</td>
<td>Mirant</td>
<td>Utility Cost Management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commerce Energy</td>
<td>Modesto Irrigation District</td>
<td>Utility Specialists</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commercial Energy</td>
<td>Morgan Stanley</td>
<td>Verizon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consumer Federation of California</td>
<td>Morrison &amp; Foerster</td>
<td>Wellhead Electric Company</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crossborder Energy</td>
<td>New United Motor Mfg., Inc.</td>
<td>Western Manufactured Housing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Davis Wright Tremaine LLP</td>
<td>Norris &amp; Wong Associates</td>
<td>Communities Association (WMA)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day Carter Murphy</td>
<td>North Coast SolarResources</td>
<td>eMeter Corporation</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>