April 16, 2014

Advice Letter 3524-E and 3524-E-A

Brian K. Cherry
Vice President, Regulation and Rates
Pacific Gas and Electric Company
77 Beale Street, Mail Code B10C
P.O. Box 770000
San Francisco, CA 94177

Subject: Annual Reasonableness Review of PG&E’s Schedule E-31 Contracts – Compliance AL Pursuant to Electric Schedule E-31 and D.09-06-025, and Confidential Attachments Submitted Pursuant to PUC Section 583 and Supplemental Filing

Dear Mr. Cherry:

Advice Letter 3524-E and 3524-E-A are effective December 19, 2013 per Resolution 4517.

Sincerely,

Edward F. Randolph, Director
Energy Division
April 5, 2013

Advice 3524-E-A
(Pacific Gas and Electric Company ID U 39 E)

Public Utilities Commission of the State of California

Subject: Supplemental: Annual Reasonableness Review of Pacific Gas and Electric Company’s Schedule E-31 Contracts – Compliance Advice Letter Pursuant to Electric Schedule E-31 and D.09-06-025, and Confidential Attachments Submitted Pursuant to PUC Section 583

Purpose

Pacific Gas and Electric Company (PG&E) hereby submits this supplemental Tier 3 advice letter in accordance with Electric Schedule E-31 and Decision (D.) 09-06-025, Decision Adopting a Settlement Resolving Modesto Irrigation District’s Complaint Against Pacific Gas & Electric Company (PG&E), Regarding Tariff Schedule E-31.

The purpose of this filing is to comply with (a) PG&E’s obligations under Schedule E-31, which calls for an annual reasonableness review of PG&E’s E-31 contracts, and (b) D.09-06-025, consistent with the terms of the Settlement Agreement (Agreement) that the California Public Utilities Commission (Commission) therein approved.

In this supplemental advice letter, PG&E revises certain elements of the original Tier 3 advice letter filed on September 16, 2009 to ensure full compliance with Schedule E-31, Form No. 79-995, the Distribution Bypass Deferral Rate Memo Account (DBDRMA), and Preliminary Statement Part CK.

Annual Reasonableness Review

In D.09-06-025, the Commission adopted the Agreement between Modesto Irrigation District (MID) and PG&E resolving MID’s complaint that PG&E improperly provided service to customers under Schedule E-31.

Ordering Paragraphs (OP) 2, 4, 5 and 6 of D.09-06-025 state:
2. Pacific Gas and Electric Company shall file annual advice letter reasonableness reviews, with accompanying documentation, as provided for in Article IV of the settlement agreement.\footnote{The Commission should review the reasonableness of PG&E’s E-31 contracts on an annual basis, and propose the Energy Division review and confirm both (a) the accuracy of PG&E’s calculations and the attendant E-31 rates on which its E-31 contracts are based, including, without limitation, compliance with the marginal cost floor price as required by sections 7 and 8 of the Agreement for Customers Taking Service on Schedule E-31, Form No. 79-995 ("Rate Review"), and (b) PG&E’s compliance with the procedures set forth for Customers Taking Service on Schedule E-31, Form No. 79-995 and this Agreement ("Procedural Review). Accordingly, PG&E shall submit an advice letter to the Commission each year, requesting the full Commission’s approval by resolution. (Article 4.1, § 4.1, Settlement Agreement)}

4. Pacific Gas and Electric Company shall submit its first reasonableness review advice letter within 90 days of the effective date of this decision.

5. The first reasonableness review shall include a rate review, but not a procedural review because all existing procedural review issues have been resolved in the settlement agreement.

6. Subsequent reasonableness reviews will include both a rate review and a procedural review of any new Schedule E-31 contracts, and only a rate review of contracts in existence after the previous review.

By this supplemental advice letter, PG&E submits its “first reasonableness review advice letter” in accordance with OPs 4 and 5 of D.09-06-025 as a replacement of Advice 3524-E submitted on September, 16, 2009.

Section 4.4(e) of the Agreement provides that PG&E may file the data required to comply with the first annual reasonableness review under seal if it is confidential or proprietary. Because the data that the Agreement requires (as specified in Sections 4.4 (a), 4.4 (b), 4.4 (c) and 4.4 (d)) is customer-specific, the information is confidential and filed under seal in accordance with Section 583 of the California Public Utilities Code. PG&E submitted these confidential documents as Attachments A, B, C and D to the original advice letter. As specified in the Agreement, PG&E provided the documents specified under Sections 4.4 (a), 4.4 (b) and 4.4 (c) to MID previously. Attachments A, B, and C in this supplemental advice letter are unchanged from those submitted in the original advice letter. Attachment D in this supplemental advice letter has been revised from that submitted in the original advice letter.

With respect to the rate review required by Section 4.4 (d) of the Agreement, PG&E has conducted its review and found that the revenues it received from customers taking service under Schedule E-31 for the period beginning 2004 and extending through 2008 exceeded the contract floor price, as required by tariff, and herein submits that they were reasonable. After submission of the original advice letter and subsequent discussions with Energy Division, PG&E revisited many technical elements of the rate review and has modified analyses to ensure...
consistency and full compliance with Schedule E-31, Form No. 79-995, the Distribution Bypass Deferral Rate Memo Account (DBDRMA), and Preliminary Statement Part CK. PG&E’s revised rate review in this supplemental advice letter still finds that the revenues it received from customers taking service under Schedule E-31 for the period beginning 2004 and extending through 2008 exceeded the contract floor price, as required by tariff, and herein submits that they were reasonable.

As discussed above, information necessary for the Commission to conduct its reasonableness analysis is provided herein under seal in confidential Attachment D to the supplemental advice letter. Consistent with Section 4.4 (d) of the Settlement Agreement, PG&E shall not provide confidential Attachment D to MID.

**Distribution Bypass Deferral Rate Memorandum Account**

For situations where Schedule E-31 is used to retain customers, the difference between the full tariff revenue and the revenue that PG&E receives pursuant to Schedule E-31 is recorded as a debit in DBDRMA. For situations where Schedule E-31 is used to attract customers, the amount of revenue received under Schedule E-31 minus the marginal cost of serving the attracted customers is recorded as a credit in the DBDRMA.

Subject to a determination that the contracts are reasonable, the balance in the DBDRMA is subject to disposition by the Commission, provided however, that the balance is recovered from customers with loads greater than 20 kW. Accordingly, once the contract revenue has been deemed reasonable by the Commission, PG&E will make adjustments to its revenue allocation and rate design in the first subsequent Annual Electric True-Up to ensure that only customers greater than 20 kW are responsible for these amounts.

Specifically, in order to properly allocate the balance to be recovered, PG&E will exclude the balance in the DBDRMA from the allocation of revenue, allocate the remaining revenue under the applicable requirements for revenue allocation and rate design in effect at the time, and then directly assign the balance in the DBDRMA to all customers except those customers served under residential schedules and Schedules A-1, A-6 and A-15. The balance in the DBDRMA was $1.8 million as of the end of 2008.

---

2 Section 454.1 states that reallocation of revenue differences resulting from discounting shall not be imposed on residential customers or on commercial customers less than 20 kW. Since eligibility for PG&E’s rates is not defined at 20 kW, this set of schedules is being used to implement this provision of Section 454.1.
Protests

Anyone wishing to protest this filing may do so by letter sent via U.S. mail, facsimile or E-mail, no later than April 25, 2013, which is 20 days after the date of this filing. Protests must be submitted to:

CPUC Energy Division  
ED Tariff Unit  
505 Van Ness Avenue, 4th Floor  
San Francisco, California  94102

Facsimile: (415) 703-2200  
E-mail: EDTariffUnit@cpuc.ca.gov

Copies of protests also should be mailed to the attention of the Director, Energy Division, Room 4004, at the address shown above.

The protest shall also be sent to PG&E either via E-mail or U.S. mail (and by facsimile, if possible) at the address shown below on the same date it is mailed or delivered to the Commission:

Brian K. Cherry  
Vice President, Regulatory Relations  
Pacific Gas and Electric Company  
77 Beale Street, Mail Code B10C  
P.O. Box 770000  
San Francisco, California  94177

Facsimile: (415) 973-7226  
E-mail: PGETariffs@pge.com

Any person (including individuals, groups, or organizations) may protest or respond to an advice letter (General Order 96-B, Section 7.4). The protest shall contain the following information: specification of the advice letter protested; grounds for the protest; supporting factual information or legal argument; name, telephone number, postal address, and (where appropriate) e-mail address of the protestant; and statement that the protest was sent to the utility no later than the day on which the protest was submitted to the reviewing Industry Division (General Order 96-B, Section 3.11).

Effective Date

PG&E requests that this advice filing become effective upon approval by the Commission. This supplemental advice letter is submitted as a Tier 3 filing.
Notice

In accordance with General Order 96-B, Section IV, a copy of this advice letter is being sent electronically and via U.S. mail to parties shown on the attached list and service list C.07-08-027. Address changes to the General Order 96-B service list should be directed to PG&E at email address PGETariffs@pge.com. For changes to any other service list, please contact the Commission’s Process Office at (415) 703-2021 or at Process_Office@cpuc.ca.gov. Send all electronic approvals to PGETariffs@pge.com. Advice letter filings can also be accessed electronically at: http://www.pge.com/tariffs

Vice President, Regulatory Relations

Confidential Attachments: Attachments A, Attachment B, Attachment C, and Attachment D

cc: Service List C.07-08-027
**EXPLANATION OF UTILITY TYPE**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ELC = Electric</th>
<th>GAS = Gas</th>
<th>PLC = Pipeline</th>
<th>HEAT = Heat</th>
<th>WATER = Water</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Advice Letter (AL) #: 3524-E-A**

**Tier: 3**

**Subject of AL:** Supplemental: Annual Reasonableness Review of Pacific Gas and Electric Company’s Schedule E-31 Contracts – Compliance Advice Letter Pursuant to Electric Schedule E-31 and D.09-06-025, and Confidential Attachments Submitted Pursuant to PUC Section 583

**Keywords (choose from CPUC listing):** Agreements

**AL filing type:** ☐ Monthly ☐ Quarterly ☑ Annual ☐ One-Time ☐ Other _____________________________

If AL filed in compliance with a Commission order, indicate relevant Decision/Resolution #: D.09-06-025

Does AL replace a withdrawn or rejected AL? If so, identify the prior AL: No

Summarize differences between the AL and the prior withdrawn or rejected AL: ______________________

Is AL requesting confidential treatment? If so, what information is the utility seeking confidential treatment for: Yes, Attachments A-D

Confidential information will be made available to those who have executed a nondisclosure agreement: No

Name(s) and contact information of the person(s) who will provide the nondisclosure agreement and access to the confidential information: __________________________________________________________________________________________________

Resolution Required? ☑ Yes ☐ No

Requested effective date: **Upon approval (concurrent with 3524-E)**

No. of tariff sheets: N/A

Estimated system annual revenue effect (%): N/A

Estimated system average rate effect (%): N/A

When rates are affected by AL, include attachment in AL showing average rate effects on customer classes (residential, small commercial, large C/I, agricultural, lighting).

Tariff schedules affected: N/A

Service affected and changes proposed: N/A

Pending advice letters that revise the same tariff sheets: N/A

Protests, dispositions, and all other correspondence regarding this AL are due no later than 20 days after the date of this filing, unless otherwise authorized by the Commission, and shall be sent to:

**CPUC, Energy Division**

505 Van Ness Avenue, 4th Floor
San Francisco, CA 94102
E-mail: EDTariffUnit@cpuc.ca.gov

**Pacific Gas and Electric Company**

Attn: Brian Cherry
77 Beale Street, Mail Code B10C
P.O. Box 770000
San Francisco, CA 94177
E-mail: PGETariffs@pge.com
DECLARATION OF VIJAY BHASKARAN
SUPPORTING CONFIDENTIAL TREATMENT FOR
ATTACHMENT D TO SUPPLEMENTAL ADVICE 3524-E-A

I, Vijay Bhaskaran, declare:

1. I am presently employed by Pacific Gas and Electric Company ("PG&E"), and have been employed as an employee at PG&E since April 26, 2010. My current title is Principal Strategic Analyst within PG&E’s Customer Care organization. In this position my responsibilities include Economic and Regulatory analysis to support customer retention and attraction activities. In carrying out those responsibilities I have acquired knowledge of PG&E’s E-31 contracts with customers and have had responsibility for the rate review analysis for reasonableness review of those individual customer contracts.

2. Based on my knowledge and experience and in accordance with Decision 09-06-025, Decision Adopting a Settlement Resolving Modesto Irrigation District’s Complaint Against Pacific Gas & Electric Company, Regarding Tariff Schedule E-31, which orders reviews that require customer specific data, I make this declaration supporting confidential treatment of Attachments A, B, C and D for Advice 3524-E.

3. Attachments A, B, C and D contain confidential customer specific information, and therefore they are entitled to confidential treatment.

I declare under penalty of perjury, under the laws of the State of California that, to the best of my knowledge, the foregoing is true and correct.

Dated April 5, 2013 at San Francisco, California.

[Signature]
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1st Light Energy</th>
<th>Douglass &amp; Liddell</th>
<th>North America Power Partners</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AT&amp;T</td>
<td>Downey &amp; Brand</td>
<td>Occidental Energy Marketing, Inc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alcantar &amp; Kahl LLP</td>
<td>Ellison Schneider &amp; Harris LLP</td>
<td>OnGrid Solar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anderson &amp; Poole</td>
<td>G. A. Krause &amp; Assoc.</td>
<td>Pacific Gas and Electric Company</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BART</td>
<td>GenOn Energy Inc.</td>
<td>Praxair</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bartle Wells Associates</td>
<td>Goodin, MacBride, Squeri, Schlotz &amp; Ritchie</td>
<td>SCD Energy Solutions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bear Valley Electric Service</td>
<td>Green Power Institute</td>
<td>SCE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Braun Blaising McLaughlin, P.C.</td>
<td>Hanna &amp; Morton</td>
<td>SDG&amp;E and SoCalGas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CENERGY POWER</td>
<td>In House Energy</td>
<td>SPURR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>California Cotton Ginners &amp; Growers Assn</td>
<td>International Power Technology</td>
<td>San Francisco Public Utilities Commission</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>California Energy Commission</td>
<td>Interstate Gas Services, Inc.</td>
<td>Seattle City Light</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>California Public Utilities Commission</td>
<td>Kelly Group</td>
<td>Sempra Utilities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calpine</td>
<td>Lawrence Berkeley National Lab</td>
<td>SoCalGas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Casner, Steve</td>
<td>Linde</td>
<td>Southern California Edison Company</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Center for Biological Diversity</td>
<td>Los Angeles Dept of Water &amp; Power</td>
<td>Sun Light &amp; Power</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of Palo Alto</td>
<td>MAC Lighting Consulting</td>
<td>Sunshine Design</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of San Jose</td>
<td>MRW &amp; Associates</td>
<td>TecoGen, Inc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clean Power</td>
<td>Manatt Phelps Phillips</td>
<td>Tiger Natural Gas, Inc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coast Economic Consulting</td>
<td>Marin Energy Authority</td>
<td>TransCanada</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commercial Energy</td>
<td>McKenna Long &amp; Aldridge LLP</td>
<td>Utility Cost Management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consumer Federation of California</td>
<td>McKenzie &amp; Associates</td>
<td>Utility Power Solutions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crossborder Energy</td>
<td>Modesto Irrigation District</td>
<td>Utility Specialists</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Davis Wright Tremaine LLP</td>
<td>Morgan Stanley</td>
<td>Verizon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day Carter Murphy</td>
<td>NLine Energy, Inc.</td>
<td>Water and Energy Consulting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Defense Energy Support Center</td>
<td>NRG Solar</td>
<td>Wellhead Electric Company</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dept of General Services</td>
<td>Nexant, Inc.</td>
<td>Western Manufactured Housing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Communities Association (WMA)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>