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and Users Coalition ("EPUC"), the Division of Ratepayer Advocates ("DRA"), and The Utility Reform Network ("TURN") (the parties are referred to hereinafter collectively as the "Joint Parties") request that the Assigned Administrative Law Judge ("ALJ") and the Commission expedite consideration of the Joint Motion For Approval Of Qualifying Facility And Combined Heat And Power Program Settlement Agreement ("Joint Motion") that is being filed concurrently with this Motion for Expedited Consideration of Joint Motion for Approval of Qualifying Facility and Combined Heat and Power Program Settlement Agreement ("Motion to Expedite"). The Joint Parties request that the Assigned ALJ issue an order adopting the expedited schedule provided below.

As explained in detail in the Joint Motion, there are several conditions precedent to the Settlement Agreement becoming effective. The first condition precedent is Commission approval of the Settlement Agreement.\(^1\) After Commission approval, the investor-owned utilities ("IOUs") will submit an application to the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission ("FERC") seeking waiver of their Public Utility Regulatory Policies Act ("PURPA") obligations under Section 210(m) of the Federal Power Act.\(^2\) The Settlement Agreement does not become effective until after FERC approves the PURPA waiver application.\(^3\) Because the IOUs cannot file an application at FERC until after the Commission approves the Settlement Agreement,\(^4\) expeditious review is a necessary first step in satisfying the

---

\(^1\) \textit{See} Settlement Agreement, § 16.

\(^2\) \textit{Id.}, § 15.1.6.

\(^3\) \textit{Id.}, § 16.2.1.

\(^4\) \textit{Id.}, § 15.1.6.
conditions precedent. Given the substantial benefits of the Settlement Agreement, as explained in detail in the Joint Motion, expeditious consideration and review is warranted.

In addition, no party will be prejudiced by expedited review. The Joint Parties issued a settlement conference notice on September 24, 2010 and provided the Settlement Agreement term sheet and pro forma agreements and amendments on the IOUs' websites on October 4, 2010. Thus, non-settling parties have been on notice of the Settlement Agreement and have had copies of the term sheet and associated pro forma agreements and amendments before the Joint Motion was filed. In addition, the Joint Parties presented the Settlement Agreement at a settlement conference held on October 7, 2010.

The Joint Parties are proposing the following schedule for consideration of the Settlement Agreement:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Event</th>
<th>Dates Per The Commission’s Rules</th>
<th>Proposed Dates</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Joint Motion For Approval of Settlement Agreement</td>
<td></td>
<td>Filed October 8, 2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comments on Joint Motion for Approval of Settlement Agreement (Rule 12.2.)</td>
<td>November 8, 2010</td>
<td>October 25, 2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reply Comments on Joint Motion for Approval of Settlement Agreement (Rule 12.2.)</td>
<td>November 23, 2010</td>
<td>November 1, 2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALJ’s Proposed Decision (Rule 14.2.)</td>
<td></td>
<td>November 16, 2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comments on Proposed Decision (Rule 14.3(a.).)</td>
<td>20 days after Proposed Decision</td>
<td>December 6, 2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reply comments on Proposed Decision (Rule 14.3(d.).)</td>
<td>5 days after opening comments on Proposed Decision</td>
<td>December 13, 2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commission vote on Proposed Decision</td>
<td></td>
<td>December 16, 2010</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based on the foregoing, the Joint Parties respectfully request that the Assigned ALJ adopt the schedule proposed in this Motion to Expedite.
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