PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY
10-Year Electrical Undergrounding Plan (EUP)
Data Response

PG&E Data Request No.:	TURN_002-Q008
PG&E File Name:	EUP-PhI_DR_TURN_002-Q008
Request Date:	October 20, 2026
Requester DR No.:	002
Requesting Party:	The Utility Reform Network
Requester:	A Mireille Fall
Date Sent:	October 23, 2026
Responsible Person(s):	Andrea Brown

QUESTION 008

Explain why PG&E applied a single system-level PSPS/EPSS risk reduction when allocating Bow Tie wildfire risk to circuit segments, rather than circuit- or segment-specific reductions. Identify any alternatives PG&E considered and why they were rejected.

ANSWER 008

PG&E's Enterprise Wildfire Bow Tie produces an absolute, monetized wildfire-risk value at the system level. It does not produce a location-specific Bow Tie wildfire-risk value for each circuit segment. By contrast, WDRM V4 produces relative wildfire-risk scores at the circuit-segment level. The WBCA therefore bridges those two models by taking the system-level post-PSPS/post-EPSS Bow Tie risk and allocating it to circuit segments in proportion to their WDRM risk scores. PG&E did not identify any other alternatives than the approach that was taken.