

**PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY
10 Year Electrical Undergrounding Plan (EUP)
Data Response**

PG&E Data Request No.:	MGRA_001-Q008
PG&E File Name:	EUP-PhI_DR_MGRA_001-Q008
Request Date:	October 9, 2026
Requester DR No.:	MGRA-DR-PGE-EUP-26-01
Requesting Party:	Mussey Grade Road Alliance
Requester:	Joseph Mitchell
Date Sent:	October 14, 2026
PG&E Witness(es):	Justin Sadler

SUBJECT: TECHNICAL

QUESTION 008

Regarding the flowchart in Figure 3-1 PROJECT ACCEPTANCE FRAMEWORK – SCREEN 1:

All decision paths appear to lead to Eligible CPZs. It would appear the horizontal arrow joining the “Ignition Tail Risk Threshold Ineligible” and the Eligible CPZ box is in error. Confirm, or provide explanation of why this is a valid decision path.

ANSWER 008

The horizontal arrow is not an error. Screen 1 assigns an eligibility determination (e.g., High Risk Threshold Eligible, High Frequency Outage Threshold Eligible, Ignition Tail Risk Threshold Eligible, or EUP Ineligible) to each circuit segment but does not end the workflow at the point where designation is assigned. All segments continue beyond the designation boxes shown in Figure 3-1, with the resulting eligibility determination retained for use in subsequent steps of the Project Acceptance Framework. The rectangular "Mark as" boxes record the outcome of a threshold evaluation, while the diamond-shaped nodes represent workflow decision points. The horizontal arrow ensures that all circuit segments receive and retain a recorded Screen 1 designation before the workflow proceeds. It does not indicate that a circuit segment designated as ineligible becomes eligible or advances to Screen 2.