

PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY
Wildfire Mitigations Plans Discovery 2026-2028
Data Response

PG&E Data Request No.:	SPD_004-Q023
PG&E File Name:	WMP-Discovery2026-2028_DR_SPD_004-Q023
Request Date:	May 1, 2025
Requester DR No.:	CONF-SPD-PGE-WMP2026-004
Requesting Party:	Safety Policy Division
Requester:	Edwin Schmitt
Date Sent:	May 6, 2025

SUBJECT: MITIGATION COST EFFICIENCY ASSESSMENT (SPD-PGE-WMP2026-004)

QUESTION 023

Related to Figure PG&E 5.2.2.3-1 in the 2026-2028 Base WMP, on pg. 72, PG&E states "...the two circuit segments share a common pixel, F6, and a that support structure (pole) asset also located in pixel F6. To keep the total sum of risk on the network constant, these shared risk results must be partially distributed to each of the circuit segments. The aggregation methodology, in this case, would assign half of the F6 pixel risk and half of the support structure risk to each of the circuit segments."

- a. Submit "RaDA Algorithms and Methodologies"
- b. If not explained in "RaDA Algorithms and Methodologies" please explain:
 - i. Why, in this example, was the risk distributed to each of the circuit segments equally?
 - ii. Are there instances where the risk is not distributed equally?
 - a) If so, explain what those instances would be and how PG&E determines the proportion of risk that should be attributed to each circuit segment. Provide examples from a specific circuit segment.
 - b) If not, explain why.
- c. Are there instances of a pixel sharing more than two circuit segments?
 - i. If so, explain why a pixel can share more than two circuit segments. Provide examples by citing circuit segment names.
 - ii. If not, explain why not.

ANSWER 023

- a. Please see attachment "*WMP-Discovery2026-2028_DR_SPD_004-Q023Atch01.pdf*" for the requested information.