

May 3, 2001

**ADVICE 2111-E
(Pacific Gas and Electric Company ID U39 E)**

Public Utilities Commission of the State of California

Subject: Notice of Proposed Construction of Facilities Pursuant to General Order 131-D, Stagg Area Voltage Support Project, San Joaquin County

Pacific Gas and Electric Company (PG&E) hereby submits notice pursuant to General Order (GO) 131-D, Section III Subsection A of the relocation of facilities that are exempt from a Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity.

Purpose

This advice letter provides a copy of the Notice of Proposed Construction (Attachment I) and the Notice Distribution List, which comply with the noticing requirements found in G.O. 131-D, Section XI, Subsections B and C.

Background

PG&E proposes to relocate approximately 940 feet of one existing circuit and to relocate approximately 630 feet of a second existing circuit on the Rio Oso-Tesla 230 kilovolt power line to loop into Pacific Gas and Electric Company's Eight Mile Road Substation in the County of San Joaquin. This project is required to increase voltage reliability in the north Stockton area. The relocated lines are located approximately 400 feet north of Eight Mile Road and approximately 1,000 feet west of Interstate 5. The project will require the installation of four new Tubular Steel Poles (TSP's). Construction is scheduled to begin in approximately May 2001, or as soon thereafter as possible, and the new facilities are planned to be in operation in June 2001.

- This project qualifies as exempt from Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity filing requirements pursuant to GO 131-D, Section III, Subsection, A which exempts, "the minor relocation of existing power line facilities."

Additionally, GO 131-D requires utilities to employ "no cost" and specified "low cost" measures to reduce public exposure to electric and magnetic fields (EMFs).

Based upon the standard that "low cost mitigation measures not exceed 4% of the total project costs," there are no "no cost" or "low cost" measures to be employed.

This filing will not increase any rate or charge, cause the withdrawal of service, or conflict with any other rate schedule or rule.

Effective Date

PG&E requests that this advice filing become effective on **May 28, 2001**, which is 40 days after the date of filing. (In accordance with GO 131-D, construction is not intended to begin until 45 days after notice is first published.)

Protests

Anyone wishing to protest this filing may do so by filing a protest with the CPUC and the Company by **May 23, 2001**, at the following address:

Director, Energy Division
California Public Utilities Commission
505 Van Ness Avenue, Fourth Floor
San Francisco, CA 94102
Facsimile: (415) 703-2200

In addition, protests and all other correspondence regarding this advice letter should also be sent by letter and transmitted via facsimile to the attention of:

David T. Kraska
Attorney, Law Department
Pacific Gas and Electric Company
P.O. Box 7442
San Francisco, CA 94105
Facsimile (415) 973-0516

Les Guliasi,
Manager, Regulatory Relations
Pacific Gas and Electric Company
P.O. Box 770000, Mail Code B10C
San Francisco, CA 94177
Facsimile (415) 973-7226

Persons or groups may protest the proposed construction if they believe that the Company has incorrectly applied for an exemption or that the conditions set out in G.O. 131-D, Section III.B.2. exist.

Notice

In accordance with G.O. 96-A, Section III, Paragraph G, PG&E is electronically and postal mailing copies of this advice letter to the utilities and interested parties shown on the attached list, including the type of parties specified in G.O. 131-D, Section XI, Paragraphs B.1. and B.2. These parties are identified in the "Notice Distribution List" included in Attachment I. Address change requests should be directed to Nelia Avendano at (415) 973-3529.

PG&E reserves all legal rights to challenge the decisions or statutes under which it has been required to make this advice filing, and nothing in this advice filing constitutes a waiver of such rights. Also, PG&E reserves any additional legal rights to challenge the requirement to make this advice filing by reason of its status as a debtor under Chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code, and nothing in this advice filing constitutes a waiver of such rights.

Vice President -- Regulatory Relations

Attachments

bcc: David Kraska
Jo Lambert
Robert Masuoka
Scot Wilson