

**PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY  
Wildfire Mitigation Plans Discovery 2022  
Data Response**

|                        |                                   |                   |  |
|------------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------|--|
| PG&E Data Request No.: | OEIS_010-Q01                      |                   |  |
| PG&E File Name:        | WMP-Discovery2022_DR_OEIS_010-Q01 |                   |  |
| Request Date:          | April 15, 2022                    | Requester DR No.: | Data Request OEIS-PG&E-22-010          |
| Date Sent:             | April 20, 2022                    | Requesting Party: | Office of Energy Infrastructure Safety |
| PG&E Witness:          |                                   | Requester:        | Kevin Miller                           |

**SUBJECT: PSPS RISK VS. BENEFIT TOOL APPLICATION**

**QUESTION 01**

In the Section 8.2.3.7 PG&E describes its use of the risk vs. benefit tool in four events in 2021 to support the evaluation of the potential public safety risk due to a PSPS event against the forecasted potential wildfire risk.

- a. To date, did PG&E use the risk-benefit tool for determining to initiate any events that did not result in a PSPS event?

**ANSWER 01**

- a. To date, PG&E's use of the risk-benefit tool during the 2021 PSPS season resulted in all four events supporting the decision for de-energization to mitigate wildfire risk. Other than the four 2021 PSPS events, the risk-benefit tool has not been used as there were no weather events meeting PSPS thresholds. As described in PG&E's WMP, the analysis from our risk-benefit tool is one of multiple factors that are considered to help inform the PSPS decision-making process, and no single factor drives the determination that a PSPS is necessary. The main drivers considered for PSPS events under the 2021 PSPS Protocols are described in Section 8.2 of the 2022 WMP.