

PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY
Wildfire Mitigation Plans Discovery 2023
Data Response

PG&E Data Request No.:	CalAdvocates_012-Q007		
PG&E File Name:	WMP-Discovery2023_DR_CalAdvocates_012-Q007		
Request Date:	April 6, 2023	Requester DR No.:	CalAdvocates-PGE-2023WMP-12
Date Sent:	April 11, 2023	Requesting Party:	Public Advocates Office
DRU Index #:		Requester:	Holly Wehrman

The following questions relate to your 2023-2025 WMP submission.

TOPIC: PSPS

QUESTION 007

Regarding ACI PG&E-22-35 (Quantify Mitigation Benefits of Reducing PSPS Scale, Scope, and Frequency) on WMP p. 972-973:

- a) Please explain why this table shows customer impacts (in terms of incremental PSPS mitigation) for only two mitigation methods (i.e., undergrounding and MSO), while other methods (e.g., overhead hardening, sectionalizing, etc.) are not listed in this table.
- b) Has PG&E analyzed customer PSPS impacts for other mitigation methods?
- c) If the answer to part (b) is yes, please provide the results of PG&E's analysis.
- d) If the answer to part (b) is no, please explain why not.

ANSWER 007

- a) Table PG&E-22-35-1 shows customers mitigated and not customers impacted. In the analysis, we applied the 2022 guidance in the weather lookback period of 2018-2022. Other mitigation methods such as sectionalizing devices, grid hardening, and PSPS protocols are already factored into the lookback. This allows us to calculate the number of customers we are able to mitigate with the two planned mitigations (undergrounding and MSO) we expect to complete in 2023-2025.
- b) We have not analyzed additional mitigation methods as undergrounding and MSO are the two projects we currently plan to complete in the next 3 years. Other mitigation methods such as sectionalizing devices, grid hardening, and PSPS protocols are already factored into the lookback.
- c) See response to (b)
- d) See response to (b)