

**PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY
Wildfire Mitigation Plans Discovery 2022
Data Response**

PG&E Data Request No.:	CalAdvocates_008-Q07		
PG&E File Name:	WMP-Discovery2022_DR_CalAdvocates_008-Q07		
Request Date:	January 28, 2022	Requester DR No.:	CalAdvocates-PGE-2022WMP-08
Date Sent:	February 25, 2022	Requesting Party:	Public Advocates Office
PG&E Witness:		Requester:	Alan Wehrman

The following questions relate to the PG&E Independent Monitor Report of November 19, 2021, Kirkland & Ellis LLP, filed on November 23, 2021 (the Monitor's 2021 report),¹ and PG&E's responses to Data Request CalAdvocates-PGE-2022WMP-06, dated January 10 and 14, 2022.

QUESTION 07

PG&E's response to Data Request CalAdvocates-PGE-2022WMP-06 states that, as of June 16, 2021, the priority of the corrective notification associated with the failed crossarm was priority E.² Why was the corrective notification never reprioritized above priority E during the period of February 19, 2020 to June 16, 2021?

ANSWER 07

We did not change the priority of the corrective notification during the period of February 19, 2020 to June 16, 2021 because none of the inspectors who reviewed this location during this time period recommended a priority change of the corrective notification.

¹ Kirkland & Ellis LLP, PG&E Independent Monitor Report of November 19, 2021 (Case No. 14-CR-00175- WHA Doc. No. 1524-1), November 23, 2021.

² PG&E's response to Data Request CalAdvocates-PGE-2022WMP-06, Question 2.